1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Χ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CR-13-607 -against-United States Courthouse Central Islip, New York PHILLIP A. KENNER and TOMMY C. CONSTANTINE, Defendants. April 4, 2016 10:00 a.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH F. BIANCO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE APPEARANCES: For the Government: ROBERT CAPERS, United States Attorney 100 Federal Plaza Central Islip, New York 11722 DIANE LEONARDO, ESQ. MADELINE O'CONNOR, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney For the Defendants: HALEY, WEINBLATT & CALCAGNI One Suffolk Square 1601 Veterans Memorial Highway Islandia, NY 11749 BY: RICHARD HALEY, ESQ. For Mr. Kenner

For the Defendants:

LARUSSO & CONWAY 300 Old Country Road Mineola, NY 11501 BY: JOSEPH CONWAY, ESQ. For Mr. Constantine 2

ANDREW L. OLIVERAS, ESQ. 26 Strangford Court Oceanside, NY 11572 For Mr. Constantine

Court Reporter: Mary Ann Steiger

100 Federal Plaza

Central Islip, New York 11722

(631) 712-6101

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography.

Transcript produced by computer.

Mary Ann Steiger, CSR Official Court Reporter

| 1 | THE CLERK: All rise. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Please be seated. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: Calling case 13-CR-607, U.S.A. vs. |
| 4 | Kenner and Constantine. |
| 5 | Counsel, please state your appearances for |
| 6 | record. |
| 7 | MS. LEONARDO: For the United States, Diane |
| 8 | Leonardo and Madeline O'Connor. |
| 9 | Good morning, your Honor. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Good morning. |
| 11 | MR. HALEY: Richard Haley for Phil Kenner, your |
| 12 | Honor. Phil is, of course, to my left. |
| 13 | MR. CONWAY: Good morning, your Honor. |
| 14 | Joseph Conway and Andrew Oliveris for Tommy |
| 15 | Constantine. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Constantine is |
| 17 | present as well. |
| 18 | As you know, this is a continuation of the |
| 19 | forfeiture hearing. |
| 20 | The government rested at the last date, so is |
| 21 | the defense ready to proceed? |
| 22 | MR. HALEY: Yes, your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: You're going to go first, Mr. Haley? |
| 24 | MR. HALEY: If I may, Judge, yes. |
| 25 | Your Honor, before I commence the defense case |
| | |

as far as the forfeiture hearing is concerned, I do have an application.

Your Honor's determination as relates to this application will necessarily determine the scope of my inquiry of my client.

In addition, your Honor, to the forfeiture aspect of this case, there has been filed a presentence report which your Honor may or may not have had a chance to review as yet. I have not as yet submitted my response to the presentence report, but I assure this Court as an officer of the Court and as Mr. Kenner's counsel I will be asserting any number of objections and demanding a Fatico hearing as relates to various aspects of the presentence report where there's alleged relevant conduct to be included as part of the guideline analysis.

The reason I bring this to the attention of the Court, Judge, is in the presentence report it is alleged that there was additional relevant criminal conduct as relates to the Palms, Los Frailes, Diamante Del Mar and Cabo San Lucas.

As your Honor is well aware, the government, now, by way of the forfeiture hearing and specifically chart FORF-1 utilizes the forfeiture hearing to allege additional criminal conduct as relates to those four entities or those four matters involving financial

5 1 transactions between my client and various hockey player 2 clients. 3 Your Honor was astute enough at one point during 4 the government's direct case in the forfeiture hearing to 5 note that -- and I'm just paraphrasing the Court -- that 6 the Palms was not alleged to be any part of a fraudulent 7 scheme and, indeed, it's not mentioned in the indictment 8 nor is there any 404(b) representation that the Palms or 9 Frailes and Cabo San Lucas and/or Diamante Del Mar 10 involved other crimes committed by the defendant. 11 Indeed, your Honor, we did search the record 12 regarding the colloquy that occurred at sidebar with your 13 Honor. Rather than read in the entire colleague, the end 14 result was at follows. 15 May 7, 2015, page 668, line 18, after that 16 sidebar conference dealing with questions that were asked 17 of Mr. Peca concerning the Palms, the following occurred: 18 Mr. Haley: Perhaps your Honor can give a 19 curative instruction that the Palms dispute is not part of 20 this indictment. 21 The Court: Sure. I'll say it again, just remind 22 me. 23 Mr. Haley: Thank you. My application, Judge, and I guess in part my 24 25 objection is as follows:

Mr. Kenner is prepared to testify today as to the financial transactions involving himself and other hockey player clients regarding Palms, regarding Los Frailes, regarding Diamante Del Mar, regarding Cabo San Lucas.

However, as your Honor is well aware, when a Fatico hearing is demanded, the government bears the burden of going forward on those issues and bears the burden of proof.

At the conclusion of that process the defendant may then testify and address those issues as relates to the guideline calculation and relevant conduct.

If indeed the government intends to rely upon this record that's been established in the forfeiture hearing for purposes of the Fatico issues, then there is no issue from my perspective.

But Mr. Kenner ought not to be placed in a position, Judge, by way of a forfeiture hearing where these four events or transactions separately alleged transactions involving a myriad of conversations, a myriad of documents that address Palms, Los Frailes, Diamante Del Mar, in part a stack perhaps six or seven inches in depth, during the course of a forfeiture hearing.

What ought to occur is the government presents its issues or its proof as relates to those four matters;

7 1 again Palms, Los Frailes, Diamante Del Mar, Cabo San Lucas 2 in the context of a forfeiture hearing, I cross-examine 3 the government's testimony in that regard and have an 4 opportunity to examine and cross-examine the documents 5 that are introduced in that regard, and then my client 6 once that's presented testifies. 7 So what's happening, Judge, to use a very trite 8 phrase, the cart is being put before the horse to the 9 prejudice of my client by way of a backdoor use of a 10 forfeiture hearing that addresses issues that will 11 undoubtedly be relevant for purposes of a Fatico hearing. 12 Now, I'm sensitive to the fact, Judge, of the 13 complexity of this case, though one government witness 14 once told the media it's not a complex case. I choose to 15 differ. This Court thought it was a complex case and 16 enough to declare it complex for speedy trial purposes. 17 What I'm going to suggest to the Court is as 18

follows:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm prepared to put my client on the stand to testify in this forfeiture hearing as relates to those matters that the government identified by way of the original indictment and by way of their bill of particulars that was filed prior to the commencement of the trial.

They identified specific properties that they

sought to forfeit and they identified, by way of the supplemental bill of particulars, additional properties, specifically Baja Ventures 2006.

And from my perspective, Judge, those matters are appropriate for the defense to address at this point in time by way of Mr. Kenner's testimony and appropriate for the Court to give consideration of that testimony when the Court ultimately makes a decision as to what if any forfeiture there ought to be.

Judge, perhaps I can focus the issue in this respect.

In the original indictment they sought the forfeiture of my client's residence at Cactus Road in Arizona, and analytically I can understand that.

For example, they allege the Eufora fraud scheme.

Assuming, for sake of this argument, the jury convicted him on the Eufora fraud scheme, and what we have is we have testimony that \$100,000 came into CMG Management, and the same day \$100,000 went out of CMG Management to a Kenner-controlled account, and to the extent that that money was then used, let's say, to make a mortgage payment at the Cactus Road residence or to make some improvements in connection with the Cactus Road residence, that is classic money laundering and indeed

that would be a forfeitable asset as I understand the law under that type of analytical road if you will.

Cactus Road was identified in the indictment as a forfeitable asset. Again, it was the Palms, Los Frailes or Diamante Cabo San Lucas nor Diamante Del Mar was in any way identified by way of the indictment or the bill of particulars that preceded that indictment.

My application, Judge, and my objection is not in any way to put this Court into a quandary, but I would respectfully suggest there is a solution and the solution is as follows:

That we proceed today with the testimony of my client as relates to those issues which we're prepared to address concerning the properties identified in the original indictment and the bill of particulars that were filed prior to trial, the Court withholds a determination on the forfeiture matters, we undoubtedly will be having a hearing as I related to the Court, a Fatico hearing, we're entitled to the Fatico hearing, I'm going to demand the Fatico hearing, and then the government will put its proof on to establish whether there's relevant criminal conduct as relates to those other matters. Then my client can respond at that point in time and exhibits, which again total approximately six or seven inches in depth, can be introduced at that point in time.

Procedurally, the defendant's not prejudiced by that procedure or process. Your Honor then at that point in time will have full and fair opportunity to reflect upon a total record.

And to the extent that there is common issues between the Fatico hearing and common issues between the forfeiture hearing, your Honor will make a determination as to whether there's common issues or not.

I'm arguing, Judge, and, frankly, and, again, to use a trite expression, we're mixing apples and oranges here, and then your Honor can render a determination by way of the judgment of conviction that addresses not only the guideline calculation, whatever your Honor determines as relates to that, as well as the forfeiture determination and we can address those issues in one final argument or summation to the Court rather than having a sequence of different submissions and different arguments, Judge, that may address common issues.

I endeavored to make this brief, Judge, but I hope you appreciate the purpose.

THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

My recollection is, and I'll ask Ms. Leonardo to correct me if I'm wrong, is that this came up at the prior date as related to the Palms and Los Frailes, and my recollection is that when I asked the government about the

11 1 fact that in the trial there was no evidence of fraud, and 2 yet they were seeking to forfeit those properties, I 3 believe their answer was that they're not, that the theory 4 is the commingling of the money from the other schemes 5 with money for those properties. Maybe my memory is 6 wrong. 7 Are you proceeding to try to forfeit those on 8 the theory that they were frauds or some other theory or 9 maybe both? 10 Well, your Honor, in addition to MS. LEONARDO: 11 commingling, I think we discussed this on the last two 12 times, because these defendants were convicted of the 13 money laundering conspiracy, it's a very broad avenue for 14 forfeiture. 15 These issues arose I believe in the first 16 hearing on February 12th. Your Honor asked us to brief 17 these issues. We briefed them and counsel for the defense 18 remained silent. They did not address these issues 19 whatsoever. 20 We submitted an eight page letter to the Court 21 laying out the case law with regard to charged conduct, 22 uncharged conduct, relevant conduct and how it's 23 applicable to the forfeiture proceedings, and counsel 24 remained silent with regard to our brief on that issue.

Your Honor, we also raised this issue the first

12 1 hearing or the second hearing that some of the issues are 2 going to overlap. 3 THE COURT: I know, but I know you're not the 4 AUSA that handled the criminal part of the case, and I 5 haven't had a chance to focus on the presentence report, 6 but if in fact the government is going to be seeking for 7 relevant conduct purposes for purposes of sentencing to 8 have these investments, these properties, included as 9 relevant conduct, then Mr. Haley is right. 10 I think basically that we need another hearing 11 then because if the government is claiming that I should 12 introduce relevant conduct as a fraud, I don't think 13 that's been the focus of what you've done, so I don't know 14 if you've spoken to them about that or not. 15 Well, your Honor, I believe the MS. LEONARDO: 16 PSR does indicate that it should be considered relevant 17 conduct. 18 I'll note that the PSR has been out since 19 February sometime, so for the first time I think it's 20 unfair to us for the first time to raise this issue two 21 months later that now a Fatico hearing is going to be 22 demanded. 23 THE COURT: I don't know about that. Look, they

government is proceeding on the forfeiture. They pointed

came in on day one complaining procedurally how the

24

out on day one there's no evidence in their view of any frauds as relates to these properties, some of these properties that you're now seeking to forfeit.

So I don't think anybody is surprised to hear that if the presentence report is considering these to be relevant conduct for purposes of the fraud, that they're objecting. I'm not certainly surprised to hear that.

Since we started this forfeiture they were surprised because Mr. Miskiewicz had a sidebar and it was on more than one occasion where there was a discussion where he said, no, we're not seeking, we're not arguing to the jury that there was a fraud.

So I don't think anybody is surprised to hear that they're objecting to me considering those for purposes of sentencing.

And I'm telling you right now, if the government intends on, purposes of sentencing, to have me consider those as relevant conduct, fraudulent conduct, the government has to put proof of that on at another hearing.

So in terms of how we should proceed today,
Mr. Haley, I'm continuing to follow the course that I
followed previously as relates to the forfeiture, which is
you reserved your procedural objections in terms of the
bill of particulars. I'm reserving on that. I want the
government to present its full forfeiture case, which they

14 1 did, and with respect to Mr. Kenner, I want him to speak 2 to all of the properties they're seeking to forfeit, 3 notwithstanding your continuing objections to procedural 4 and otherwise. In terms -- so he has to cover all of the 5 properties that they're seeking to forfeit. Whatever 6 relevant testimony he has on the forfeiture of those 7 properties you should give today. 8 As relates to sentencing and relevant conduct 9 for purposes of sentencing, the government is going to 10 have to tell me what they're going to do on that. 11 I'm not going to be happy if we have to have 12 another hearing on that, but I'll cross that bridge when I 13 get to it. 14 I just want to focus on the forfeiture. I know 15 we have to have a hearing on the forfeiture. If your 16 client, obviously in discussing these properties, wants to 17 explain now that he didn't make any representation with 18 respect to one or more of these other properties, he can 19 do that, because that also could be potentially relevant 20 on the forfeiture as well. 21 That's the best guidance I can give you. 22 Thank you, your Honor. MR. CONWAY: 23 I think your Honor has hit the proverbial nail 24 on the head when your Honor said to the government a

moment ago, well, what's your theory here? Are you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 alleging they ought to be forfeited because it's an additional fraudulent conduct, or are you alleging that they ought to be forfeited because they were commingled with some other event that the Court may determine was fraudulent conduct which involved the original schemes that were alleged in the indictment. That's the real issue here, Judge. government, I don't think, gave you an answer on that. THE COURT: Look, they put in that letter. I asked that question and they put in law that makes clear the law of forfeiture is very broad, if you commingle funds in terms of money laundering, for example, independent of whether or not it's a separate fraud that you can forfeit under the money laundering statutes, if fraudulent money is being funneled through the various bank accounts. It's not they ignored my query. They put in that letter and I know you object to that but I want you to proceed factually as if that's part of the hearing, okay? I will, your Honor. MR. HALEY: So your Honor understands so I may make my record and your Honor has been extremely patient with me

I wholeheartedly agree, Judge, that if the issue is simply the commingling, I can address that by way of

and I want to express my appreciation.

16 1 Mr. Kenner's testimony today in a rather confined context. 2 If the issue is oh, yeah, we say commingling, 3 but also this is separate and identifiable frauds, again 4 that's my argument now what we're doing is really using a 5 forfeiture hearing for purposes of a Fatico. That's 6 really my objection. 7 I guess I can proceed today, Judge. I'll make a 8 determination based upon your Honor's ruling as to what I 9 deem is relevant today and may or may not get into other 10 areas. 11 I assume, Judge, and I'll simply state for the 12 record without prejudice to put my client back on the 13 stand by way of a Fatico hearing without hearing from the 14 government or the Court, Mr. Haley, isn't this something 15 that we started to develop already in the forfeiture 16 hearing? 17 And I might say, yes, it is, Judge, but now I 18 wish to further solidify as the Court might find his 19 testimony by way of documents which are again consistent 20 with what he will testify to demonstrating that neither 21 Los Frailes or the Palms nor Diamante Del Mar nor Cabo San 22 Lucas involved fraudulent criminal activity. 23 Thank you, Judge. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 Judge, I want to make clear our MS. LEONARDO:

17 1 only theory of forfeiture is not commingling. 2 I think as your Honor said, and, I don't want to 3 belabor the point, as your Honor said because it's a money 4 laundering conspiracy, it's not just a commingling theory. 5 THE COURT: No, but correct me if I'm wrong, 6 another theory could be that independent of money 7 laundering, independent of commingling, that it's a fraud 8 in and of itself, right? 9 MS. LEONARDO: That's correct, Judge. 10 THE COURT: You're not pursuing that theory for 11 purposes of forfeiture, right? MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, if it's related to 12 13 the scheme in which he was convicted of, but it's a 14 separate fraud related to the initial scheme, then, yes, 15 we can. 16 What our entire theory has been is that as 17 charged in the indictment, the scheme is that they take 18 money from Hawaii investors and sent it to other business 19 entities and that's charged in the indictment. 20 THE COURT: That's money laundering, right? 21 MS. LEONARDO: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: So I understand, it's commingling 23 and it's money laundering, but to the extent the argument 24 would be independent of money laundering, independent of 25 commingling, that they defrauded the hockey players in

18 1 their investments in the Palms, that would be another 2 forfeitable theory which I don't think -- I know there's 3 no proof of that during the trial and I know no witness 4 came in during the forfeiture hearing to testify to that. 5 So I don't know what the basis would be for 6 arguing that those, for example the Palms, should be 7 forfeited because the hockey players were defrauded in 8 that investment. 9 What's the evidence of that based upon what you 10 submitted to me at the forfeiture hearing? 11 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor --12 THE COURT: It's one thing to say I'm going to 13 invest your money here and you invested it somewhere else. 14 I understand that. That's the theory of forfeiture and 15 I'm considering that theory. 16 But to the extent the theory is that the Palms 17 in and of itself was a fraud, who gave that testimony? 18 I would have to go back to the MS. LEONARDO: 19 record at the criminal trial, your Honor, because I'm not 20 as familiar with it as the Court is. 21 THE COURT: I sat through the criminal record 22 and I'll tell you what happened in the criminal trial. 23 Mr. Miskiewicz, when the issue of the Palms came 24 up, said we don't want to go into the Palms. 25 don't want to discuss the Palms. We're not alleging the

19 1 Palms was a fraud. No hockey player went into the Palms 2 in any detail. It was obviously referenced in some of the 3 e-mails, but everyone was purposely and I think Los 4 Frailes was under the same you umbrella of no, this is not 5 something we're trying to tell the jury was fraudulent. 6 You can go back to the transcript. I remember that 7 distinctly because we were worried about getting into all 8 these other investments that were not named in the 9 indictment and the government -- it wasn't 404(b), so I 10 think there is nothing in the trial record that speaks to 11 misrepresentations as relates to when people put their 12 money into those investments. 13 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, again, not belabor 14 the point, but if you allow Ms. O'Connor, she can point 15 that out to your Honor. 16 MS. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, it's my understanding 17 that at trial Mr. Miskiewicz agreed that they wouldn't 18 discuss particular mortgage arrangements between defendant 19 Kenner and the hockey players, Mr. Peca in particular. 20 That's why Mr. Miskiewicz agreed they wouldn't 21 go into the Palms, but it was limited to that particular 22 transaction. It wasn't necessarily that the whole Palms 23 arrangement didn't involve fraud. It was just really 24 about that which may or may not have been some kind of

breach of contract or something like that.

20 1 Nevertheless, Mr. Peca's investment in the Palms 2 was part of an overall fraudulent execution and that's 3 what the government intends to prove during this 4 forfeiture hearing which you may do by a preponderance of 5 the evidence. 6 THE COURT: You're talking about his direct 7 investment in the Palms or are you talking about he put 8 money into Hawaii that got directed --9 MS. O'CONNOR: His direct investment, your 10 Honor, that was part of the commingled money in the 11 purchase of the Palms. So it's commingled with stolen 12 money and that's how it's encompassed as part of the money 13 laundering fraud. 14 So it's the government's theory that it's 15 entitled to seek forfeiture both of any properties that 16 were involved in the money laundering conspiracy; but 17 also, your Honor, there's case law that says that when you 18 have a substantive fraud, such as wire fraud, the 19 government's able to introduce evidence of additional 20 executions of the scheme to defraud of which the 21 defendants were found guilty. 22 That's why, your Honor, we're presenting the 23 evidence that we are. 24 THE COURT: Again, I understand at a forfeiture

hearing you can present additional evidence of fraud in

21 1 addition to the other theories, but what I'm telling you 2 is I know Mr. Peca didn't testify in the forfeiture 3 hearing and I would be very, very surprised if, when I 4 went back and looked at his trial testimony, that he gave 5 any type of detailed description of his investment in the 6 Palms, any type of fraudulent conduct as related to the 7 Palms because that would have been problematic for 8 everybody and I think we stayed clear of that during the 9 trial. 10 Well, your Honor, the government MS. O'CONNOR: 11 is able to introduce not only the evidence that was 12 presented at trial, but additional evidence which Agent 13 Wayne introduced in the form of bank records and things of 14 that nature. 15 So the government --16 THE COURT: How can a bank record tell me 17 whether or not Mr. Peca authorized Mr. Kenner to directly 18 invest money in the Palms? 19 The only person that can tell me that if a bank 20 record shows that \$500,000 went from Mr. Peca directly to 21 the Palms, the only person that could tell me that that 22 was fraudulent would be Mr. Peca. A bank record doesn't 23 tell me whether or not he authorized that, right? 24 MS. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, at trial, we've shown 25 that line of credit testimony was diverted to fund the

initial purchase of the Palms.

THE COURT: Isn't that something different though? That's different.

I understand, as I said, to the extent the government is arguing that money was diverted to the Palms, that's different than saying -- that's not an initial investment in the Palms. That's diversion of money that he thought was being invested somewhere else.

But maybe some of them did both, some of these hockey players did both, invested directly in the Palms and had money go from Hawaii to the Palms. I don't know.

MS. O'CONNOR: It's the government's theory that by using stolen funds and commingling it with legitimate investments, those legitimate investments facilitate the money laundering offense, made it easier to commit and hard to detect and that's the theory, your Honor, that all of those --

THE COURT: I understand the theory. My understanding of the theory is the commingling of money potentially with money that was, according to the government's evidence, wrongfully diverted. That's my understanding of what you're seeking forfeiture on.

Mr. Haley is concerned that you're also seeking forfeiture as a fraud in and of itself, not a diversion of money, but a fraud with respect to direct investments in

these properties that hockey players made.

That's the only thing we're talking about is whether or not the government is seeking forfeiture of these properties based upon a direct investment that the government is claiming is fraudulent.

MS. O'CONNOR: Your Honor, each property that the government is seeking to forfeit is in some way or form an additional execution of the frauds that the defendants were convicted of.

And the evidence as we will show and I believe it will be clear in our brief when it's laid out, simply is that each one of these frauds were additional executions of the same frauds they were convicted of.

There's nothing knew and these are not separate frauds that they weren't provided notice of in the indictment. It's all the same thing. It's investor money that was meant to go to one of these, whether it be the Hawaii, or the Eufora, or the Global Settlement Fund, and instead it was diverted elsewhere and you'll see, your Honor, when we present it in a brief, that all of the money was either commingled, it seemed like it was a direct investment or it was stolen money and that's the government's theory.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HALEY: My only point, and I'll put my

24 1 client on the stand in a moment, when the government uses 2 the blunderbuss statement this is all part of the 3 fraudulent scheme he was convicted of, nowhere within the 4 four corners of the indictment is there a hint of any 5 fraudulent activity as relates to the Palms or Los 6 Frailes, or Diamante or Cabo San Lucas, or Diamante Del 7 Mar. 8 Once again, there was no special verdict here. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Haley, look, I don't want to get 10 started. 11 My view of what the theory of forfeiture based 12 upon what the government has said is that they're arguing 13 that money was diverted from the Hawaiian investments and 14 other investments, money laundered and placed into various 15 other properties and entities and that therefore those 16 properties and entities are forfeitable because they 17 involve at least in part a diversion, unauthorized 18 diversion, of money into these various assets. That's the 19 theory that I understand. 20 To the extent that they're suggesting that there 21 may be some evidence of fraud in and of itself that would 22 make it independently forfeitable as an independent fraud, 23 I haven't seen that evidence. I certainly don't believe I 24 saw that evidence at the trial and I don't believe I heard

it through Agent Wayne's testimony notwithstanding their

use of hearsay.

So that's the theory under which we're proceeding at the hearing.

If, after they lay it out in their brief and they take the position now even if it wasn't commingled, even if it was diverted, there was an independent fraud going on here, then it's without prejudice for you putting Mr. Kenner back on the stand because assuming they're unable to prove those other two theories and that simply what they're relying on is some independent fraud, we would have more of a discussion, okay?

MR. HALEY: Thank you, your Honor.

One final housekeeping matter. What I would prefer, however, when you speak in terms of brief, from my perspective I believe the most effective representation I can provide to Mr. Kenner is to submit a brief that would address within the same brief the Fatico issues as well as the forfeiture issues because I believe they're intertwined.

THE COURT: That's fine. I have no problem with that. Maybe when we're done with the forfeiture hearing, one of the AUSAs in the criminal part of the case can be here and we can talk more about that and what their intention is with respect to that relevant conduct issue, okay?

| 26 MR. HALEY: Unless I misread the presentence |
|--|
| report, I think I know what their intention is. |
| Thank you. |
| THE COURT: I had that situation where probation |
| includes that in the presentence report and after we have |
| further discussion and I explain to the government you'll |
| need a Fatico hearing for this, sometimes they say I won't |
| pursue it. But maybe I'm wrong. |
| MR. HALEY: I would like to be so hopeful. |
| THE COURT: Are you ready? |
| MR. HALEY: Yes, Judge. |
| |
| PHIL KENNER, |
| called as a witness, having been first |
| duly sworn, was examined and testified |
| as follows: |
| |
| THE CLERK: Please state your name for the |
| record. |
| THE WITNESS: Phil Kenner. |
| MR. HALEY: Your Honor, with the Court's |
| permission, there's no jury here, I would like to address |
| my client as Phil. |
| THE COURT: That's fine. |
| |
| |

27 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HALEY: 3 Q. Phil, good morning. 4 Α. Good morning. 5 Q. Phil, you're aware that the indictment of you 6 included the identification of various properties that the 7 government sought to forfeit upon your original 8 arraignment; is that correct? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 And you're aware, are you not, that prior to trial, a Q. 11 supplemental or a stylized bill of particulars was filed 12 with the government identifying additional properties that 13 were not at least as alleged in the original indictment; 14 is that correct? 15 Α. Yes, I believe in late April. 16 Now, let's focus on the April 22nd bill of Q. 17 particulars, April 22nd, 2015. 18 The government identified property known as Baja 19 Ventures 2006 as property they sought to forfeit upon your 20 conviction; is that correct? 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 And would you kindly describe to the Court what is 23 Baja Ventures 2006? 24 Α. It is a Delaware single purpose LLC that was set up 25 in or about February 23rd, 2006, to take an equity stake

28 1 in the Diamante Cabo San Lucas project holding equity 2 investments for myself, Joseph Stumpel and Jere Lehtinen. 3 Q. Now, to the best of your knowledge, were any of those 4 two individuals identified as victims of any fraudulent 5 scheme as set forth in the indictment? Α. No, sir, they were not. 6 7 Q. Well, would you describe to the Court, as best you're 8 able, the financial transactions and sequence of financial 9 transactions that led to your acquisition of your interest 10 in Baja Ventures 2006? 11 I had approached both Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen at Α. 12 some point in and around May and June of 2005 at the 13 beginning of the commencement of the deposits made to the 14 seller of the Cabo San Lucas property, and both 15 individuals had agreed to join in an arrangement with me 16 to invest a total sum of \$2.5 million thereabouts which 17 would then ultimately be held in an LLC that I would set 18 up in or about the time of closing which in fact was set 19 up as Baja Ventures 2006. 20 What, if any, consideration existed between yourself Q.

Q. What, if any, consideration existed between yourself and Mr. Lehtinen or Mr. Stumpel with regards to them giving you their money for that purpose?

21

22

A. Each of the individuals signed a promissory contract
with me in May and/or June of 2005, and it has been
honored by their ownership in Baja Ventures 2006.

| 1 | 29 Q. Phil, I'm going to show you two documents already |
|----|--|
| 2 | admitted into evidence by way of stipulation, Kenner |
| 3 | Exhibit 5 and Kenner Exhibit 6. |
| 4 | Do you recognize those documents? |
| 5 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 6 | These are the two documents I was referring to |
| 7 | from Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen. |
| 8 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, may I approach and give |
| 9 | the Court a copy of the documents? |
| 10 | THE COURT: When you say by stipulation, is that |
| 11 | during the trial or by stipulation pursuant to the |
| 12 | hearing? |
| 13 | MR. HALEY: Pursuant to the hearing, Judge. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 15 | MR. HALEY: There were a number of exhibits last |
| 16 | time. |
| 17 | THE COURT: That came in. |
| 18 | MR. HALEY: Yes. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 20 | MR. HALEY: Indeed, I previously provided copy |
| 21 | of the documents at the commencement of the forfeiture |
| 22 | hearing to the government. |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 24 | BY MR. HALEY: |
| 25 | Q. So |
| | |

30 1 MS. LEONARDO: I'm sorry, counsel, which is 5 2 and which is 6? 3 MR. HALEY: I'm sorry. I apologize. 4 (Pause in proceedings.) 5 BY MR. HALEY: 6 Q. Phil, would you kindly take a look at Kenner Exhibit 7 5. 8 What does that represent? 9 It is a \$1.5 million promissory contract between Jere Α. 10 Lehtinen and myself with respect to Baja Ventures 2006 and 11 his debt and equity position in the LLC. 12 Well, whose signature appears on the second page of Q. 13 the document? 14 At the top it's my signature as managing member and Α. 15 at the bottom it's Jere Lehtinen. 16 Now, with reference to Kenner Exhibit 6, would you Q. 17 kindly describe to the Court what that document is? 18 Much the same as Mr. Lehtinen's agreement, this is a Α. 19 promissory contract between myself and Mr. Stumpel for 20 both a debt in equity position in Baja Ventures 2006. 21 On the back -- excuse me -- on page 2 at the top 22 it's my signature as the managing member of the LLC and 23 Joseph Stumpel's signature. 24 Now, as you sit here today, Phil, are these two 25 documents, from your perspective, legal enforceable

31 1 contracts? 2 MS. LEONARDO: Objection. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that. 4 Α. I certainly would hope so. 5 Q. How is the money that you received from Mr. Lehtinen 6 and Mr. Stumpel transferred in order for you to acquire 7 your ownership interest in Baja Ventures 2006? 8 Mr. Lehtinen's \$1.5 million was transferred from his 9 bank account directly to Mr. Jowdy and his attorney 10 Fernando Garcia's propiedades account called DDM. 11 And Mr. Stumpel's funds were transferred on or 12 about May 16 and May 17 to an account that I had just set 13 up and then I transferred the funds from Mr. Jowdy the 14 following day. 15 Those funds went into that Ula Makika account. Q. 16 At that point in time, what if any funds were in 17 that account that let's say derived from Eufora. 18 And we know what Eufora is; is that correct? 19 Α. Yes. I do. 20 None. 21 When those funds were transferred into the Ula Makika Q. 22 account, what if any funds were in that account that 23 derived from let's say what we call the Global Settlement 24 Fund? 25 Α. None.

32 1 Q. When the money went into that Ula Makika account, 2 before it was transferred as you testified a moment ago 3 down to Mexico, what if any funds were in that account 4 from what we will call the Sag Harbor/Ledbetter 5 accusations? 6 Α. None. 7 Q. And with reference to the money that went into the 8 account that day, what if any monies were in that account 9 that derived from the Hawaiian land development scheme? 10 There was \$50 I believe that the bank had transferred Α. 11 in at the opening of the account. 12 Now, one of the properties that was identified as Q. 13 properties that the government sought to forfeit in the 14 April 22nd, 2015 bill of particulars was Diamante 15 Properties LLC, do you recall that? 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 Q. And would you describe to the Court the circumstances 18 under which Diamante Properties LLC came to be created? 19 Α. I was informed by Ken Jowdy, on or about February 19, 20 2006, that he was instructed by Lehman Brothers to 21 allocate equity in the Diamante Cabo San Lucas project a 22 certain way in order for Lehman Brothers to get the deal 23 done on Mr. Jowdy's behalf, and one of the entities that 24 was presented to me for the first time that day by e-mail 25 by Mr. Jowdy was Diamante Properties, of which Mr. Jowdy

Direct/Halev Kenner

33 1 said he was putting a number of people that he owed money 2 to in that to clear up past debts. 3 Q. Well --4 Α. Excuse me. 5 In addition to other employees that he wanted to give a bonus to. 6 7 Q. When you speak of the Lehman Brothers loan, there was 8 testimony about a Lehman Brothers loan during the course 9 of the trial; is that correct? 10 Yes, there was, referencing Hawaii. Α. 11 Would you kindly explain to the Court the two Q. 12 different loans we're speaking of Mr. Kenner? 13 Α. Yes, sir. I'm sorry about that. 14 There were two loans that were actually 15 completed with Lehman Brothers. The first was on March 26 16 It was a \$125 million acquisition in phase one 17 development loan for the Diamante Cabo San Lucas property, 18 of which approximately six-and-a-half million dollars of 19 equity was put up and Lehman Brothers financed the balance 20 of that, leaving approximately \$55 million of phase one 21 horizontal and vertical infrastructure money, all under 22 Mr. Jowdy's control. 23 Approximately five months later, on August 26 of 24 2006, Lehman Brothers completed a second lending agreement

of approximately \$105 million based on property values in

34 1 Hawaii, and that's what we had discussed during the trial. 2 Q. Well, did the Lehman Brothers loan, with reference to 3 the development of the Cabo San Lucas property, have 4 anything to do with the Lehman Brothers loan reference the 5 development of Hawaii property? 6 Α. They were two separate and unique loans. 7 But at the conclusion of the March 26, 2006 Cabo 8 San Lucas loan with Lehman Brothers, I was approached by 9 both Mr. Jowdy and Masoud Bahti from Lehman Brothers who 10 suggested I should terminate my negotiations with the 11 lenders that Mr. Constantine had brought to the table to 12 fund a \$15 million construction loan in Hawaii, and I 13 should utilize Lehman Brothers as my lending partner and 14 not only would they be able to facilitate a short-term 15 closing in Hawaii, but any other lending money, commercial 16 lending money, we would need. 17 Q. Phil, I appreciate that observation. 18 But my question is really more simple. 19 You acquired a loan from Lehman Brothers for the 20 development of the Hawaii property. 21 That was testified to during the course of the 22 trial, correct? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 But the loan from Lehman Brothers as relates to the Q. 25 development of Diamante Cabo San Lucas, those were two

Kenner Direct/Halev 35 1 separate loans, were they not? 2 Α. Yes. I'm sorry. I misunderstood your question. 3 They were completely separate and unique loans. 4 Q. Was there any interaction or interplay between these 5 two transactions? 6 Α. Other than the commonality of the parties, no. 7 Q. I want to speak to you, Phil, about property 8 identified in the bill of particulars that the government 9 seeks to forfeit located at 92-4928 Hawaii Belt Road, in 10 Na'Alehu, Hawaii, would you kindly explain to the Court 11 the circumstances under which that property came to be a 12 part? 13 Α. In or about December 2004 min conjunction with the 14 acquisition of 1500 acres of property contiguous with that 15 sugar mill property, there was approximately a \$3.8 16 million transaction on the one property and approximately 17 a \$380,000 transaction on the sugar mill property. 18 At the time they were split up due to some 19 remediation issues of oil petroleum in the ground, and as 20 a result the two separate transactions occurred. 21 Following the purchase of the sugar mill 22 property, our Hawaii lawyers recommended putting it into a 23 charitable not for profit entity, which we did, called

Q. It's clear, however, that that particular property

Na'Alehu Historical Society.

24

36 1 and the acquisition of that property is related to the 2 Hawaii land development project; is that correct? 3 Α. Yes. sir. 4 Q. Lot 469, Discovery Harbor in Kalehua, tell us about 5 that property? 6 Α. That was one of the lots that was acquired in the 7 early phases of the Hawaii development project where 8 originally we were on build for some small homes to test 9 the importation issue we would run into with material 10 supplies at a remote destination on the big Island of 11 Hawaii. 12 It's clear that that property is related to the Q. 13 Hawaii land development project; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes, sir, it is. I'm going to skip 1976 Falcon Airplane and move on to 15 Q. 16 10705 East Cactus Road, Scottsdale, Arizona. 17 Is that property familiar to you? 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Q. How is it familiar to you? 20 Α. It's property that has been owned for the last 21 approximately 10 years by my ex-wife. 22 Q. During the course -- withdrawn. 23 At various points in time, notwithstanding the 24 fact that your wife owned the property, did you assist 25 let's say in either mortgage payments or home

Kenner Direct/Halev 37 1 improvements, yes or no, by way of use of funds available 2 to you? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Are you aware, Mr. Kenner, that on August 20, 2015, 5 following the conclusion of the trial, the government filed an amended bill of particulars? 6 7 Α. Yes, I'm aware. 8 Q. In that amended bill of particulars they repeated 9 Baja Ventures 2006 as property they sought to forfeit, 10 correct? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 They also, in that amended bill of particulars Q. 13 following the conclusion of the trial, identified KAJ 14 holdings; is that correct? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Would you tell the Court what you know about KAJ Q. 17 Holdings? 18 KAJ Holdings was and/or is still a Delaware LLC to Α. 19 the best of my knowledge established in February 2006 by 20 Ken Jowdy's attorneys to hold his equity interest in the 21 Cabo San Lucas property funded by money that he borrowed 22 from us.

23 Q. You say money he borrowed from us.

What do you mean by that?

24

25

Α. Money that was part and parcel to the December 2004

38 1 Hawaii loan agreement with Ken Jowdy. 2 Q. You testified during the trial quite clearly that 3 that arrangement was a loan rather than an investment; 4 isn't that correct? 5 Α. Yes, sir, it was a loan. 6 Q. Since the commencement of the forfeiture hearing, 7 have there been what I would call additional documents 8 introduced into evidence to your knowledge that 9 corroborate the fact that it was a loan? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 At the last hearing Mr. Wayne substantiated the 12 fact that there are loans by a document he professed was 13 turned over to him by Mr. Jowdy and his attorney 14 confirming the fund transfers as loans. 15 Q. That's a government's exhibit; is that correct? 16 Yes, sir, I believe it was Government Forfeiture 44. Α. 17 Q. Without belaboring the point, because it's in 18 evidence, how did that get corroborated in that document, 19 in what respect? 20 To the right of the actual date and monetary 21 transactions there was a notes column that Mr. Jowdy and 22 his attorney had represented the transactions as loans. 23 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, objection. 24 I believe your Honor sustained counsel's 25 objection with regard to that notes column and said it

39 1 would be redacted and not considered by the Court. 2 THE COURT: I remember that. You want that in 3 now? 4 MR. HALEY: I objected to a document wherein 5 they characterized these transactions as loans. 6 THE COURT: You objected because, as you 7 remember, you had an objection about Jowdy's attorneys 8 providing these things and that last column were notes and 9 you objected because they weren't bank records or anything 10 like that, they were notes, and I sustained your objection 11 and told the government I would not consider that notes 12 column. 13 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, we're not speaking of 14 the notes. I'll get the exhibit. We're talking about not 15 notes, we're talking about specific typewritten entries 16 that characterized it as a loan. 17 I understand what my objection was based on. It 18 did not have to deal with that aspect of the document that 19 referred to them as loans. 20 I might add, Judge, you're correct. I did have 21 an objection to documents being introduced into evidence 22 that were being provided by Mr. Jowdy's counsel. 23 remember that objection. 24 Frankly, once we received that document wherein 25 it was characterized as loans, if I didn't withdraw the

40 1 objection, Judge, I will now withdraw the objection. I'11 2 find the exhibit, Judge. 3 THE COURT: You withdraw the objection to 4 Forfeiture Exhibit 44 in its entirety. 5 (Forfeiture Exhibit 44 in evidence.) MR. HALEY: Thank you. 6 7 BY MR. HALEY: 8 Q. Now, Mr. Kenner, there were various documents, bank 9 records, introduced into evidence reflecting monies going 10 from various accounts controlled or maintained by Little 11 Isle IV to entities controlled by Ken Jowdy, and then 12 money being returned to Little Isle IV. 13 Do you recall those documents? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 And what did those records represent in terms of the Q. 16 financial transactions set forth in those exhibits? 17 They were the loans that were made by the various Α. 18 Hawaii entities under my control to Mr. Jowdy pursuant to 19 the December 2004 loan agreement, and they were transfers 20 by Mr. Jowdy as partial repayments of the December 2004 21 loan agreement. 22 Q. How did you acquire your interest in Diamante Cabo 23 San Lucas, through what financial transactions? 24 Α. Through the two transactions represented on Kenner 25 Exhibit F-6 with Mr. Stumpel and Kenner Exhibit F-5 with

Direct/Halev Kenner 41 1 Mr. Lehtinen, and those funds are represented on the 2 Diamante Cabo San Lucas operating agreement. 3 Q. You were present when the government delivered its 4 summation in this case; is that correct? 5 Α. Yes, sir, I was. 6 Q. Did you hear a representation made by the government 7 to the jury during the course of its summation that you 8 acquired your interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas by way 9 of the monies characterized as a loan flowing from Hawaii 10 to Ken Jowdy; do you recall that argument? 11 Yes, I certainly do recall that argument. Α. 12 Was there any evidence in the record to support that Q. 13 argument before the jury? Did you ever testify to that 14 effect; yes or no? 15 Α. No, sir. 16 Well; is that true? Q. 17 Did you acquire your interest in Diamante Cabo 18 San Lucas by way of the loan agreement between yourself 19 and entities you controlled in the Hawaii land development 20 and Ken Jowdy and entities he controlled as relates to the 21 development of Cabo San Lucas? 22 Α. No, sir. 23 Q. Just for purposes of clarity of the record, I'm going

to show you what's already in evidence as Kenner Exhibit

24

25

F-1.

42 1 What is that, to your knowledge? 2 Α. This is testimony, an excerpt of testimony, given by 3 Ken Jowdy as part of a two day deposition on January 5th, 4 and 6th, 2010, in a civil lawsuit by the investors of 5 Diamante Cabo San Lucas and Diamante Del Mar vs. Ken Jowdy. 6 7 Q. Though it speaks for itself, in what manner did he 8 characterize the money he received from Hawaiian 9 controlled entities to entities he controlled in Mexico? 10 Α. On approximately seven occasions during the two day 11 deposition Mr. Jowdy referred to them specifically as 12 loans. 13 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look at what's been 14 marked as Kenner Exhibit F-2. 15 Do you recognize that document? 16 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 17 Q. What is it? 18 These are the 3500 notes of an interview at the Α. 19 Melville FBI office between Mr. Jowdy, his attorney 20 Mr. Harvey, Josh Wayne of the IRS and Mathew Galioto, in 21 which Mr. Jowdy confirms that the Baja Ventures 2006 22 equity was based on money that was borrowed entirely from 23 Jere Lehtinen and Joseph Stumpel. 24 Not the money loaned from Hawaii land development 25 entities and Mexico entities controlled by Mr. Jowdy,

43 1 correct? 2 Α. No, sir. 3 In fact, the date of the interview was 4 approximately six months after I was arrested on this 5 indictment. Now, as relates -- I'm asking you to take a look at 6 Q. 7 Kenner Exhibit F-3. 8 For purposes of the record, can you identify 9 what that is? 10 The October 19, 2010 FBI interview notes between Α. 11 Mr. Galioto, Southern District Criminal Investigator Scott 12 Romanowski, and John Kaiser at his home in Setauket, New 13 York. 14 Q. I won't belabor the point. 15 Is that the full exhibit and during the course 16 of the trial an excerpt of that exhibit was introduced? 17 Is that the full 3500 material exhibit, to your 18 knowledge? 19 Α. Yes, sir, this is the full 10-page notes. 20 I won't ask you what he told the FBI. That was 21 covered during the course of the trial. 22 I'll ask you to take a look at Kenner Exhibit 23 F-4. Take a look at this document. 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Q. What is that?

| 4 | 44 This is down five of the 2000 ambitmation between |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. This is day five of the 2009 arbitration between |
| 2 | myself and Owen Nolan. This is the testimony of John |
| 3 | Kaiser. |
| 4 | Q. And, again, the document speaks for itself, but while |
| 5 | under oath during the course of that hearing how did |
| 6 | Mr. Kaiser characterize the money that was flowing from |
| 7 | the Hawaii land development project and accounts |
| 8 | controlled to Ken Jowdy in Mexico and accounts he |
| 9 | controlled? |
| 10 | A. He characterized them as loans. |
| 11 | Q. Would he have had personal knowledge at that time as |
| 12 | to the nature of those transactions? |
| 13 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 14 | He had met with Mr. Jowdy on multiple occasions. |
| 15 | Q. Was a question asked of him again those documents |
| 16 | speak for themselves as to whether he held you |
| 17 | accountable for what turned out to be a fact where Ken |
| 18 | Jowdy ultimately did not make good on the loan by way of |
| 19 | repayment? |
| 20 | A. There is a question and answer regarding that issue. |
| 21 | Q. And, again, it speaks for itself. |
| 22 | What was his answer? |
| 23 | A. "QUESTION: Do you blame Mr. Kenner for him not |
| 24 | paying the money back, referring to Ken Jowdy? |
| 25 | "ANSWER: No." |
| | |

45 1 Q. Thank you. 2 CSL Properties identified as additional 3 properties the government sought to forfeit in its post 4 verdict bill of particulars of August 20, 2015, would you 5 tell the Judge what you know about CSL Properties? CSL Property was a single purpose LLC that was set up 6 Α. 7 in February 2006 to hold the collective investments of my 8 hockey player clients in the Diamante Cabo San Lucas 9 project. 10 What if any relationship did that have with the Q. 11 Eufora investments? 12 Α. None. 13 Q. What if any relationship did that have with the 14 Global Settlement Fund matter? 15 Α. None. 16 What if any relationship did that have with the Sag Q. 17 Harbor/Ledbetter? 18 Α. None. 19 Q. Matter. 20 And what if any relationship did that have with 21 the Hawaii land developments? 22 Α. None. 23 Q. What is your current status, to your knowledge, with 24 reference to CSL Properties? 25 I have no involvement whatsoever. Α.

| ĺ | |
|----|---|
| 1 | 46 Q. Are you aware of a resolution that was passed by the |
| 2 | members of CSL Properties? |
| 3 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 4 | Q. And what is your understanding of the nature of that |
| 5 | resolution, Mr. Kenner? |
| 6 | A. It was subsequent to my conviction on July 9th of |
| 7 | last year. |
| 8 | Three of the members of CSL Properties took over |
| 9 | the management role for CSL Properties succeeding me. |
| 10 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I had not previously |
| 11 | marked this document as a Kenner Exhibit but I would offer |
| 12 | it as Kenner Exhibit excuse me Kenner F-24. I can |
| 13 | put a handwritten mark on it and add a sticker at a later |
| 14 | point in time. |
| 15 | THE COURT: That's fine. |
| 16 | MR. HALEY: I'm certain the government already |
| 17 | has in its possession this document. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 19 | MS. LEONARDO: No objection, your Honor. |
| 20 | THE COURT: 24 is admitted. |
| 21 | (Kenner Exhibit F-24 in evidence.) |
| 22 | BY MR. HALEY: |
| 23 | Q. Just for purposes of clarity of the record, would you |
| 24 | kindly take a look at what's been marked as Kenner F-24. |
| 25 | (Pause in proceedings.) |

47 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 Q. What is it? 3 Α. This is the members resolution of CSL Properties 2006 4 you were referring to. 5 Q. In the bill of particulars of August 20, 2015, property identified as Diamante Properties LLC was listed. 6 7 Could you tell the Judge what you know about 8 Diamante Properties LLC? 9 Α. I don't know what that is. 10 In the amended bill of particulars date August 20, Q. 11 2015, property identified as Somerset LLC is listed. 12 Could you tell the Judge what you know about 13 Somerset LLC? 14 Α. I found out about a year after the closing that was 15 an entity set up by the individuals at Lehman Brothers to 16 acquire a secret interest in the Cabo San Lucas property, 17 of which I have no interest in. 18 Did that have anything to do with the Hawaiian land Q. 19 development project? 20 Α. No, sir. 21 Q. Did that have anything to do with the Eufora 22 investments? 23 Α. No. sir. 24 Did that have anything to do with the Global Q. 25 Settlement Fund investments?

48 1 Α. No, sir. 2 Q. Did that have anything to do with the Sag 3 Harbor/Ledbetter allegations set forth in the indictment? 4 Α. Nothing. 5 Q. In the amended bill of particulars of August 20, 6 2015, Boulevard Diamante Los Congrejos, Cabo San Lucas, is 7 listed. 8 Is that property familiar to you? 9 Α. Yes. 10 The property on Los Congrejos is the project, 11 the 1500 acre project, of the Diamante Cabo San Lucas 12 project. 13 Q. That is essentially Diamante Cabo San Lucas, correct? 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 In the amended bill of particulars dated August 20, Q. 16 2015, property Los Mosquito Roco, block 17, lot 30, 17 Cervada Del Mar is listed. 18 Do you recall that? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. What is your knowledge as to that property? 21 Α. That was a property I purchased in Cabo San Lucas, 22 Mexico, in or about 2007, December. 23 Q. What was the source of funds by which you purchased 24 that property? 25 Α. My personal funds.

| | <u> </u> |
|----|---|
| 1 | 49 Q. They were primarily derived from the completion of |
| 2 | the California beach house project I had done and |
| 3 | completed in approximately November of 2007. |
| 4 | Q. Would you tell the Judge what you mean by the |
| 5 | California beach house property? |
| 6 | A. Yes. I'm sorry. |
| 7 | In or about November 2007, myself and John |
| 8 | Kaiser had sold a property that we had purchased and |
| 9 | renovated over the course of approximately one year, and |
| 10 | as a result of the sale of that property for |
| 11 | eight-and-a-half million dollars, I utilized my portion of |
| 12 | the funds or a portion of my portion of the funds to |
| 13 | purchase my home in Cabo San Lucas, Mexico. |
| 14 | Q. Phil, are you familiar with FORF-1, an exhibit |
| 15 | introduced by the Government in the forfeiture hearing? |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 17 | MR. HALEY: Could I have a moment, Judge? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Let's take a short break. |
| 19 | MR. HALEY: Very well. |
| 20 | Thank you, Judge. |
| 21 | THE COURT: We will take 10 minutes. |
| 22 | (Recess taken.) |
| 23 | (After recess.) |
| 24 | THE COURT: Please be seated. |
| 25 | (The witness resumes the stand.) |
| | |

50 1 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, does the Court have in 2 its possession Government's Exhibit FORF-1? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. HALEY: Thank you. 5 BY MR. HALEY: 6 Q. Phil, would you kindly take a look at Government's 7 Exhibit FORF-1. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Let's begin with the column identified as Diamante 10 Del Mar (northern property.) 11 Do you see that column? 12 Yes, I do. Α. 13 Q. You testified previously as to your knowledge of 14 Diamante Del Mar northern property briefly, but as relates 15 to that chart would you kindly interpret for the Court 16 those numbers as set forth in the chart, the source of 17 those investments, to your knowledge? 18 Α. The total of \$9.1 million may or may not be 19 completely accurate. I don't know that because I haven't 20 been able to review bank records to substantiate that, but 21 the number is approximately that as direct investments 22 from each of the individuals with wire transfers directly 23 to a bank account controlled by Mr. Jowdy and directed by 24 Mr. Jowdy at the time. 25 This was the first property that Mr. Jowdy had

Direct/Halev Kenner

51 1 engaged with us to invest in northern Baja starting in 2 August of 2002 with the last investment sometime in or about February of 2005. 3 4 Q. Well, Phil, when you say direct investments, I may 5 know what you mean, but could you be more specific? 6 Α. Yes. I apologize. 7 These were investments that were made pursuant 8 to subscription agreements that each individual signed 9 with Mr. Jowdy's attorneys prior to the wire transfer of 10 their funds directly from their bank accounts directly to 11 one of Mr. Jowdy's controlled bank accounts. 12 Well, did these funds get routed through Little Isle Q. 13 IV or the Hawaii land development project in accounts that 14 were held in those names? 15 Α. No, sir. 16 Well, did these funds get routed in relation to any Q. 17 accounts maintained by CMG Management or Eufora? 18 Α. No, sir. 19 Q. Well, did these funds get routed through any accounts 20 controlled or maintained by any of the entities associated 21 with the Global Settlement Fund? 22 Α. No, sir. 23 Well, did these funds get routed or connected with Q. 24 any accounts related to the Sag Harbor/Ledbetter aspect of

25

the indictment?

52 1 Α. No, sir. 2 Going to the next column identified as Palms, do you Q. 3 see that column? 4 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 5 Q. Would you simply explain to the Court your knowledge 6 of the monies depicted in that column? 7 Α. The first number of 500,000 which lines up with John 8 Kaiser, those are funds that he had testified -- excuse 9 me -- that he had interviewed with the FBI on October 19 10 of 2010, that he said he received \$550,000 from three 11 people, Mr. Rizzi, a few doctor friends of his and I 12 believe either his mother or his handicapped brother. 13 He told the FBI that he had acquired those funds 14 for some investment in Las Vegas that I was not involved 15 with, but he subsequently used those funds to purchase 16 some land from me in Mexico and subsequent to that tried 17 to claim the same \$500,000 as an investment in our Arizona 18 project. 19 So I'm not sure how that has to do anything with 20 the Palms frankly. 21 The other three individuals, Ethan Moreau, the 22 290,000 is an individual who went under contract with 23 Mr. Constantine to acquire a unit that Mr. Constantine had

bought on pre-development; and Mr. Moreau, through a long

series of e-mails and communications, decided to breach

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

53 the contract with Mr. Constantine against my judgment and recommendations and ultimately settled out-of-court to walkaway from that money with Mr. Constantine. Mr. Peca and Mr. McKee both invested with me at the time in 2008 for Mr. Peca, and early 2009 for Mr. McKee as 50/50 owners in each of two separate Palms penthouse units. Both Mr. McKee and Mr. Peca immediately breached their contracts with me despite the fact I made approximately \$90,000 worth of payments to Mr. Peca's unit. Let me stop you there, Phil. We will get to that Q. perhaps in another proceeding. As relates to the monies depicted in those, in that column, the Palms, what word did you use to describe the nature of those deposits? They were for -- I can't speak to Mr. Kaiser because Α. he triple counted the money, but with respect to Mr. Peca and Mr. McKee, they deposited their money directly from their own personal bank accounts into escrow accounts for the closing of those particular units, and Mr. Moreau had transferred his personal funds to Mr. Constantine as part and parcel to his purchase contract. Well, did any of those monies flow through accounts

controlled or maintained by any of the entities associated

54 1 with Hawaii land development, CMG/Eufora, Global 2 Settlement Fund and the Sag Harbor/Ledbetter? 3 Α. No. sir. 4 Q. Just so we can understand, Phil, when you look at 5 column two, LOC, do you see that? Α. Yes, sir. 6 7 Q. And we see Bryan Berard, 649405, do you see that 8 number? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. What is your understanding as to what that number 11 represents? 12 I believe that was the final balance in Mr. Berard's Α. 13 line of credit Northern Trust at the beginning of April 14 2009 when collectively we decided to allow those loans to 15 go to the final default and the collateral to be seized in 16 lieu of continuing payments from a company in Hawaii that 17 had no more cash available to it. 18 Well, does that number in that category have any Q. 19 relevance to the \$500,000 listed under the Diamante Del 20 Mar northern property column, or jumping ahead to the 21 \$500,000 identified under Frailes? 22 Α. No, sir, they're completely unique. 23 Well, to put it quite simple, did you take \$500,000 Q. 24 out of Bryan Berard's line of credit and use that money to 25 invest in Diamante Del Mar on this behalf?

55 1 Α. No, sir. 2 Well, did you take \$500,000 of his line of credit Q. 3 money, 649405 and use it to invest in Frailes on his 4 behalf? 5 Α. No, sir. 6 Q. I interrupted the questioning and your analysis, but 7 as relates to the Palms, you testified about Kaiser and 8 would you please continue as relates to the monies listed 9 in that company. 10 Α. I think we completed it. 11 Q. I believe we have as swell. Thank you. 12 You're welcome. Α. 13 Q. Let's go to Frailes. 14 Do you see the Frailes column? 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 And would you kindly identify your knowledge of the Q. 17 monies depicted in that column? 18 Although I believe a few of the numbers are Α. 19 incorrect, the monies in the Frailes column represent 20 investments that the individuals directly made into a 21 project near San Jose Del Cabo Mexico managed by an 22 individual named Robert Gaudet. 23 Those funds are unrelated to any of the other 24 four alleged schemes in the indictment.

In other words, when you say unrelated, those funds

25

Q.

56 1 did not flow through any of those accounts, if I 2 understand your testimony correctly, as maintained by 3 Hawaii land development, Eufora, Global Settlement Fund or 4 Sag Harbor/Ledbetter; is that correct? 5 Α. I would say with one exception. 6 Q. Tell the Judge what the exception is. 7 Α. The one exception, your Honor, is Steven Rucchin, 8 after the closing of the Hawaii land development deal. 9 asked for an additional \$300,000 investment be made in the 10 Los Frailes project out of his line of credit balance at 11 the time. 12 Q. As relates to Diamante Cabo San Lucas, do you see the 13 monies listed in that column? 14 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 15 And would you kindly, though it may be somewhat Q. 16 repetitive, Phil, testify to your understanding as to the 17 monies set forth in that column? 18 Α. Yes, I will. 19 The first name is Greg DeVries, 100,000. 20 was a direct investment that Mr. DeVries made in the 21 Diamante Cabo San Lucas project by wire transferring the 22 funds to Mr. Jowdy's Baja Development Corporation account. 23 The \$1.5 million with Mr. Lehtinen is part of the Baja Ventures 2006 LLC capital contribution we 24 25 discussed earlier.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

57 \$100,000 for Ethan Moreau was a direct investment from Mr. Moreau to one of Mr. Jowdy's controlled entities at his direction and is represented by CSL Properties operating agreement as such. The \$500,000 for Glen Murray was money that was adjudicated in Nevada in 2010 for where Mr. Jowdy was found quilty of stealing that money from Mr. Murray and subsequently wire transferring it to an account that he controlled in Mexico. Well, when you say found guilty, it wasn't a criminal Q. conviction there; is that correct? No. sir. Perhaps I'm using the word wrong. Α. Q. Maybe you are. What was the nature of that transaction? What was the nature of that lawsuit as you understood it? Mr. Murray had loaned Mr. Jowdy, in August of 2005, \$791,000 to purchase three residential condo units in Las Vegas. And 11 days later, when that deal was cancelled, Mr. Jowdy subsequently stole the money from the escrow accounts and wire transferred it to his own personal account Baja Development Corporation and subsequently within a day or two transferred 500,000 of those funds down to Mexico for his personal benefit. Q. But there was a lawsuit commenced as relates to that

Kenner Direct/Halev 58 1 dispute, correct? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 Q. That was a civil lawsuit: is that correct? 4 Α. That is correct. 5 Q. Are you aware there was a judgment in that lawsuit; 6 yes or no? 7 Α. Yes. sir. Was the judgment in Mr. Jowdy's favor or disfavor? 8 Q. 9 Α. It was his disfavor against both Mr. Murray and 10 myself as a third-party pro se defendant. 11 Q. Incidentally, do you know -- withdrawn. 12 Continue. 13 Α. Owen Nolan, \$100,000, these are actual funds that 14 Mr. Jowdy received in August of 2006 as a delayed 15 investment by Mr. Nolan. 16 Mr. Jowdy instructed us to wire the money to 17 Baja Development Corporation in August 2006 for Cabo San 18 Lucas investment, but Mr. Jowdy ultimately diverted those 19 funds for his own personal benefit, so I'm not sure why 20 it's on there. 21 Q. What if any relationship did that transfer have with 22 reference to accounts maintained or controlled by any of 23 the four alleged fraudulent schemes set forth in the

Mrs. Nolan took care of the wire transfer. She was

24

25

indictment?

Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Direct/Halev Kenner 59 the main person who took care of all of the family's finances. Next is I believe Michael Peca. \$100.000. believe Michael Peca managed to have a \$200,000 investment in Cabo San Lucas, so that number is wrong. But, again, it was a direct investment he made from his personal bank account to one of Mr. Jowdy's controlled accounts, and it ended up correct. The \$200,000 ended up correctly identified on the Cabo San Lucas LLC. Derrick Stevenson, 100,000. Mr. Stevenson also in or about February 2006 invested \$100,000 by a wire transfer to Mr. Jowdy's Baja Development Corporation account and it was represented properly I believe on the Cabo San Lucas LLC. Mr. Stumpel of 2,036,500, \$956,000 of that money is attributable to the promissory contract Mr. Stumpel and I have contributing to the capital contribution of Baja Ventures 2006. Some portion of the balance of that money is

Some portion of the balance of that money is related to a \$1.6 million loan that Mr. Stumpel made to Mr. Jowdy in Mexico, that Mr. Jowdy reneged on the loan, and was subsequently sued by Mr. Jowdy -- excuse me -- Mr. Jowdy was sued by Mr. Stumpel in Mexico in a criminal proceeding.

Q. When you say a criminal proceeding, you understand

60 1 there's a difference between civil and criminal; is that 2 correct? 3 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 4 Q. Here in the United States. 5 Why did you say criminal rather than civil? Α. In Mexico, when you commence litigation against 6 7 another party for fraud, you have the choice either to 8 adjudicate via criminal or civil means, and they each have 9 a separate set of rules and evidence procedure that 10 accompanies the case protocol. 11 When you say you, you mean you as a private Q. 12 individual without the need to let's say engage a 13 prosecutorial agency, can commence it as a criminal 14 proceeding? 15 Α. That is correct. 16 In fact, we had to do that on four separate 17 occasions versus Mr. Jowdy in Mexico, is proceed with 18 criminal prosecution of him. 19 Q. The next 150,000 from? 20 Α. Looks like Darryl Sydor. 21 THE COURT: There's \$2 million there. You were 22 talking about the 956. What's the rest of that money? 23 THE WITNESS: The rest of it is -- again, your 24 Honor, I apologize because I didn't do that calculation. 25 I don't know how they came up with \$2.036,000,500 but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

61 Mr. Stumpel has \$956,000 in Baja Ventures 2006 and the balance of those funds from 956 to 2.036 are part of Mr. Stumpel's \$1.6 million loan he made to Mr. Jowdy in Mexico. THE COURT: I got it. THE WITNESS: I believe Mr. Jowdy diverted some of those funds into another project that a number of us investors had sued him more for criminally in Mexico as well. THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: I hope that was more clear. Lastly, Darryl Sydor, 50,000. Α. I believe Mr. Sydor is 100,000 in the Cabo San Lucas LLC, so I'm not sure how they came up with the 50,000. Again, he wired transferred the money directly to a Jowdy controlled entity and the total amount that I was involved with was represented properly on the CSL operating agreement for Mr. Sydor. Not to belabor the record, when you use the word directly, do you mean -- or for purposes of this record telling this Court that these are monies that are not flowing through any of the entities that are controlled by either the Hawaii land development project, Eufora, the Global Settlement Fund and Sag Harbor/Ledbetter?

62 1 Α. That's correct. That's what I'm referring to. 2 Q. And that's true for all of those monies listed in the 3 column identified under Diamante Cabo San Lucas: is that 4 correct? 5 Α. I wouldn't paint it with such a broad brush just 6 because of the inaccuracies of each of the dollar amounts 7 in that column and the other related lawsuits that 8 pursued. 9 Q. You're correct and thank you for correcting me. 10 Incidentally, with reference to those monies in 11 the column identified as Diamante Cabo San Lucas, you 12 testified to direct investors. 13 To your knowledge, did those direct investments 14 reflect some ownership interest that they acquired in this 15 Diamante Cabo San Lucas? 16 Each of the direct investments that went to Mr. Jowdy 17 on behalf of my clients were properly represented on the 18 final operating agreements that were subset agreements of 19 the Diamante Cabo San Lucas project. That is correct. 20 Although, again, these numbers are far askew. 21 Q. I understand. 22 This is the same Diamante Cabo San Lucas that 23 was identified during the course of the trial, the ongoing 24 operational golf resort in Mexico; is that correct? 25 Yes, sir. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

63 Q. And, parenthetically, has there been any dispute between your hockey player clients and Mr. Jowdy with respect to their investments and the accounting for their investments? Α. Yes, sir, there has continued to be. Even though I was removed from the plaintiffs position on behalf of my clients, after I was indicted the group of investors took it upon themselves to hire new counsel and continue with the same litigation versus Mr. Jowdy with respect to Diamante Del Mar and Diamante Cabo San Lucas. Do you know, again as an aside, whether that lawsuit, Q. a component of it, is a simple request to see the books and records of Diamante Cabo San Lucas? Α. Yes, sir. At the time that I was deposed in one of those cases, that is what I understood the lawsuit to be paramount to, the same as both Mr. Constantine and I attempted to do years prior with Mr. Jowdy. Do you know, as you sit here today, whether Mr. Jowdy Q. and/or his attorneys and/or people under his control and direction have supplied the books and records to your clients? To the best of my knowledge, I don't believe they

have been given the books and records.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

64 Q. Phil, I'm going to show you some documents introduced into evidence by the government, not all, a select portion, and ask you some very basic questions as relates to these documents. I'm going to show you chart 27 introduced by the government into evidence. Α. Yes, sir. Q. Would you explain to the judge what -- first of all, are the financial transactions depicted in that chart? MR. HALEY: Judge, do you have it? THE COURT: Yes, I have it. BY MR. HALEY: Q. Are they, to the best of your knowledge, accurate? Α. I believe the money flow appears to be accurate in each of those, correct. Would you describe what these transactions represent Q. in connection with your relationship with Ken Jowdy in or Little Isle IV and the Diamante Del Mar project? Α. It would be related to Diamante Cabo San Lucas. Q. Diamante Cabo San Lucas. Excuse me. Α. With respect to the rest of the question, these are three of the multiple investors in Diamante Cabo San Lucas project through CSL Properties; Mr. Norstrom, Mr. Stevenson and Mr. DeVries in February and early March

of 2006 prior to the closing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

65 They each transferred their \$100,000 to Mr. Jowdy's Baja Development account and at that point in time the subsequent transfer I believe is Mr. Jowdy making repayments in February and March 2006 to the Hawaiian account Ula Makika with respect to his December 4th Hawaiian loan to December 2004 loan with the Hawaiian entities, excuse me. Q. So perhaps to state the obvious, you had access to monies from the lines of credit, correct? Α. No, sir. These were all direct investments from their individual bank accounts that they made on their own. These are investments that went directly to where Mr. Jowdy instructed us to send the wire transfers for the Cabo San Lucas closing. And subsequent to the money being in his control, he made a series of payments. These are a few of the payments he made. In fact, I believe he made nine payments totaling \$360,000 in the five weeks prior to the closing up until three days before closing on the last payment he made on March 23rd, 2006, and he never returned a single dollar to any of us after that date. Well, these payments, were they part of the -- they were part of the loans that were being made to Mr. Jowdy;

| | 66 |
|----|--|
| 1 | is that correct? |
| 2 | A. The repayment amounts in the right-hand column are |
| 3 | part of Mr. Jowdy's loan arrangements. |
| 4 | The left-hand column, the three \$100,000 |
| 5 | transfers, are individual investments in Mr. Jowdy's |
| 6 | into Mr. Jowdy's account Baja Development Corporation of |
| 7 | which he properly allocated those funds on the operating |
| 8 | agreements. |
| 9 | Q. I got it. Thank you. |
| 10 | THE COURT: You're basically saying these things |
| 11 | have nothing to do with each other, they're two totally |
| 12 | separate things? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: That is correct. I apologize if |
| 14 | it wasn't clear. |
| 15 | THE COURT: No, I was just confirming that. |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Your Honor, can I trouble somebody |
| 17 | for some water? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I'm going to refer to |
| 21 | FORF-52. I have a copy for the Court. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I think I have all of them. 52? |
| 23 | MR. HALEY: Yes, sir. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Actually I do need a copy of that |
| 25 | one. |
| | |

67 1 MR. HALEY: May I approach, Judge? 2 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. HALEY: 3 4 Q. Now, Phil, taking a look at FORF-52, this is admitted 5 into evidence, but do you have any reason to doubt the 6 authenticity of this e-mail? 7 Α. No. 8 I believe the e-mail is an accurate 9 representation of the traffic between Robert Gaudet, the 10 manager of the Los Frailes project, and Bryan Berard. 11 Q. Would you describe to the Court the significance of 12 this document from your perspective? 13 Α. The document, although I disagree with some of the 14 amounts and the totals, and in particular the December 7, 15 2007 date next to Mr. Kaiser's name, although that 16 represents half of his investment, not the entire \$1 17 million which was invested into the company. 18 This represents the \$6.05 million that was paid 19 directly to the seller through Mr. Gaudet's company in 20 Mexico with respect to the acquisition of the Los Frailes 21 project back in 2007-2008 time frame. 22 Q. What if any relationship does that have -- what if 23 any relationship exists between the information contained 24 on that document, some of which you dispute in terms of 25 its accuracy, and the four fraudulent schemes as alleged

68 1 in the indictment? 2 Α. Nothing. 3 Q. Kindly take a look at FORF-54. 4 MR. HALEY: Again, Judge, I have a copy readily 5 available. 6 THE COURT: 0kay. I have it. 7 BY MR. HALEY: Again, Phil, these have been admitted into evidence. 8 Q. 9 As relates to this particular document, FORF-54, 10 what if any part of that document is relevant for the 11 purposes of this forfeiture hearing as you see it? 12 The basic relevancy is that I am continuing through 13 the course of some of these e-mails to ask Mr. Jowdy for 14 funds to be repaid as he has been stretching the loan 15 amount and time period out further than it was originally 16 anticipated, and he's making promises that he's got 17 more money coming, more money coming back to us. 18 And during this time period is when Mr. Jowdy 19 did make nine payments by wire transfer from his bank 20 accounts to Ula Makika totaling \$360,000 of repayments up 21 until three days before he closed on the Cabo San Lucas 22 property. 23 So, Phil, we don't dispute that the loans coming out 24 of Hawaii land development were loan monies that were 25 acquired from your hockey player clients, correct?

Direct/Halev Kenner

69 1 Α. The loans, the funds that came from Hawaii were from 2 my hockey player investors, myself and Mr. Kaiser. 3 Q. But those loans derived in whole or in part from 4 monies that were invested in the Hawaii land development; 5 is that correct? Α. Yes, sir. 6 7 Q. I know at least you testified during the course of 8 the trial that those loans to Mr. Jowdy were not part of 9 some fraudulent scheme to deceive or defraud your hockey 10 player clients, correct? 11 Α. No, sir. 12 We still enjoy collecting those loans today. 13 Well who, if anyone to your knowledge, would be in a Q. 14 position to commence litigation and collect on those loans 15 today? 16 John Kaiser. Α. 17 Q. How so? 18 John Kaiser, since December 31st of 2007, has been Α. 19 the managing partner of Na'Alehu Ventures, which is the 20 parent company of the joint venture on behalf of myself, 21 Mr. Kaiser and Mr. Manfredi and the rest of the investors 22 that I introduced to the project. 23 So I cannot commence litigation. I had engaged 24 some New York -- Rhode Island attorneys in 2010 who were

willing to begin litigation, but they needed Mr. Kaiser to

25

70 1 sign off and that was at about the time that he went to 2 work for Mr. Jowdy in Mexico. 3 Q. To your knowledge, what if any efforts has John 4 Kaiser made to collect on those loan agreements? 5 MS. LEONARDO: Objection. Α. Zero. 6 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 Α. Zero. 9 Q. Phil, I'm going to ask you to take a look at FORF-55. 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Again, this is admitted into evidence so it speaks Q. 12 for itself, but would you kindly explain to the Court from 13 your perspective what if any relevance that has in 14 connection with this forfeiture hearing? 15 With respect to the Palms column on government chart Α. 16 FORF-1, it's an e-mail from Mr. McKee to myself in October 17 2010 where he effectively misrepresents the most important 18 part of the agreement that I had made with him at the 19 Palms which led to his breach of the agreement and 20 subsequent discomfort with one another. 21 Q. So those litary of complaints from Mr. McKee in this 22 e-mail, he neglects to mention his default; is that 23 correct? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 That's what led to the problems between us, that

71 1 he failed to turn over the revenues from the units but 2 expected me to uphold my end of the deal and make the 3 mortgage payments. 4 It's the same issue I ran into with 5 Mr. and Mrs. Peca. 6 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I'll reserve my right to 7 further develop that issue during the course of what I 8 know will be a Fatico hearing if I may. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. HALEY: Thank you. 11 BY MR. HALEY: 12 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look at FORF-56. 13 Do you recognize that document? 14 Α. Yes, sir, I do. 15 Again, it's admitted into evidence. Q. 16 Phil, what, if any, relevance does that document 17 have from your perspective to the issues presented in this 18 forfeiture hearing? 19 Α. With respect to the government's request to have the 20 sugar mill property on Hawaii Belt Road forfeited, again 21 the land was purchased as part and parcel to the Hawaii 22 land investments. 23 And the advice of counsel was to gift it into a 24 501(c)(3) Hawaiian not for profit entity. 25 At the close of the 2006 loan with Lehman

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner Direct/Halev

72 Brothers on August 26 of 2006, they decided not to acquire this property but with a right to acquire it within a year pending remediation of about a quarter million dollar petroleum cleanup on the property. Lehman and the joint venture partner, Alan Worden of Windwalker, decided against requiring it during the time period and effectively the property sat vacant with the old sugar mill site on it until about 2013 when I engaged one of the officers in the not for profit entity, John Cross, and told him I wanted to sell the property and donate the proceeds to a brain cancer research foundation that I had been raising money for. Mr. Cross agreed to that and I memorialized it in an e-mail following our phone call. Q. You testified earlier that on advice of counsel there was a recommendation that you transfer it into a charitable entity? Α. Yes, sir. Q. That is correct? Q. Could you elucidate what you mean by that? Α. Yes. In Hawaii, although real estate development is real estate development everywhere, your Honor, the Hawaiians are very sensitive to say the least about land,

historic lands, et cetera.

73 And since this was one of the plaintiffs, we were only the second owners in the last 150 years and the first change of ownership since statehood, this particular 10 acre parcel, which was the former site of the Kailua agribusiness sugar mill which supplied all of the sugar boats bound for South America, our attorney, our real estate attorney, Steve Lim out of Carlsmith Ball in Hawaii, suggested that as a gift to the local community in Kailua District, we should set aside this 10 acre parcel to develop a museum ultimately in concert with any real estate development we were going to do in the area which seemed like a great idea to all of us involved, but the land was transferred into a 501(c)(3) that Mr. Lim's business law firm set up for us. And after eight years of me paying the real

And after eight years of me paying the real estate taxes finally, since there was nothing else to do, I just decided to collapse it and attempt to sell it in or about 2013 and donate the funds to a brain cancer research foundation that I had raised money for.

- Q. Now, we agree that this property is related to the Hawaiian land development project; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look at FORF-57.
- 24 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. Again, what does that document represent to your

74 1 knowledge? 2 Α. The three documents here are two wire transfers. 3 With Mr. Peca's approval I transferred \$50,000 4 on March 3 of '06, and \$50,000 on March 8 of '06 for this 5 investment in Cabo San Lucas resort into Mr. Jowdy's Baja 6 Development Corporation, which I was instructed to do so. 7 And the third page is the same activity on 8 February 22nd of '06, which I believe was represented on 9 chart 27 of \$100,000 wire transfer. 10 This I believe was signed directly by Mr. 11 Stevenson because he was available to sign, although these 12 are the unsigned versions. 13 As a result of any of the power of attorney 14 documents though that were signed by me, it would be 15 common practice to fax or scan and e-mail the signed 16 document for the client's records after the conclusion of 17 the transaction. 18 Does this reflect one of the direct deposits you were Q. 19 testifying to earlier? 20 Α. Yes. 21 In fact, it represents three of them, two from 22 Mr. Peca totaling \$100,000, and one from Mr. Stevenson of 23 \$100,000. 24 If you could take a look at what's been admitted into 25 evidence as FORF-60.

75 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 Q. What, to your knowledge, does that e-mail represent? 3 Α. On or about February 5, '06, it's an e-mail from 4 myself to Ken Jowdy and general counsel for the Diamante 5 projects, William Najam, also Mr. Jowdy's brother-in-law. 6 These are a listing, an incomplete listing, of 7 wire transfers that had gone from either Little Isle IV or 8 Ula Makika to Mr. Jowdy with respect to the Mexican 9 projects and Mr. Najam had just on that same day sent me 10 an e-mail instructing Mr. Jowdy to get his accounting 11 straight, that Lehman Brothers had told them that all of 12 these monies that were loans had to be deemed investments 13 with respect to the final closing. 14 So Mr. Najam sent that e-mail to myself and 15 Mr. Jowdy, and Mr. Jowdy then by phone had asked me to put 16 a list together of all of these investments. 17 The two \$350,000 wire transfers on May 17 and 18 May 4th, '05, and the two wire transfers of 325 and 19 \$225,000 on May 17 and 18th were referenced in those two 20 Spanish promissory contractual agreements verifying the 21 December 2004 loan agreement. 22 Q. Would that be FORF-61? 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 And there's one more I believe perhaps 62.

Let me refresh your recollection.

25

Q.

76 1 FORF-61, is what you're referring to? 2 Α. Yes. sir. 3 That would be for the first two wire transfers I 4 believe of 350,000 on May 17 and 350 on May 4th. 5 Yes, sir, this is the one for Ula Makika. 6 Q. F0RF-62? 7 Α. Yes, sir, that's correct. 8 So the information on those two documents that 9 are dated in June of 2005, were actually derived from the 10 information I sent Mr. Jowdy and Mr. Najam seven months 11 later on February 5, '06. 12 Phil, you testified that there was an e-mail from Mr. Q. 13 Najam to you telling you that for purposes of the Lehman 14 loan, which was being utilized to develop the Diamante 15 Cabo San Lucas, they wanted to characterize these loans, 16 these monies, as investments rather than loans? 17 Α. That is what Mr. Najam was instructing Mr. Jowdy and 18 myself with respect to communication he had with Lehman 19 Brothers' general counsel. 20 So Mr. Najam and Mr. Jowdy and Mr. Is a bat 21 Bahti at Lehman Brothers were crystal clearly aware of the 22 loan status between the Hawaiian project and Mr. Jowdy and 23 Mr. Najam was just clarifying they were not to be 24 represented to Lehman as loans from us to Mr. Jowdy. 25 Are you in possession of an e-mail to that effect? Q.

Kenner Direct/Halev

77 1 Α. Yes, I am. 2 Do you know if the government is in possession of an Q. 3 e-mail to that effect? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 I saw it several times on different disclosures 6 in Rule 16. 7 Q. How much money did Lehman Brothers provide to Ken 8 Jowdy for the development of the Diamante Cabo San Lucas 9 property? 10 Α. It was \$125 million acquisition and phase one 11 development loan. 12 Do you know whether or not Mr. Jowdy and/or his Q. 13 attorney or people operating on his behalf accurately 14 characterized that money in applying for the loan as loans 15 rather than investments? 16 Α. No, sir, they did not. 17 Q. And they got the loan, right? 18 Α. Yes, sir, they did. 19 Q. Kindly take a look at what's been marked FORF-58, 20 again already admitted into evidence. 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 What, to your knowledge, does that document represent? 23

24 The first one with the ending Bates stamp 771 is a Α.

25 \$1.5 million wire transfer from Mr. Lehtinen's bank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

78 account to Mr. Jowdy and Mr. Garcia's bank account, propiedades DDM bank account, to Mr. Jowdy and Mr. Garcia's bank account in Mexico for the capital contribution as represented in the promissory contract between myself, Baja Ventures 2006 and Mr. Lehtinen. And then Bates stamped ending 772 and 774 are the two wire transfers that were made by Mr. Stumpel -- on Mr. Stumpel's behalf, excuse me, for 510,000 and \$450,000 to the Ula Makika bank account that I just established and then forwarded on to Mr. Jowdy begin for capital contributions to the Baja Ventures 2006 investment and 39 percent interest in the Cabo San Lucas project. Q. When you did that what, if any, commingling of funds was there between that direct deposit and any monies flowing from Little Isle IV? I don't believe there was any commingling. Α. The money came in on the 16th and 17th of May, 2005, and within 48 hours the funds were transferred out to the accounts as directed by Mr. Jowdy and all of those funds are represented in my capital account for Baja Ventures 2006 representing myself, Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen. Q. Incidentally, Phil, I have to preface this question with this remark. There's a myriad of financial documents that

| | 79 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | have been introduced into evidence, charts and things of | | | |
| 2 | that nature, but have you noticed some discrepancy between | | | |
| 3 | CSL accounts and monies that were deposited or | | | |
| 4 | representation as relates to the amount of money that came | | | |
| 5 | to a CSL? | | | |
| 6 | What I'm referring to is some calculation by the | | | |
| 7 | 39 percent interest. That's what I'm trying to get to. | | | |
| 8 | A. Yes. | | | |
| 9 | Q. Do you understand my question? | | | |
| 10 | A. I think I do now. | | | |
| 11 | MR. HALEY: It's confusing to me, Judge. | | | |
| 12 | A. If I can clear it up I will try to. | | | |
| 13 | The original ownership that I negotiated with | | | |
| 14 | Mr. Jowdy on behalf of myself, Stumpel and Lehtinen was a | | | |
| 15 | 40 percent interest in the project. | | | |
| 16 | And via the e-mail Mr. Jowdy sent me on February | | | |
| 17 | 19 of 2006, where he reallocated the funding for the | | | |
| 18 | project, excuse me, the equity for the project, he told me | | | |
| 19 | I was going to have a 39 percent interest which | | | |
| 20 | effectively calculates out to the total deposited monies | | | |
| 21 | paid by Lehtinen and Stumpel for the Baja Ventures | | | |
| 22 | investment. | | | |
| 23 | Q. So that's how it matches up, correct? | | | |
| 24 | A. Yes, sir, that's correct. | | | |
| 25 | MR. HALEY: Can I have a moment, Judge? | | | |
| | | | | |

80 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 (Pause in proceedings.) BY MR. HALEY: 3 4 Q. I don't believe I have shown you this document as yet 5 Phil, FORF-67. 6 Α. Yes, I recall this from the Government's case. 7 Q. Would you kindly describe your knowledge of the 8 contents of that document? 9 Α. This e-mail was one of I'll represent 50 similar 10 e-mails that I would have received from Mr. Jowdy in 2005 11 and into 2006, as he was seeking funding for the Diamante 12 Del Mar project. 13 On November 12 of 2005, he sent me a message 14 saying he had received a commitment from KSI, which 15 ultimately became the lender who put a \$3 million lien on 16 the Diamante Del Mar project unknown to myself and any of 17 the other investors until about 2007 when Mr. Constantine 18 began negotiating on behalf of the investors of Mr. Jowdy 19 and disclosed it to us. 20 But effectively it was February 21st of 2006 21 that Mr. Jowdy ultimately got the KSI loan, although he 22 represents here, just like on 50 other money lenders they 23 were ready to close within 30 days. So this was I believe one of a couple of e-mails 24 25 I heard about KSI, and the idea he was trying to push me

Kenner - Direct/Haley

to get KSI to do some lending similar to what Centrum and Urban Expansion had done for us in Hawaii at the same time.

Interestingly enough, on page 2 which is Bates stamped KJ 2597, Mr. Jowdy actually represents on December 28, my biggest concern with KSI is they have been pretty strict on our use of funds and they're adamant none of the funds go to the developer.

His biggest concern there was pursuant to the December 2004 Hawaiian loan agreement, if he could not pay us back in full, it was required that he pay us back at least half of the money outstanding at that time.

So a \$3 million loan from KSI would not have given him enough money to even begin to pay us back, so that's why he was so concerned about that, and effectively telling me that he wasn't going to be able to use these guys.

But in the same e-mail string he told me he had a \$75 million loan lined up for Diamante Del Mar at the exact same time in his November 12th e-mail.

So he was trying anywhere and everywhere to get financing which was hopefully to our benefit, but effectively when he took out that loan on February 21st of '06, he didn't notify myself or any of the other investors that he had taken the \$3 million loan or that he had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

82 embezzled about \$800,000 of the proceeds in the first month after receiving the loan, and that's how we all lost our investment in Diamante Del Mar based on the default of that particular loan by Mr. Jowdy a few years later. Q. Phil, there was a proceeding commenced before the American Arbitration Association involving you; is that correct? Α. Yes, sir. Q. And in connection with that proceeding, were various affidavits produced and signed and executed by various individuals? Yes, sir, there were a number of them all involved with the Hawaii land development deal. Q. As relates to those affidavits, just so the Judge knows, who created those affidavits? Tell the Judge the circumstances under which they came to be created? Α. During the course of the five day arbitration, the three judge arbitration panel told us that since we had already extended the length of the hearing -- excuse me -the length of the arbitration longer than they had expected, in lieu of having other witnesses testify, they would be willing to accept signed affidavits by any number of the follow-up witnesses that were going to testify to similar information in front of the three judges.

So Mr. Baker, who was my Arizona co-counsel at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Direct/Haley

83 the time, created, drafted a series of affidavits with my assistance for information purposes and we sent them out to about half a dozen of the investors who signed them and returned them and they were all submitted to the three judge panel at that time. MR. HALEY: Your Honor, again, rather than belabor the record, this issue, with reference to the affidavits, I would reserve my rights in connection with the Fatico hearing to address those affidavits because I believe in the course of the Fatico hearing, much like this hearing, hearsay is admissible and we can introduce documents for this Court to have a complete record in terms of what if any proof of fraudulent activity Mr. Kenner was engaged in. THE COURT: Okay. MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, if I can add so counsel can get this together, three of the affidavits are not sworn to and the fourth affidavit that we provided is not even signed by the investor. MR. HALEY: That is correct, Judge. The affidavits that we have were acquired as part of the search warrant. The information I have is that the unsigned affidavit was ultimately signed and presented before the

arbitration panel, and I believe the other three were

```
84
      subsequently executed, notarized and presented as well.
 1
                But counsel is correct, Judge. I do not have
 2
 3
      currently in my possession fully executed affidavits.
                That's simply my offer of proof.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Okay.
 6
                MR. HALEY: I have no further questions.
 7
                THE COURT: Let's take the lunch break and
 8
      reconvene at 2:00.
 9
                (A lunch recess is taken.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | 85 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | THE CLERK: All rise. | | | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Please be seated. | | | |
| 5 | Okay, Mr. Kenner, please come up to the stand. | | | |
| 6 | (The witness resumes the stand.) | | | |
| 7 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, if I may, I did conclude | | | |
| 8 | my direct of Mr. Kenner. | | | |
| 9 | Briefly, though, I would simply like to say | | | |
| 10 | occasionally I do get it right. | | | |
| 11 | As relates to FORF-44, my objection to that | | | |
| 12 | document was simply as relates to the last column where | | | |
| 13 | there were notes. | | | |
| 14 | I withdraw that objection. I stand by that, | | | |
| 15 | Judge. The entire exhibit can go into evidence. I did | | | |
| 16 | not object in its totality, only the note portion. | | | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Leonardo. | | | |
| 18 | MS. LEONARDO: Thank you, your Honor. | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | | | |
| 21 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | | | |
| 22 | Q. Mr. Kenner, you've testified in a number of different | | | |
| 23 | civil proceedings; is that correct? | | | |
| 24 | A. Yes. | | | |
| 25 | Q. You testified you gave deposition testimony in a | | | |

86 1 lawsuit entitled Kenner v. Myerick; is that correct? 2 Α. Can you ask the question again? 3 Q. You gave deposition testimony in Myerick v. Kenner or 4 Kenner v. Myerick? 5 Α. I believe I did. 6 Q. You also gave testimony during the course of an 7 arbitration proceeding with Owen Nolan; is that correct? 8 I gave testimony during the arbitration. Α. 9 Q. That's correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And the arbitration proceeding was brought against Q. 12 you with regard to whether or not you owed a fiduciary 13 duty to the Nolans; is that correct? 14 Α. I don't recall the specifics. Do you recall being asked questions and giving 15 Q. 16 answers with regard to investments that you advised the 17 Nolans to invest in? 18 I don't recall any specific questions. If you put Α. 19 them in front of me, I can verify it. 20 Do you recall that there was a finding by the Q. 21 arbitration panel that you did owe a duty to the Nolans 22 and there was a judgment against you in the amount of \$2.2 23 million: is that correct? I don't remember the specific ruling from the 24

arbitration panel as to the reasons, but I do recall that

25

87

1 there was a \$2.2 million judgment based on Mr. Nolan's

- 2 representations that he had not signed up for the line of
- 3 credit.
- 4 Q. So there is a judgment against you for \$2.2 million
- 5 in favor of the Nolans; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes, there is.
- 7 Q. And subsequent to that judgment, you testified in
- 8 | what's called a debtor's exam; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes, I believe I did.
- 10 Q. I believe that was in 2010?
- 11 A. I don't recall exactly when.
- 12 Q. And you understood at the time that the debtor's exam
- was to explore any assets that you had in order to pay the
- 14 \$2.2 million judgment; is that correct?
- 15 A. I answered the questions that were asked of me that
- 16 day.
- 17 Q. Did you understand that the Nolans' attorneys were
- 18 attempting to locate any assets that you had?
- 19 A. I don't recall what I knew at the time.
- 20 Q. Do you recall being asked whether you had ownership
- 21 interest in different LLCs?
- 22 A. I don't recall any of the specific questions, but if
- you put them in front of me I can verify them.
- Q. Do you recall also testifying in a deposition in a
- 25 lawsuit that you brought entitled Little Isle v. Jowdy?

Cross/Leonardo Kenner -

88 1 Α. I remember taking a deposition. I believe I took two 2 depositions in that case. 3 Q. You gave depositions in that case, correct? 4 Α. I believe I did. 5 Q. And that is a lawsuit brought by you as a managing 6 member of Little Isle IV against Ken Jowdy with regard to 7 the loan agreement; is that correct? 8 That's a portion of the lawsuit, correct. Α. 9 It was also as managing member of Ula Makika and 10 also myself individually for loans and other agreements 11 that Mr. Jowdy hadn't paid on. 12 That's the caption, the way you brought the lawsuit, Q. 13 correct? 14 Α. I'm not sure I understood what you said. 15 The caption of the lawsuit is Little Isle, Ula Makika Q. 16 and Phil Kenner against Ken Jowdy; is that correct? 17 If you show it to me, I can verify it. Α. 18 Q. Basically, you are attempting to have a court enforce 19 the loan agreement that you claim Mr. Jowdy entered into; 20 is that correct? 21 Α. Once he told me he was no longer going to pay it, 22 that is correct. 23 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I move to strike the 24 unresponsive portion.

THE COURT: That's okay. Keep going.

25

89 1 BY MS. LEONARDO: 2 Q. During the course of that lawsuit, you filed a 3 complaint, correct? 4 Α. My attorneys filed a complaint. 5 Q. Did you review that complaint before it was filed? 6 Α. I don't believe so. 7 Q. Did you, after that complaint was filed, you're aware 8 of course that Mr. Jowdy's attorneys moved to dismiss that 9 complaint; isn't that correct? 10 Α. I don't recall all the protocol. 11 I know Mr. Jowdy's attorneys did everything they 12 could to avoid turning over evidence in the case. 13 Q. Well, the case was moved to dismiss by Mr. Jowdy 14 because one of diversity jurisdiction in which you claim 15 you were a citizen of Nevada or Arizona; is that correct? 16 Α. I'm having --17 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm having a hard time 18 hearing her for some reason. I don't know if it's too 19 loud. 20 THE COURT: I don't know if it's too loud. Move 21 back from the microphone a little bit. 22 MS. LEONARDO: I don't think the reporters ever 23 tell me I'm too loud. 24 THE WITNESS: If you could ask it again. 25

90 1 BY MS. LEONARDO: 2 Q. Mr. Jowdy's attorney moved to dismiss that first 3 complaint that you filed; isn't that correct? Α. I don't recall. 5 Q. Do you recall submitting affidavits, you, your own 6 affidavits, in support of whether or not you were a 7 citizen of Nevada or Arizona in that lawsuit? 8 I recall an issue with respect to the fact that I 9 lived in Nevada. 10 Do you recall that the court in that lawsuit, which Q. 11 was actually a federal court in Arizona, do you recall 12 that the court in that lawsuit ordered what's called 13 expedited discovery; do you remember that? 14 Α. I do recall Mr. Jowdy's attorneys requesting 15 expedited discovery. 16 Do you recall that it was before because Mr. Jowdy Q. 17 alleged that the loan agreement you were relying upon was 18 a forgery; isn't that correct? 19 Α. Conveniently, yes. 20 Q. It's a yes or no question, Mr. Kenner. 21 Was it because Mr. Jowdy's attorneys claimed it 22 was a forgery? I believe it's because Mr. Jowdy claimed it was a 23 Α. 24 forgery. 25 And Mr. Jowdy submitted a declaration, did he not, Q.

| | Refiller - Cross/Leonardo |
|----|--|
| 1 | 91 with regard to that proceeding? |
| 2 | A. I don't recall. If you show it to me, I can verify |
| 3 | it. |
| | |
| 4 | Q. I'm showing you what's marked as exhibit 46. |
| 5 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I don't believe you |
| 6 | have a copy. |
| 7 | MR. HALEY: Could I have a copy? |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: What exhibit is this? |
| 9 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 10 | Q. Mr. Kenner, I'm asking if you could look at exhibit |
| 11 | 46. |
| 12 | Do you recognize that? |
| 13 | (Pause in proceedings.) |
| 14 | A. I think I've seen this before. |
| 15 | Q. That was a declaration that Mr. Jowdy submitted in |
| 16 | the lawsuit you brought against him in Little Isle v. |
| 17 | Jowdy in Arizona Federal Court; isn't that correct? |
| 18 | A. I recall seeing this about 10 months before he |
| 19 | testified in California, yes. |
| 20 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer exhibit 76 |
| 21 | into evidence. |
| 22 | MR. HALEY: No objection. |
| 23 | MR. CONWAY: No objection, your Honor. |
| 24 | THE COURT: 76 is admitted. |
| 25 | (Government Exhibit 76 in evidence.) |
| | |

92 1 BY MS. LEONARDO: 2 By the way, Mr. Kenner, prior to testifying here Q. 3 today, did you review all of the e-mails and documents 4 that the government has offered into evidence during this 5 proceeding? 6 I don't know if I've seen every single one of them or not. 7 8 Q. With regard to any e-mails you may have seen that 9 contain your e-mail addresses on them, are you claiming 10 that any are not authentic? 11 Α. I haven't made any such claim. 12 So, in other words, if an e-mail has your e-mail Q. 13 address on it, then you received or sent that e-mail; 14 isn't that correct? 15 If you show me the specific document, I will be able Α. 16 to verify that. 17 Q. Also in the Little Isle suit that was brought by you, 18 the original complaint that you filed with the Court made 19 no mention of a written agreement with Mr. Jowdy; isn't 20 that correct? 21 I don't recall what my lawyers wrote. Α. 22 Your Honor, I'm going showing the MS. LEONARDO: 23 witness what's marked FORF-77. 24 (Pause in proceedings.)

25

Α.

Okay, I reviewed it.

93

- 1 Q. Your initial complaint does not make reference to a
- 2 | written revolving line of credit agreement with Mr. Jowdy;
- 3 | isn't that correct?
- 4 A. It refers to just an agreement.
- 5 Q. In fact, in response to Mr. Jowdy's motion to dismiss
- 6 your complaint the first time, you filed a written
- 7 | revolving line of credit agreement with the Court; isn't
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. If I remember correctly, we were waiting on it to be
- 10 sent to us from John Kaiser who was in possession of the
- 11 document.
- 12 Q. This is a line of credit agreement where you are --
- 13 | it's a promissory note, correct, as you allege?
- 14 A. I think the document speaks for itself. I can
- 15 | comment on it if you show it to me.
- 16 Q. Mr. Kenner, you drafted it; isn't that correct?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am, I did draft it.
- 18 Q. And in the promissory note you put in such terms as
- 19 interest; isn't that correct?
- 20 A. Yes, I believe it was 15 percent interest.
- 21 Q. And you left the original promissory note with a
- 22 person who had to pay the amounts due on that note; isn't
- 23 | that correct?
- 24 A. When the document was signed in Cabo San Lucas in
- 25 December 2004, Mr. Jowdy made a copy, handed me one copy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Cross/Leonardo

94 and he kept another copy, and I realized later that he had the original, but I never thought much about it until we began legal proceedings with him. From the year December 2004, when you allege the note was signed, up until the closing of Lehman whether in Hawaii or Mexico, you never once thought to ask for the original promissory note? I thought the original -- excuse me. I assumed that the contract that I had with Mr. Jowdy was good and acceptable and Mr. Jowdy was making payments on it right through the closing of March 26, 2006. So it wasn't until he terminated negotiations through Mr. Constantine where he had admitted to all of the monies he owed us that it ever became an issue. Q. Now, you testified on direct that you were present at a deposition in an action brought by Greg DeVries and a number of other hockey players against Mr. Jowdy; do you recall that testimony? Α. I was present on January 5th and 6th in Mr. Jowdy's two day telephone deposition. Q. You're also aware that Mr. Jowdy also testified at that deposition that that loan document was a forgery; isn't that correct? Α. I remember Mr. Jowdy making that statement. MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I don't have copies

```
95
      but I would like to offer into evidence what's marked as
1
2
      Government's Exhibit Forfeiture-80, and I'll make copies,
 3
      which is an excerpt from that same deposition that
 4
      Mr. Kenner put in as exhibit 1 where Mr. Jowdy alleges
5
      that that note is a forgery and I'll make copies.
6
                THE COURT:
                            Okay. Any objection?
7
                MR. HALEY:
                            Judge, I'm sure I don't have an
8
      objection. May I just see?
9
                THE COURT: Do you want to offer 77?
10
                MS. LEONARDO: Yes, your Honor, I intend to
11
      offer 77 also.
12
                MR. HALEY:
                            Thank you, your Honor.
13
                No objection.
14
                MR. CONWAY:
                             No objection, your Honor.
15
                THE COURT:
                            The complaint from the Arizona case,
16
      is there an objection to that?
17
                MR. HALEY: No, sir.
18
                MR. CONWAY: No, your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: So 77 and 80 are admitted.
20
                (Government Forfeiture Exhibits 77 and 80 in
21
      evidence.)
22
      BY MS. LEONARDO:
23
      Q.
           By the way, Mr. Kenner, you testified on direct
24
      examination that John Kaiser has not taken any steps to
25
      pursue Mr. Jowdy; is that what you testified to?
```

96 1 Α. That is correct. 2 Q. And in the Little Isle lawsuit that you brought, that 3 suit was actually dismissed because you did not cooperate 4 with the discovery; isn't that correct? 5 Α. That's actually incorrect. Q. Are you aware of the judge's decision in that case? 6 7 Α. Yes, ma'am, I'm very aware of the decision in that 8 case. 9 It was erroneous and we filed an appeal even 10 though the case was dismissed with prejudice for some 11 unknown reason to my attorneys. 12 Q. And what was that status of that appeal? 13 Α. I'm not sure what happened to it at that point. 14 That was approximately the time that the 15 California lawsuits had commenced, so we weren't as 16 concerned about it at that point. 17 This case was dismissed in March 2010 and this is Q. 18 under case number CV-09-0142 in the District of Arizona 19 and the Judge specifically dismissed the case because of 20 your obstruction of discovery; isn't that correct? 21 Α. That's absolutely incorrect. 22 That's what the Judge suggested, but in fact I 23 attended the first day of my deposition and had to leave 24 the country to go to Mexico to re-file a case that

Mr. Jowdy paid to be thrown out of the Mexican court

25

97 1 system and we rescheduled our second day for my 2 deposition. 3 And prior to that deposition occurring, 4 Mr. Jowdy's attorneys went in and filed an ex parte motion 5 while my lawyers were being changed from the original 6 lawyers that were threatened by Mr. Jowdy's attorneys to 7 Mr. Tom Baker who then represented the group of us through 8 the conclusion of that case. 9 We appeared for my second day of deposition. Ιt 10 was only subsequent to that that the judge based on the ex 11 parte request by Jowdy's attorneys dismissed the case 12 saying I had known not shown up for the second day of my 13 deposition, which was actually incorrect. 14 Q. Well, Mr. Kenner, notwithstanding what your belief 15 is, a federal judge --16 That's not my belief, that's what happened. Α. 17 Q. Notwithstanding what you think happened, a federal 18 judge dismissed your suit, didn't she? 19 Α. Yes, ma'am, the case was dismissed. 20 Q. In addition to questions about whether or not you 21 were responding to discovery, the Court had ordered you to 22 respond to a Court order dated November 2nd, 2009, that 23 you never responded to? 24 The request by the Court was for a written 25 explanation why I did not show up for the second day of

98

1 the deposition, which in fact had already occurred.

- 2 Q. According to the Court, the Court concluded that you
- 3 | failed to comply with the Court's order with regard to
- 4 | something the Court ordered you to do; isn't that correct?
- 5 A. The Court ordered me to write a response prior to the
- 6 | second day of the deposition as to why I was delaying the
- 7 second day of the deposition.
- 8 Q. And you didn't do that, did you?
- 9 A. We had shown up for the second day of the deposition
- 10 and so my attorney advised me they didn't believe that it
- 11 was necessary to actually have to file a letter why we
- 12 | didn't show up if in fact we already had completed the
- deposition.
- 14 Q. Again, the Court dismissed your case, correct?
- 15 A. That is also correct.
- 16 Q. And the Court found, whether or not you agree with
- 17 it, that you obstructed discovery; isn't that correct?
- 18 A. That's what the Court found, and we did not agree
- 19 with it whatsoever.
- 20 Q. And there's currently no appeal pending on this
- 21 matter; isn't that correct?
- 22 A. There was no appeal pending because we had both the
- 23 | California case at the time and we felt that Mr. Galioto
- 24 | who was leading the grand jury investigation with
- 25 Mr. Jowdy at the time was sufficient for us to recover

99 funds and not be further burdened by financial problems by 1 2 Mr. Jowdy. 3 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I move to dismiss the 4 non-responsive portion. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Kenner, as we did in the 6 criminal trial, if the question is yes or no, the question 7 was whether or not there's an appeal pending. That's a 8 ves or no. 9 If you have a reason why you didn't appeal, 10 Mr. Haley get up after she's done and say why didn't you 11 Otherwise, we will be here all day, okay? appeal that. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay, your Honor. 13 BY MS. LEONARDO: 14 Q. You were also deposed in a lawsuit brought by Glen 15 Murray v. Ken Jowdy; isn't that correct? 16 Yes, I was. Α. 17 Q. That was a lawsuit brought by Glen Murray who was a 18 client of yours at the time; isn't that correct? 19 Α. Yes, he was. 20 You also testified during an SEC proceeding in April Q. 21 and August 2011; isn't that correct? 22 Α. Yes, I did. 23 That investigation was in investments into Diamante Q. 24 Del Mar and possible violations of federal security law; 25 isn't that correct?

| i | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | A. That is correct. | | |
| 2 | Q. Your testimony also with the SEC you also talked | | |
| 3 | about your investment in Cabo; isn't that correct? | | |
| 4 | A. I don't recall specifically. If you show it to me, | | |
| 5 | I'll be glad to verify it. | | |
| 6 | Q. As part of the SEC proceeding, you were required to | | |
| 7 | respond to a subpoena where you produced 26,000 pages of | | |
| 8 | documents; isn't that correct? | | |
| 9 | A. It sounds about accurate. | | |
| 10 | Q. I believe there was a question last time we were here | | |
| 11 | as to whether or not the documents you produced were in | | |
| 12 | fact the ones you produced. | | |
| 13 | Do you recall today how your documents were | | |
| 14 | labeled by your attorney in his production to the SEC? | | |
| 15 | A. I'm not sure I understood the question. | | |
| 16 | Q. You provided documents to your attorney who represent | | |
| 17 | you in the SEC, correct? | | |
| 18 | A. Yes, I believe so. | | |
| 19 | Q. And he in turn provided documents to the SEC | | |
| 20 | attorney; isn't that correct? | | |
| 21 | A. I believe that's correct. | | |
| 22 | Q. Your attorney labeled your documents PK underscore | | |
| 23 | SEC 0 through 26,000; isn't that correct? | | |
| 24 | A. That sounds about accurate. | | |
| 25 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I'm showing the | | |

| İ | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 101 witness what's marked as exhibit 72. | | | |
| 2 | (Pause in proceedings.) | | | |
| 3 | Mr. Kenner, looking at exhibit 72, do you | | | |
| 4 | recognize those as letters that your attorney sent to the | | | |
| 5 | SEC? | | | |
| 6 | A. No, I don't recall these letters. | | | |
| 7 | Q. Do you have any doubt that your attorney, | | | |
| 8 | Mr. Stolper, sent documents to the SEC numbered PK SEC, PK | | | |
| 9 | underscore and Bates numbers following it? | | | |
| 10 | A. I don't doubt that. | | | |
| 11 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer exhibit 72 | | | |
| 12 | into evidence. | | | |
| 13 | MR. HALEY: I question materiality and/or | | | |
| 14 | relevance to the documents, Judge, but I have no objection | | | |
| 15 | I'll allow for the Court to determine materiality. | | | |
| 16 | MR. CONWAY: No objection, your Honor. | | | |
| 17 | THE COURT: 72 is admitted. | | | |
| 18 | I think it came up at the last hearing. It was | | | |
| 19 | a document that had a Bates number on it and it was a | | | |
| 20 | question of where it came from. But let's keep going. | | | |
| 21 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | | | |
| 22 | Q. Mr. Kenner, did Joseph Stumpel also file a lawsuit | | | |
| 23 | against you? | | | |
| 24 | A. Yes, Joseph Stumpel originally filed a lawsuit | | | |
| 25 | against me. | | | |
| | | | | |

| | 102 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. Did you respond to that lawsuit? |
| 2 | A. I don't recall. |
| 3 | The case was dismissed shortly thereafter. |
| 4 | MS. LEONARDO: I'm showing the witness what's |
| 5 | marked as forfeiture exhibit 75. |
| 6 | (Pause in proceedings.) |
| 7 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 8 | Q. Mr. Kenner, is that the answer that your attorneys |
| 9 | filed for you in the case that Mr. Stumpel brought against |
| 10 | you in the Central District of California? |
| 11 | A. It looks familiar. |
| 12 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer exhibit 75. |
| 13 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, I question the relevance |
| 14 | and materiality of the document; but I otherwise have no |
| 15 | objection. |
| 16 | It is an answer to the lawsuit that was filed by |
| 17 | Stumpel and your Honor will make the determination as to |
| 18 | its relevance and materiality. |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. CONWAY: No objection, your Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: 75 is admitted. |
| 22 | (Government Forfeiture Exhibit 75 in evidence.) |
| 23 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 24 | Q. Mr. Kenner, who represented you in that lawsuit? |
| 25 | A. It appears to be Martin Foley, Michael Pappas and |
| | |

Cross/Leonardo Kenner

103 1 Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal. 2 Q. Who do you know Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal to be? 3 Α. Probably three very old men. 4 Q. Are they attorneys in California? 5 Α. That's where I hired the law firm, yes. 6 Q. You hired them to represent you in this action; isn't 7 that correct? 8 Α. Yes, I did. 9 Q. Mr. Kenner, you testified on direct that you obtained 10 your interest in Baja Ventures by approaching Mr. Stumpel 11 and Mr. Lehtinen in the summer '05; is that correct? 12 Α. That is correct. 13 Q. Where did you approach them? 14 Α. On the telephone. Where were they located? 15 Q. 16 I have no idea. Α. 17 Do you know if Mr. Stumpel was out of the country? Q. 18 I have no idea where he was in the summer of '05. Α. 19 Q. Well, he's your client. Wasn't he your client? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am, he was my client. 21 Q. You previously testified that you had daily contact, 22 constant contact with your clients; isn't that correct? 23 Α. I have very high traffic contact with my client, yes. 24 Q. Prior to approaching Mr. Stumpel in the summer of

2005, when is the last time you had spoken to him?

25

Cross/Leonardo Kenner 104 1 Α. I probably spoke with Mr. Stumpel on a very, very 2 limited basis. 3 Q. What language does Mr. Stumpel speak? 4 Α. German, Slovakian, Russian, Ukrainian and English. 5 Q. Is he fluent in English, reading and writing? Α. Yes, ma'am. 6 7 Q. How do you know that? 8 Α. Because I would send him documents, he would review 9 them, he would call me with questions, specific questions 10 to less than simple documents, and we would have 11 conversations regarding those documents. 12 By the way, did you file an adversary proceeding on Q. 13 behalf of Mr. Stumpel in Mr. Constantine's bankruptcy 14 proceeding? 15 Α. I believe so. 16 And you discussed it with him before you filed it? Q. 17 Α. Yes, ma'am. 18 Q. Did he sign it? 19 Α. I don't recall if Mr. Stumpel signed it or he 20 authorized me to sign it on his behalf. 21 If he authorized you to sign on his behalf, would Q. 22 that be indicated on the document? 23 Α. I don't know if it would be or not. 24 Your Honor, I'm going to show the MS. LEONARDO:

witness what's marked as exhibit 73.

25

| | Refiller - Cross/Leonardo | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | 105 (Pause in proceedings.) | |
| 2 | THE COURT: Do you want him to focus on a | |
| 3 | particular page? | |
| 4 | MS. LEONARDO: Actually, the signature page. | |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | |
| 6 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | |
| 7 | Q. Looking at the signature page, did you sign that or | |
| 8 | did he sign that? | |
| 9 | A. I signed that. | |
| 10 | Q. There's no indication on that whatsoever that you | |
| 11 | signed as a power of attorney for him or as anything other | |
| 12 | than you representing you were Joseph Stumpel; isn't that | |
| 13 | correct? | |
| 14 | A. I signed it like that after Mr. Stumpel authorized me | |
| 15 | to sign his name on his behalf for filing the complaint; | |
| 16 | that's correct. | |
| 17 | Q. Do you have anything in writing that he authorized | |
| 18 | that? | |
| 19 | A. No. | |
| 20 | Q. Do you have any e-mails that he authorized that? | |
| 21 | A. I don't know. | |
| 22 | Q. Do you know where he was located at the time this | |
| 23 | adversary proceeding was filed? | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | A. I believe he was living in Kazakhstan. MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer exhibit 73 | |
| ۷3 | TIS. LEUNANDO. TOUT HOHOT, I OTTET EXHIBIT /3 | |

| | 400 | Ī | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | into evidence. | | | |
| 2 | MR. HALEY: No objection. | | | |
| 3 | MR. CONWAY: No objection. | | | |
| 4 | THE COURT: 73 is admitted. | | | |
| 5 | (Government Forfeiture Exhibit 73 in evidence.) | | | |
| 6 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | | | |
| 7 | Q. So you approached Mr. Stumpel in what month in 2005? | | | |
| 8 | A. I spoke to Mr. Stumpel on a weekly basis in 2005. | | | |
| 9 | Q. When did you approach him in 2005 with regard to an | | | |
| 10 | investment in Baja Ventures? | | | |
| 11 | A. I don't recall. | | | |
| 12 | Q. Do you have any e-mails? Do you have any calendars | | | |
| 13 | that show when you were meeting with him or approaching | | | |
| 14 | him? | | | |
| 15 | A. Not with me. | | | |
| 16 | They were all in my office at the time of the | | | |
| 17 | search and seizure by the FBI. | | | |
| 18 | Q. So you approached him in 2005, and asked him to | | | |
| 19 | invest with you in Baja Ventures 2006; is that correct? | | | |
| 20 | A. In sum and substance, yes. | | | |
| 21 | Q. Well, the promissory note which you introduced as an | | | |
| 22 | exhibit, Kenner Exhibit 6, is dated May 17, 2005. | | | |
| 23 | Is that when you met with Mr. Stumpel? | | | |
| 24 | A. Did I meet with him on that date? No. | | | |
| 25 | Q. How did he get this document? | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Refiller - Cross/Leonardo | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | 107 A. It was probably given to him at a later date. | |
| 2 | Q. What date was that? | |
| 3 | A. I don't recall, probably the next time I saw him in | |
| 4 | order to memorialize the deal. | |
| 5 | Q. How long after May 17, 2005, did you see him? | |
| 6 | A. I probably would have seen him in or about August of | |
| 7 | 2005 during training camp. | |
| 8 | Q. Which training camp was that? | |
| 9 | A. I don't recall. | |
| 10 | Q. You don't recall who he was playing for in August | |
| 11 | 2005? | |
| 12 | A. No. | |
| 13 | I wasn't in charge of their schedules. | |
| 14 | Q. You went to go meet them at different areas where | |
| 15 | they were playing; isn't that correct? | |
| 16 | A. Yes, ma'am. | |
| 17 | I had about 135 clients. I don't recall where | |
| 18 | all of those came from. | |
| 19 | Q. It was a yes or no question, Mr. Kenner. | |
| 20 | You go to meet them wherever they're playing; | |
| 21 | isn't that correct? | |
| 22 | A. That is one of the ways I would go see them. | |
| 23 | Q. You meet them in their hometowns; isn't that correct? | |
| 24 | A. I met all of my clients in their hometowns. | |
| 25 | Q. In 2005, what was Mr. Stumpel's hometown in the | |

| 4 | 11 | 108 | |
|----|----------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | | ed States? | |
| 2 | Α. | If you give me access to the internet, I can tell you | |
| 3 | where he was in 2005. | | |
| 4 | Q. | As you sit here today, you have no idea? | |
| 5 | Α. | I don't recall. | |
| 6 | | Mr. Stumpel played for five or six different | |
| 7 | teams in the NHL. | | |
| 8 | Q. | You testified on direct that you also approached Jere | |
| 9 | Lehtinen; is that correct? | | |
| 10 | Α. | Yes, I spoke to Jere Lehtinen about it. | |
| 11 | Q. | Where did you speak to him? | |
| 12 | Α. | I was on the phone in Las Vegas, Nevada, when I spoke | |
| 13 | to him. | | |
| 14 | Q. | Where was Mr. Lehtinen at the time you spoke with | |
| 15 | him? | | |
| 16 | Α. | I don't recall. | |
| 17 | Q. | Who was he playing with in 2005? | |
| 18 | Α. | The Dallas Stars. | |
| 19 | Q. | Was he in Dallas in 2005? | |
| 20 | Α. | Yes, ma'am, Mr. Lehtinen was in Dallas in 2005. | |
| 21 | Q. | During the lockout between 2004 and 2005, did | |
| 22 | Mr. I | Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel stay in this country? | |
| 23 | Α. | I think they traveled extensively during those years. | |
| 24 | | I don't recall if they played on European or | |
| 25 | Russ | ian teams during those years, or if they remained | |
| | | | |

109

1 behind in their non-playing cities to practice and train.

- 2 Q. So with regard to Baja Ventures 2006, that was not
- 3 | incorporated or made an LLC until February 2006; isn't
- 4 | that correct?
- 5 A. February 23rd, 2006.
- 6 | Q. In your promissory notes, you mention Baja Ventures
- 7 | 2006 in two promissory notes that are dated in 2005; is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. So is it your testimony you thought of this name
- 11 before the promissory notes?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. How much money did Baja Ventures 2006 put into
- 14 Diamante Cabo San Lucas?
- 15 A. Approximately \$2.5 million.
- 16 Q. What portion of that was yours?
- 17 A. None.
- 18 Q. You put no cash into it?
- 19 A. No, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Did you explain to Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel how
- 21 you were becoming a partner with them for no cash?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. By the way, do you remember testifying at the SEC
- 24 | that you put in \$100,000 cash?
- 25 A. I may have suggested to the SEC that I did, but that

110 1 was part and parcel to the hundreds and hundreds of 2 thousands of dollars I gave Mr. Jowdy that was still being 3 settled out. 4 Q. Well, at the SEC you unequivocally testified that you 5 put in \$100,000 cash, and Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel put 6 in the other 2.4; isn't that correct? 7 Α. In fact, Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen put in 2.456 8 million. 9 Q. Did you represent to them that they would have an 10 equity investment in Baja Ventures 2006? 11 Α. Both of them, yes, as was represented on the 12 promissory contract that each of them signed. 13 Q. Did there ever come a time that you told them on the 14 closing of Diamante Cabo San Lucas, that you're listed as 15 the 100 percent owner of Baja Ventures 2006; did you ever 16 tell them that, yes or no? 17 Α. Yes, that was crystal clear. 18 Q. Do you have any e-mails, any documents? Not with me today. 19 Α. 20 Q. Where would they be? 21 Α. They would have been on my laptop if it existed 22 and/or on my cell phone if they existed at the time of the 23 search and seizure by the FBI.

> Mary Ann Steiger, CSR Official Court Reporter

Is this the laptop you claim was destroyed by TSA?

24

25

Q.

Α.

No, ma'am.

| 1 | 111 The laptop that was destroyed by the TSA was |
|----|--|
| 2 | destroyed by the TSA. |
| 3 | Q. The question is; the laptop you're talking about, |
| 4 | were there any documents you sent to Mr. Lehtinen and |
| 5 | Mr. Stumpel contained on the computer or the laptop that |
| 6 | was destroyed by the TSA? |
| 7 | A. I'm 100 percent certain there were e-mails and other |
| 8 | documents that would have been forwarded to Mr. Stumpel |
| 9 | and Mr. Lehtinen that were destroyed on that computer. |
| 10 | Q. And you haven't produced any of those here today, |
| 11 | have you? |
| 12 | A. From the destroyed computer? |
| 13 | Q. Or from what you have on your laptop before it was |
| 14 | seized or anything? |
| 15 | A. I had no access to my laptop once it was seized. |
| 16 | Q. Mr. Kenner, during the course of the criminal |
| 17 | proceedings, you had access to over two million documents; |
| 18 | isn't that correct? |
| 19 | A. That is correct. |
| 20 | Q. You knew that this proceeding was extremely important |
| 21 | because it goes to your equity or your interest in Baja |
| 22 | Ventures; isn't that correct? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | There's been no contrary position by Mr. Stumpel |
| 25 | and Mr. Lehtinen that they are not partners as represented |

112 1 by the documents in Diamante Cabo San Lucas. 2 My question is, as you sit here today, you don't have Q. 3 one document you can show the Court where you explain to 4 Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen that they put in \$2.5 million 5 and they have nothing to show for it? You have nothing to 6 show, no documents; isn't that correct. 7 MR. HALEY: I object. 8 That's incorrect. Α. 9 MR. HALEY: I object. 10 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. 11 BY MS. LEONARDO: 12 Mr. Kenner, as you sit here today, can you recollect Q. 13 one single e-mail and the date that you sent it to 14 Mr. Stumpel or Mr. Lehtinen telling them that they no 15 longer have an interest in Baja Ventures 2006? 16 I would never have sent e-mail to Mr. Stumpel or 17 Mr. Lehtinen telling them they no longer have interest in 18 Baja Ventures. It's your oral promise they will some day have an 19 Q. 20 interest in Baja Ventures 2006? 21 That's incorrect. Α. 22 We presented two documents today that they have 23 a promissory contract with respect to Baja Ventures 2006. 24 Mr. Kenner, when you were going to the closing on 25 Diamante Cabo San Lucas, you asked that your interest be

113 1 put into GuideDog Management; isn't that correct? 2 Α. Prior to February 19, 2006, that's correct. 3 Q. So when you were going to a closing to reflect your 4 equity share in Diamante Cabo San Lucas, you wanted it to 5 be in GuideDog Management; isn't that correct? Α. That is originally correct. 6 7 Q. So the two documents you gave in the summer '05 promising equity in Baja Ventures 2006, was a worthless 8 9 document, wasn't it? 10 Α. It was based on an LLC that was going to be set up at 11 the closing which in fact it was. 12 Well, prior to the closing, your intention was to put Q. 13 it in GuideDog Management? 14 Α. At one point my intention was to put my ownership 15 interest in GuideDog. 16 At the time you -- in the time prior to the closing, 17 I think you said up until February 23rd, 2006, your 18 intention was to put it into GuideDog Management? 19 Α. Until February 19. Up until February 19, 2006, your intention was to put 20 Q. 21 it in GuideDog Management? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 That's where I was going to hold my singular 24 interest in the company, yes.

If Lehman Brothers or Mr. Najam or Mr. Markowitz

25

Q.

| Refiner 01 0307 Econar do |
|---|
| 114 hadn't told you to change your LLC, it would have been in |
| GuideDog management; isn't that correct? |
| A. That's incorrect; neither Mr. Najam nor Mr. Markowitz |
| told me to change it. |
| Mr. Jowdy informed myself, through Mr. Najam and |
| Mr. Markowitz, he was not going to be repaying us the |
| noney he promised to pay us at the closing. |
| So at that point in time we had to trigger the |
| promissory contracts and I instructed Mr. Markowitz on |
| February 23rd, 2006, to get Baja Ventures 2006 established |
| in Delaware as an entity. |
| . Do you recall testifying during the course of this |
| riminal trial? |
| A. Do I recall testifying during the trial? |
| Yes, I do. |
| . You testified about three, four days; isn't that |
| right? |
| A. At least. |
| Q. It's your testimony here today that Mr. Stumpel and |
| Mr. Lehtinen and you formed Baja Ventures 2006, right? |
| A. No. |
| I asked Mr. Markowitz to form Baja Ventures |
| 2006. He was the attorney for the closing. |
| Q. Well, your promissory notes indicates there is a Baja |
| Ventures 2006. |

| 1 | 115 Your promissory note is dated in 2005; is that |
|----|--|
| 2 | correct? |
| 3 | A. My interpretation is it represents that they received |
| 4 | an entity, an ownership interest in that entity at the |
| 5 | closing. |
| 6 | Q. In an entity that Mr. Markowitz didn't create until |
| 7 | February 2006, right? |
| 8 | A. Absolutely. |
| 9 | That's when we were creating the entities that |
| 10 | are going to take ownership in the project. |
| 11 | Q. So prior to February 2006 though, your intent was to |
| 12 | have your equity in GuideDog Management, right? |
| 13 | MR. HALEY: Judge, it's been asked and answered |
| 14 | two or three times. |
| 15 | THE COURT: I'll allow it. |
| 16 | A. You're actually confusing two separate issues. |
| 17 | Q. Mr. Kenner, you just testified |
| 18 | MR. HALEY: Judge, may he be allowed to finish |
| 19 | his answer? |
| 20 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 21 | A. You're mixing up two very different events. |
| 22 | My ownership interest, my singular ownership |
| 23 | interest, was going to be in GuideDog. |
| 24 | At a meeting that occurred on February 19, 2006, |
| 25 | Mr. Jowdy indicated he was not going to be paying back the |

| | 116 |
|----|---|
| 1 | different individuals that had to have equity in the Cabo |
| 2 | San Lucas project through his Hawaii loans or other |
| 3 | outstanding loans at that point in time. |
| 4 | So, at that point, on February 19, I spoke to |
| 5 | Mr. Markowitz and Mr. Najam and instructed them to |
| 6 | initiate the filings of Baja Ventures 2006, and that's |
| 7 | when they did, and the two equity positions for |
| 8 | Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen were memorialized for our |
| 9 | closing a month later. |
| 10 | Q. Mr. Lehtinen wasn't a Hawaii investor, was he? |
| 11 | A. I don't recall as I sit here. |
| 12 | Q. And Mr. Stumpel in fact wired money to your Ula |
| 13 | Makika account; isn't that correct? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. So Mr. Stumpel was a Hawaii investor; isn't that |
| 16 | correct? |
| 17 | A. I don't know if that's conjutive. |
| 18 | Q. You described him as a Hawaii investor; isn't that |
| 19 | correct? |
| 20 | A. Is this a separate question? I'm not sure I'm |
| 21 | following. |
| 22 | Q. You have described Mr. Stumpel in previous testimony |
| 23 | as a Hawaii investor; isn't that correct? |
| 24 | A. Mr. Stumpel was an investor in the Hawaii land |
| 25 | development project. |

117 1 Q. But not for Little Isle. He's not a member of Little 2 Isle IV: is that correct? 3 Α. I believe he's a member of Little Isle IV. 4 Q. We also describe Mr. Kaiser as a Hawaii investor 5 also; isn't that correct? Α. Mr. Kaiser is a Hawaii investor. 6 7 Q. Mr. Kaiser is not a member of Little Isle IV either; 8 isn't that correct? 9 He originally was a member of Little Isle IV in 2003 Α. 10 and into some period of time 2004 when himself and 11 Mr. Manfredi created an LLC called Moanna View Estates and 12 we separated out their equity from Little Isle and the 13 original investors. 14 Mr. Kenner, do you recall testifying during the Q. 15 course of the criminal trial that Mr. Stumpel's and 16 Mr. Lehtinen's money that went to Cabo were loans to Ken 17 Jowdy; do you recall that? 18 Yes, they were originally established as being loans 19 that Mr. Jowdy was going to pay back at closing, and 20 that's what I've just been testifying to, that on February 21 19, 2006, Mr. Jowdy informed me that he was not going to 22 be paying back their loans because he was going to have to 23 pay back the Hawaii loans at the closing. 24 So that's the point where the guarantees I made 25 for Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen, they have their monies

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Cross/Leonardo

118 paid back plus 15 percent would then roll into the Baja Ventures 2006 equity. Q. Mr. Kenner, didn't you just testify earlier and on direct that you approached Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel to have them invest with you in Baja Ventures in 2006? Α. That is correct. Q. You testified during the course of the criminal trial that the two-and-a-half million from Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel were loans that were made to Ken Jowdy? Α. Yes, they were originally loans that were made to Ken Jowdy and my personal guarantee to them was if Mr. Jowdy didn't pay them back, each of them would be joining me in a new entity called Baja Ventures 2006 at the closing. Q. Mr. Kenner, do you also recall testifying at trial, page 4631 and 4632, that when Mr. Jowdy reneged on an original loan agreement with Mr. Lehtinen in the summer of 2005, I signed a debt agreement with both Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen to secure their funds behind Jowdy's promise to repay them. Do you remember testifying to that? Α. That's correct, that's exactly what I just said. Q. Do you also recall testifying during the course of the criminal trial that you do own 100 percent of Baja Ventures? Α. I don't recall saying that I own 100 percent of Baja

119 1 Ventures. 2 Q. When you, upon the closing of the Lehman loan, 3 instead of Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen having a 5 percent 4 interest in Baja Ventures, you had 100 percent, correct? 5 Α. That's what is listed on the Diamante closing 6 documents, yes. 7 Q. It's listed on the closing documents because it was 8 your previous testimony that Lehman Brothers did not want 9 foreigners listed as part of the deal; isn't that correct? 10 They were concerned and I see the e-mails in evidence Α. 11 that anyone that had a 5 percent interest or larger in the 12 project that Lehman wanted, was going to have to do a 13 background check and they didn't want foreigners to have 14 that equity position at the closing. 15 You're familiar, of course, with CSL Properties, Q. 16 correct? 17 Yes, I am. Α. 18 Q. How much did Michael Peca invest into CSL Properties? 19 Α. \$200,000 I believe. 20 Q. And that gave him a 10 percent interest? 21 Α. In CSL Properties, which is 8 percent of the overall 22 corporation, which is below the 28 percent interest which 23 is below the 5 percent benchmark that Lehman represented 24 they needed to do the contracts. 25 Q. So encouraging that your client invest in Diamante

120 1 Cabo San Lucas, you never explained to them that for \$2 2 million they were putting in, they got 8 percent interest 3 and for the two-and-a-half million that you put in, you 4 got 39 percent interest. 5 You didn't explain that to them? Α. No. 6 7 In fact, you're misstating what actually 8 happened. 9 Q. Well, according to the closing documents, CSL 10 Properties, and as you just testified, owns 8 percent; is 11 that right? 12 In fact, I can explain it if you would like me to. Α. 13 Q. The question is, according to the closing documents, 14 CSL Properties owns 8 percent; isn't that correct? 15 Α. Specifically according to the closing documents, yes. 16 Q. Are there any documents that reflect a different 17 agreement after the closing with CSL Properties? 18 Α. There was an agreement with Mr. Jowdy that after the 19 closing, he would put the ownership interest back to what 20 it was originally supposed to be, which was 40 percent is 21 CSL Properties, 40 percent to my entity, and 20 percent to 22 Mr. Jowdy which he changed by e-mail confirmation to me 23 representing that Lehman Brothers demanded it on February 24 19 of 2006, but in discovery I saw e-mail traffic between 25 Mr. Jowdy and Lehman Brothers that shows that that was a

121 1 lie by Mr. Jowdy. 2 Q. Do you have those documents with you? 3 Α. I didn't bring them up here, but I do have them in my 4 possession. 5 Q. The Lehman closing documents, you read them, correct? 6 MR. HALEY: Which Lehman closing documents? 7 MS. LEONARDO: I think we're talking about 8 Mexico? 9 MR. HALEY: Fair enough. 10 I can't say for certain that I read every page of Α. 11 every Lehman closing document for Mexico because there are 12 a lot of documents that I found in discovery that were 13 never disclosed to me by Mr. Jowdy prior to closing. 14 Q. Mr. Kenner, you're aware that the Lehman closing 15 documents that Lehman Brothers specifically prohibited any 16 further transfers after the closing until the entire 17 amount of the loan was paid off; isn't that correct? 18 I have heard that and I have not executed any Α. 19 transfers, only Mr. Jowdy effectuated a significant series 20 of transfers of his own equity to cover debt. 21 Q. Mr. Kenner, you just testified your intention was 22 after the closing to execute transfers; isn't that 23 correct? 24 Α. That's incorrect. That's not what I just said. 25 Q. Mr. Kenner, I believe you testified that after the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Cross/Leonardo

122 closing that the percentages were going to be adjusted to what they were supposed to be before the closing, didn't you testify to that? I testified that Mr. Jowdy was going to make those changes. I never testified that I was going to make the changes. In fact, Mr. Jowdy is the managing member, was the person who had to sign off on those changes and he certainly had no problems signing off on any of them that he did for his own personal benefit. After the closing, you haven't converted Baja Q. Ventures 2006 back to Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen, have vou? They have the promissory contracts with the LLC and Α. they have not complained to me once since 2006 that they feel unsecured. Q. Mr. Stumpel sued you, didn't he? Α. Mr. Stumpel sued me because his attorneys misrepresented that he had a line of credit set up in Northern Trust bank, and that his funds were transferred to Northern Trust bank and that I forged his signatures on the transfer document, the new line of credit document, and that drained his line of credit. When Mr. Stumpel and I met following the filing of that lawsuit, Mr. Stumpel got on the phone and called

123 1 his original bank and realized where the funds had gone. 2 Second, he called Northern Trust bank and found 3 out there was never a similar account set up by him or 4 anybody at Northern Trust bank, nor was there a line of 5 credit ever established at Northern Trust bank, nor was 6 any funds removed from the line of credit or bank account, 7 and Northern Trust had never filed, and he subsequently 8 dropped the lawsuit and filed a bar complaint against his 9 lawyer for misrepresentation and fraud. 10 Q. When did you have this conversation with him? 11 Α. With who? 12 Q. Mr. Stumpel? 13 Α. What conversation are we talking about? 14 Q. The one you just testified to. 15 Where did that conversation occur? Α. 16 Q. Correct. 17 I didn't understand the question. I apologize. Α. 18 It took place in Nizhny Novgord, Russia. 19 Q. Mr. Kenner, in addition to Mr. Stumpel investing in 20 property in Mexico, he also invested in a number of other 21 entities through you; isn't that correct, or with you? 22 I don't know if I understood the definition through Α. 23 me or with me. 24 Do you recall, I think it's still in front of you, Q. 25 the adversary proceeding that you filed for Mr. Stumpel?

| 1 | 124 A. Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. In that, Mr. Stumpel makes allegations with regard to |
| 3 | a number of other investments that he believes were |
| 4 | stolen; isn't that correct? |
| 5 | A. Would you like me to review the document? |
| 6 | Q. Yes, you may. |
| 7 | A. Okay. |
| 8 | (Pause in proceedings.) |
| 9 | THE COURT: What's the question? |
| 10 | MR. HALEY: Judge, is there a question or could |
| 11 | it be read back? I can't remember what the question was. |
| 12 | (Record read.) |
| 13 | A. That is purported in this document, yes. |
| 14 | Q. Mr. Kenner, you wrote that document, didn't you? |
| 15 | A. Yes, ma'am. |
| 16 | Q. In fact, you wrote it for a number of your other |
| 17 | clients also; isn't that correct? |
| 18 | A. Yes, ma'am, approximately 10 of them. |
| 19 | Q. Glen Murray, Mattias Norstrom? |
| 20 | A. I believe so, yes, and yes and yes. |
| 21 | Q. In that document you claim that the monies they |
| 22 | invested in Eufora weren't actually invested in Eufora; |
| 23 | isn't that correct? |
| 24 | A. I can review it again, but I believe with respect to |
| 25 | Mr. Stumpel there was a representation that he had not |
| | |

| | 125 |
|----|---|
| 1 | received a post-global settlement fund, a new signed |
| 2 | operating agreement or other document. |
| 3 | I believe that's what I referred to here. |
| 4 | Q. You make allegations with regard to the Global |
| 5 | Settlement Fund in this document; isn't that correct? |
| 6 | A. I think that's what I was just referring to. |
| 7 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, if I could have a |
| 8 | moment? |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 10 | (Pause in proceedings.) |
| 11 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 12 | Q. Mr. Kenner, you recall testifying at trial when you |
| 13 | were speaking about the Global Settlement Fund, that you |
| 14 | believed there was some expenses that were not related to |
| 15 | the Global Settlement Fund? |
| 16 | A. Originally, yes, that's what I believed. I |
| 17 | immediately alerted all of my clients. |
| 18 | Q. Do you recall testifying with regard to a |
| 19 | disbursement to a law firm with the name of Sonnenschein |
| 20 | that you believe to be an expense for Mr. Constantine? |
| 21 | A. I don't recall specific testimony, but if you put it |
| 22 | in front of me I can verify it. |
| 23 | Q. Mr. Kenner, the Sonnenschein law firm also |
| 24 | represented you; isn't that correct? |
| 25 | A. In a completely different capacity. |

Cross/Leonardo Kenner

126 1 Q. Mr. Kenner, with regard to Baja Ventures 2006, do you 2 recall telling the SEC that you, Mr. Lehtinen and 3 Mr. Stumpel each owned one-third of Baja Ventures 2006? 4 Α. I do recall that testimony. It was incorrect. 5 Q. Did you ever go back and try to correct that 6 testimony with the SEC? 7 Α. I believe we forwarded those documents that we 8 provided today to the SEC to clear it up. 9 Q. The promissory notes? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Do you recall also that the SEC asked you if you had Q. 12 any documentation with regard to your agreement with 13 Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel, and you said you don't know 14 if there was any; isn't that correct? 15 Α. I don't recall that testimony. 16 This would be a pretty important document for you to Q. 17 give the SEC, wouldn't it? 18 Α. I believe we gave it to the SEC when we brought that 19 up. 20 And the SEC was investigating allegations of federal Q. 21 securities law with regard to investments in Diamante Del 22 Mar, correct? 23 Α. Because of a complaint we had filed effectively. 24 Q. Did you -- you also told the SEC that -- withdrawn. 25 Mr. Kenner, I believe you testified during the

Cross/Leonardo Kenner

127 1 criminal trial that in no time did you relinquish your 2 ownership of Baja Ventures 2006; is that correct? 3 Α. I believe that's correct. 4 Q. Even though you previously testified differently to 5 that; isn't that correct? I don't believe I ever testified that I relinquished 6 Α. 7 my control of Baja Ventures 2006, but you're welcome to put the Nolan debtor's exam documents up there. 8 9 Q. You testified during the course of the criminal trial 10 that it was a mistake by the court reporter? 11 Α. That is in fact what happened. 12 One was conducted on one day and then there was a Q. 13 subsequent date by telephone; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes, it is correct. 15 Q. Mr. Kenner, I'm going to show you what is the cover 16 page of the first day of the debtor's exam. 17 Can you indicate for the Court what the date on 18 that is? 19 Α. August 11, 2010. 20 Q. Who is the court reporting agency? 21 Griffin & Associates. Α. 22 Is there a name of a court reporter on there? Q. 23 Α. Not that I see. 24 Q. Does it indicate the name of somebody who took the 25 actual transcription?

| 1 | A. Pamela Griffin. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Mr. Kenner, isn't it true that on the second day of |
| 3 | the deposition, which was December 15, 2010, you in fact |
| 4 | testified that you no longer owned Baja Ventures 2006; |
| 5 | isn't that correct? |
| 6 | A. I did not testify to that. |
| 7 | That's what it said on the report, but it was in |
| 8 | fact Hawaii Ventures 2006 we were referring to because |
| 9 | that's the only agreement that I ever signed with |
| 10 | Mr. Kaiser. |
| 11 | Q. Mr. Kenner before |
| 12 | A. In fact, the property was pledged at that point in |
| 13 | time. It was a pledged property that the agreement hadn't |
| 14 | concluded until July 2011. |
| 15 | Q. Mr. Kenner, prior to your telephone deposition, you |
| 16 | were faxed by your attorney a series of documents; isn't |
| 17 | that correct? |
| 18 | A. I don't recall. |
| 19 | Q. You were asked to identify what was marked as Exhibit |
| 20 | E, the LLC agreement for Baja Ventures 2006, do you recall |
| 21 | that? |
| 22 | A. I do now. |
| 23 | Q. I think your testimony during the course of the |
| 24 | criminal trial was that the court reporter got it wrong; |
| 25 | is that correct? |

| | Refiner 010337 Econar do |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. That's correct. |
| 2 | We were discussing the Hawaiian Ventures 2006 |
| 3 | because that's the only LLC that had an agreement with |
| 4 | Mr. Kaiser at the time. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. |
| 6 | So let me see if this refreshes your |
| 7 | recollection. |
| 8 | The question to you is I want you to look at the |
| 9 | exhibit that I handwrote Exhibit 8 on the top right-hand |
| 10 | corner. We're going to mark this as Exhibit 8, entitled |
| 11 | limited liability company agreement Baja Ventures 2006. |
| 12 | Do you have that in front of you? |
| 13 | "ANSWER: Yes. |
| 14 | "QUESTION: Are you familiar with Baja Ventures |
| 15 | 2006 LLC? |
| 16 | "ANSWER: Yes. |
| 17 | "QUESTION: Do you own that entity? |
| 18 | "ANSWER: I don't think I do any longer. |
| 19 | "QUESTION: You don't think you do? |
| 20 | "ANSWER: I don't think I do any longer. |
| 21 | "QUESTION: When was it transferred? |
| 22 | Did you transfer your ownership in Baja Ventures |
| 23 | 2006? |
| 24 | "ANSWER: I believe I lost it." |
| 25 | So you weren't talking bought Hawaii Ventures, |
| | |

| | 130 |
|----|--|
| 1 | you were talking about Baja Ventures. |
| 2 | A. No, I was talking about Hawaiian Ventures 2006 |
| 3 | because that's the agreement that I had Mr. Kaiser sign on |
| 4 | July 26, 2006, just prior to the closing of the Hawaii |
| 5 | deal. |
| 6 | Q. Mr. Kenner, I'm going to show you the deposition |
| 7 | transcript. |
| 8 | It's your testimony that the court reporter got |
| 9 | it wrong; is that right? |
| 10 | A. I believe they transposed the Baja Ventures and |
| 11 | Hawaii Ventures. |
| 12 | Q. Because you had talked about Hawaii Ventures before |
| 13 | in the other deposition; isn't that right? |
| 14 | A. I believe we spoke about it during that deposition. |
| 15 | Q. I'm going to ask you to look at the exhibit that's |
| 16 | attached to the transcript that says Exhibit 8 and refers |
| 17 | to Baja Ventures 2006, doesn't it? |
| 18 | A. That's what it says. |
| 19 | Q. Can you flip to the first page of that deposition, |
| 20 | please. |
| 21 | Can you tell us who the court reporting agency |
| 22 | was? |
| 23 | A. Bamford. |
| 24 | Q. Can you tell us who the court reporter was? |
| 25 | A. Kathy Nicholas. |

Cross/Leonardo Kenner

131 1 Q. So it's a completely different court reporting agency 2 and different court reporter that took your two 3 depositions; isn't that correct? 4 Α. Yeah, three months a part. 5 Q. They were different court reporters and a different court reporting agency, correct? 6 7 Α. That's correct. 8 I think you testified earlier that because Mr. Jowdy Q. 9 was not going to pay back loans, that's why you gave 10 Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen a promissory note; is that 11 what you testified to? 12 That is not what I testified to. Α. 13 What did you testify to with regard to what you were Q. 14 going to do when Mr. Jowdy told you he wasn't paying back 15 loans? 16 I immediately told Mr. Najam and Mr. Markowitz to 17 open a new LLC in Delaware to be called Baja Ventures 18 2006. 19 Did you give promissory notes to any of your other 20 investors when Mr. Jowdy told you he wasn't going to pay 21 them back? 22 Α. With respect to what? 23 Well, you testified earlier that Mr. Lehtinen put in Q. at one point -- I'm sorry, that Mr. Stumpel sent Mr. Jowdy 24

25

another 1.6 million?

132 1 Α. Separate and apart and Mr. Stumpel commencing 2 criminal litigation against Mr. Jowdy in Mexico. 3 Q. When you say criminal litigation, that's not 4 necessarily a criminal prosecution, it's a civilian 5 bringing a case against somebody else? 6 I don't know specifically. I know he filed a Α. 7 criminal case against Mr. Jowdy for the \$1.6 million. 8 Q. And you don't know the status of that at all, do you? 9 Α. I couldn't understand what you said. 10 Q. You do not know the status of that or anything going 11 on in the Mexico litigation? 12 No. Α. 13 I've been in jail for two-and-a-half years. 14 Q. By the way, you don't know, when you testified 15 earlier that that was an action brought by Baja Ventures 16 against DCSL, a books and records action, you testified to 17 that earlier? 18 I don't remember. Α. 19 Q. You were deposed in that in jail, remember? 20 Α. I remember being deposed in jail. 21 Q. You testified earlier today that you did not know if 22 any books and records were supplied; isn't that right? 23 Α. I testified that I was not aware of any books and 24 records that have been supplied. 25 Q. Have you spoken to any of your former clients with

| | 133 |
|----|--|
| 1 | regard to whether or not books and records had been |
| 2 | supplied? |
| 3 | A. I had not spoken to any clients. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Let's take a break. How much more |
| 5 | do you have? |
| 6 | MS. LEONARDO: Maybe an hour. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. I want to finish this by |
| 8 | 4:30, so see if you can shorten it. |
| 9 | (Recess taken.) |
| 10 | (After recess.) |
| 11 | THE CLERK: All rise. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Please be seated. |
| 13 | Mr. Kenner, if you could take the stand. |
| 14 | (The witness resumes the stand.) |
| 15 | THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Leonardo. |
| 16 | MS. LEONARDO: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 17 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 18 | Q. Mr. Kenner, with regard to the purchase of the |
| 19 | property at Diamante Cabo San Lucas, I believe it was your |
| 20 | testimony that \$2.5 million came from Baja Ventures; is |
| 21 | that correct? |
| 22 | A. \$2.5 million came from actually 2.456 million came |
| 23 | from Joseph Stumpel and Jere Lehtinen on behalf of Baja |
| 24 | Ventures 2006. |
| 25 | Q. Correct. |
| | |

134 1 And two million came from CSL Properties; is 2 that correct? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 Q. And the remainder came from Mr. Jowdy; is that 5 correct? No, the remainder came from loans that we made to 6 Α. 7 Mr. Jowdy that he still failed to repay. 8 Q. Those were loans that were from your Hawaii 9 investors; isn't that correct? 10 Which included all of the hockey players, myself and Α. 11 Mr. Kaiser. 12 When you say it included all of the hockey players, Q. 13 vourself and Mr. Kaiser -- withdrawn. 14 When you say it included your hockey players, 15 that was from their investment money into Hawaii, correct? 16 Into the Hawaii LLC, that's correct. Α. 17 You never discussed with your hockey player clients, Q. 18 did you, that you were loaning money to Ken Jowdy? 19 Α. That is absolutely incorrect. Each and every one was 20 discussed. 21 In fact, multiple players signed affidavits, 22 several testified in front of the Southern District grand 23 jury, Mr. Kaiser and Mr. Berard testified in a 2009 24 arbitration to that extent. 25 Q. I believe, Mr. Kenner, that there were some of your

135 1 clients who testified that they had no knowledge of loans 2 to Ken Jowdy; isn't that correct? 3 Α. I didn't understand what you just said. 4 Q. Some of your clients who testified, testified that 5 they were not aware that all the money went to Ken Jowdy; isn't that correct? 6 7 Α. I heard that testimony from several people, even people who testified to the contrary. 8 9 Q. It's your testimony here today that you discussed all 10 the loans with Ken Jowdy with all of your clients; is that 11 correct? 12 That is correct. Α. 13 Q. You have no e-mail correspondence or written 14 correspondence with any of your clients at the time with 15 regard to these loans; isn't that correct? 16 That is incorrect. I'm sure there was e-mail traffic Α. 17 between myself, Mr. Jowdy, my various clients, Mr. Kaiser. 18 Q. Did you produce any of them here today? 19 Α. I do not have access to that and haven't had access 20 since November 13, 2013. 21 Q. Mr. Kenner, since November 13, since you've been 22 incarcerated, you have testified you reviewed over two million documents; isn't that correct? 23 24 Α. Separate and apart from the previous question, yes, I 25 have reviewed approximately two million documents.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kenner - Cross/Leonardo

136 Q. As you sit here today, can you identify any one e-mail or date or client that you e-mailed them with regard to loans to Mr. Jowdy? In fact, I've seen almost no e-mail traffic from myself out of the tens of thousands of e-mails that were in my possession prior to my arrest. Q. And, Mr. Kenner, you didn't discuss each and every time you made a loan to Mr. Jowdy with each client, did you? I didn't need to. Α. I made one blanket conversation with each of the investors, and the loan agreement that each of the investors had seen or were discussed covered each of that. Q. So when you originally started loaning money to Mr. Jowdy, it was intended to be a small loan; isn't that correct? There was a small loan at first in November of 2004. Α. Q. I believe in your Little Isle complaint that you allege you had loaned about \$416,000 to Mr. Jowdy which about 25,000 had been repaid, does that sound right? Α. Neither of those numbers make any sense to me. I do recall in the complaint that we had mentioned that I had personally loaned Mr. Jowdy about \$400,000 part and parcel to that complaint in addition to the monies that my clients had loaned to Mr. Jowdy, all of

Cross/Leonardo Kenner

137 1 which he testified to in January 5th and 6th of 2010. 2 Q. So it was also your testimony that the initial loan 3 to Mr. Jowdy is supposed to be a small loan, correct? 4 Α. That's correct. 5 Q. It was about \$250,000? Α. Sounds about accurate. 6 7 Q. You never had any follow-up conversations with any of 8 your clients when the loan was approaching \$5 million, did 9 you? 10 That's also incorrect. Α. 11 How often did you speak with your clients with regard Q. 12 to the loan approaching \$5 million? 13 Α. I would speak to my clients as the loan number grew 14 over periods of times, just like I would talk to them 15 about every single investment they had. 16 Did you just testify a minute ago that you would not Q. 17 tell your client when a specific loan was being made to 18 Mr. Jowdy, that you didn't have to do that? 19 Α. It's only one loan. 20 So on each and every occasion that you made a loan to Q. 21 Jowdy, you did not discuss it with your clients? 22 Α. I did not call all 20 clients and say, just so you 23 know, today I'm wiring \$15,000 to Mr. Jowdy. 24 No, I did not.

Even though it was your practice to speak to your

25

Q.

138 1 clients on a daily basis? 2 Out of my 135 clients, I did not make individual 3 phone calls each day there was a wire transaction that 4 went to Mr. Jowdy, no, but they were informed. 5 Q. I believe you testified you met with your clients 6 often, you traveled to see them; isn't that correct? 7 Α. Very often. 8 Q. In face-to-face meetings, did you discuss with them 9 the amount of the loan was \$5 million? 10 Α. Absolutely, by the time it had reached those amounts 11 in or about the end of 2005, early 2006. 12 Q. You never reduced any of those loan amounts with 13 promissory notes, did you? 14 Α. I didn't understand the question. With regard to any of those loan amounts, you did not 15 Q. 16 reduce that into a promissory note like you did with 17 Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen, did you? 18 Α. There was only one loan agreement and it was between 19 the Hawaii entities and Mr. Jowdy. 20 I don't understand what else would have been 21 reduced to a separate note. 22 Q. My question to you, Mr. Kenner, was and I think 23 before we broke you indicated Mr. Stumpel had made an 24 additional \$1.6 million loan to Mr. Jowdy; is that right?

25

Α.

That is correct.

139 1 Q. Where is that memorialized? 2 Α. That was not memorialized. 3 Q. So as a financial advisor, you had your clients 4 making a \$1.6 million loan and you didn't memorialize it 5 anywhere; is that right? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 It was basically documented by the wire 8 transfers that went to Mr. Jowdy and Mr. Stumpel's 9 willingness to subsequently sue in him in Mexico. 10 Q. Your only documentation is a wire transfer? 11 That is correct, for that particular transaction. Α. 12 That's the same as happened with Mr. Murray in 13 Nevada, that Mr. Murray prevailed against Mr. Jowdy in a 14 bench trial, and I prevailed against Mr. Jowdy as a pro se 15 cross-complaint third-party defendant. 16 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I move to strike the 17 non-responsive portion of the answer to the question. 18 THE COURT: I'll let it stand. 19 Please focus on the question, Mr. Kenner. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. BY MS. LEONARDO: 21 22 And Mr. Lehtinen was not part of the Hawaii loan Q. 23 investment; is that right? 24 Α. If you have the Little Isle operating agreement, I 25 can tell you whether or not Mr. Lehtinen was part of that

140 1 investment or not. 2 I just don't recall as I sit here today. 3 Q. Prior to coming here today, did you review all of the 4 documents that were in evidence during the course of the 5 criminal trial? 6 Α. I have reviewed upwards of two million documents. 7 Q. Prior to coming here today, did you prepare for your 8 testimonv? 9 Α. No, I did not prepare for my testimony. 10 You didn't look at any documents? Q. 11 I continue to look at documents. Perhaps I don't Α. 12 understand what you mean by did I prepare. 13 Q. And I believe you testified that Mr. -- withdrawn. 14 I believe you testified that many of your Hawaii 15 investors formed a separate Hawaii investment group 16 outside of Little Isle, it was a collective group; is that 17 right? 18 I don't recall testifying to that. Α. 19 Q. And it didn't have a specific name? 20 Α. Now, I'm really lost. 21 Q. Do you recall testifying in your deposition in the 22 lawsuit Little Isle v. Jowdy, that Mr. Kaiser was not a 23 Little Isle member but part of the Hawaii development 24 group that was larger than Little Isle; do you recall 25 testifying to that?

| | 141 |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. That makes sense because Mr. Kaiser was originally a |
| 2 | Little Isle board member in December '03, and by some |
| 3 | point, either in '04 or early '05, a number of breakouts |
| 4 | came out of the Little Isle LLC. |
| 5 | Q. But in your testimony in Little Isle v. Jowdy, you |
| 6 | represented that there was no specific name for this |
| 7 | greater Hawaii development group; is that right? |
| 8 | A. Not until the closing when everything fell under one |
| 9 | parent company, Na'Alehu Ventures 2006. |
| 10 | Q. When you were determining percentage of ownership in |
| 11 | Little Isle IV, did you include Mr. Lehtinen's 1.6 |
| 12 | million? |
| 13 | A. I don't recall Mr. Lehtinen ever having a \$1.6 |
| 14 | million transaction. |
| 15 | Q. He had \$100,000 transaction, right? |
| 16 | A. I don't recall. |
| 17 | If you can show me the Little Isle IV LLC, I can |
| 18 | confirm he was a member. |
| 19 | Q. Other than Mr. Stumpel's wire transfer record, did |
| 20 | you include him in Little Isle IV or any other Hawaii |
| 21 | development group? |
| 22 | A. Mr. Stumpel's \$1.6 million wire transfer loan to |
| 23 | Mr. Jowdy in Mexico had nothing to do with the Hawaii |
| 24 | development group. |
| 25 | Q. My question was, did you include him in any of your |

| | 142 |
|----|---|
| 1 | other LLCs as a security or some kind of promissory |
| 2 | agreement to Mr. Stumpel? |
| 3 | A. No, he was not. |
| 4 | Mr. Jowdy testified in June of 2010 that he had |
| 5 | received |
| 6 | MS. LEONARDO: I move to strike. There's no |
| 7 | question to the witness. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Are you clarifying something from |
| 9 | earlier? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Go ahead. |
| 12 | A. Mr. Jowdy had testified on January 5th and 6th of |
| 13 | 2010 that he did receive the \$1.6 million from Mr. Stumpel |
| 14 | directly to one of his Mexican entities, and had no plans |
| 15 | to pay it back. |
| 16 | Q. When you talk about Mr. Jowdy's Mexican entities, one |
| 17 | is Baja Development? |
| 18 | A. That's actually a Delaware entity I believe but he |
| 19 | originally told us in 2002 or 2003 that he had established |
| 20 | that for purposes of developing the Mexican real estate |
| 21 | projects which we found out by 2007 he used for his own |
| 22 | personal account. |
| 23 | Q. You had listed yourself in various financial |
| 24 | documents as an owner of Baja Development or part owner, |
| 25 | haven't you? |
| | |

| A. Yes, when we originally believed that was the name of |
|--|
| the investment we were investing in. We only found out |
| later that Mr. Jowdy did not use that or document that as |
| the ownership interest in any of the Mexican properties as |
| we were originally told. |
| MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, again, I'm going to |
| move to strike. There's no question on the table. |
| THE COURT: He's elaborating on his earlier |
| answer. |
| Let's keep moving. |
| BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| Q. Mr. Kenner, on your financial statement dated May |
| 2005, you represented you're a 10 percent owner of Baja |
| Development Company; is that correct? |
| A. Yes. |
| That is what I believe it was called at the time |
| and I found out later it was Baja Management. |
| MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, this is in evidence |
| as Government's Exhibit 3711. |
| BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| Q. Mr. Kenner, looking at what's already in evidence as |
| Government's Exhibit 3711, you have various private |
| investments; is that correct? |
| A. This is a list of private investments, yes. |
| Q. You submitted this document for what purpose and to |

144 1 whom? 2 I did not prepare this document. I don't know who it 3 was received by. 4 Q. Well, somebody prepared this document on your behalf 5 then; is that correct? You had input into this document? 6 Α. I would assume I had some input into this document, 7 but I don't recall this document specifically and who it 8 may have been sent to. 9 Q. For example, the document lists that you had current 10 assets in Standard Advisors, Guide Dogs; is that correct? 11 Yes, I see that on the document. Α. 12 It also values your Scottsdale residence at \$2.5 Q. 13 million? 14 That's approximately what it was probably worth in Α. 15 2005. 16 Is that the same residence you testified earlier you Q. 17 didn't own, that your wife owned it? 18 Α. That's correct. That's incorrect on the statement? 19 Q. 20 Α. No, it's correct on the statement. 21 I was divorced after this point in time and gave 22 it to my wife in the divorce. 23 Q. It's held in trust in your wife's name, isn't that 24 correct? 25 Α. I don't have a trust for my wife, no.

145 1 Q. You lived in the house, didn't you? 2 Α. I lived in that house for a long time, yes. 3 Q. When did you say Mr. Jowdy decided to change the name 4 from Baja Development to something else? 5 Α. Mr. Jowdy has never changed the name Baja Development 6 Corp. 7 He continued to launder money through that 8 account for years and years until 2007. 9 Q. It was your understanding that you owned a portion of 10 it or you didn't own a portion of it? 11 My first belief, based on documents that Mr. Jowdy Α. 12 had turned over several times during various litigations 13 and prior to that through his attorney Tom Harvey, was 14 that Baja Development Corporation was the Mexican 15 development corporation that my friend and I invested in. 16 It wasn't until 2007 that I discovered through 17 Mr. Constantine's mediation with Mr. Jowdy that many of 18 the entities were not registered under Baja Development 19 Corporation, but they were registered under other Jowdy 20 controlled entities. 21 Q. You testified earlier that it was your intention to 22 own your equity share in Diamante Cabo San Lucas and Baja 23 Ventures 2006 after; is that right? 24 Α. After I was told by Mr. Jowdy he was not repaying 25 Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen as he previously proposed.

146 1 Q. You talked about the promissory notes that reference 2 a Baja Ventures 2006 LLC, we talked about that? 3 Α. Yes, we talked about that. 4 Q. So it's your testimony that even though you were 5 going to hold your interest in GuideDog, you gave a 6 promissory note to two other people in an entity that did 7 not exist; is that your testimony? 8 Not specifically. Α. 9 Q. You signed those promissory notes with Mr. Lehtinen 10 and Mr. Stumpel in the summer of 2005; is that right? 11 Α. Some period of time after that when I finally met up 12 with him in person. 13 Q. Well, you dated the documents, didn't you, 14 Mr. Kenner, in June and May 2005? 15 Α. I dated them the dates that the individuals 16 transferred the funds. 17 Q. You dated them those dates as a promissory note that 18 you were securing their investment into Diamante Cabo San 19 Lucas, right? 20 I signed those promissory notes with them months 21 later when Mr. Jowdy had defaulted on his agreement to 22 repay the two individuals, so I securitized their interest 23 and told them that if Jowdy's second promise to pay them 24 back at the close of Cabo San Lucas deal did not occur, 25 that we would owe and they would become active members in

147 1 the LLC as they were documented by both Mr. Stumpel and 2 myself --3 Q. So these documents you represented to the Court are 4 now backdated? 5 Α. Yes, they are were backdated when Mr. Stumpel --Q. That's a yes or no. 6 7 They were backdated, correct? 8 They were backdated to the date of the wire Α. 9 transfers. 10 MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I move to have them 11 stricken from the record. 12 THE COURT: What? 13 MS. LEONARDO: These two promissory notes, 14 they're backdated. There's absolutely no indication of 15 when his clients signed these documents, where they were 16 when they signed them. The second page doesn't even have 17 any reference to a promissory note on it. 18 THE COURT: His testimony was that they signed 19 So if you want to call them and say they didn't sign 20 it, that's up to you. 21 In terms of authenticating that they signed it, 22 he's authenticated them. 23 BY MS. LEONARDO: 24 Mr. Kenner, looking at what's already in evidence as 25 Government's Exhibit 4231, that's another financial

| | 4.40 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | statement; is that correct? | | | |
| 2 | A. It's entitled personal financial statement, yes. | | | |
| 3 | Q. That's your financial statement; isn't that correct? | | | |
| 4 | (Pause in proceedings.) | | | |
| 5 | A. I don't recall this specific personal financial | | | |
| 6 | statement. | | | |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Kenner, it's already in evidence from the | | | |
| 8 | criminal trial. | | | |
| 9 | This was submitted by you in support of getting | | | |
| 10 | a loan for an airplane; isn't that correct, or refinance | | | |
| 11 | on an airplane? | | | |
| 12 | A. I don't recall this document. | | | |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Kenner, there's an e-mail and turn the page and | | | |
| 14 | it says: | | | |
| 15 | Greg, please let me know if there's additional | | | |
| 16 | information necessary, and the time frame for us to | | | |
| 17 | acquire the loan and thanks for the help in advance. PK. | | | |
| 18 | That would be you; isn't that correct? | | | |
| 19 | A. I would be me. | | | |
| 20 | Q. In this document you represent again that you are 10 | | | |
| 21 | percent owner of Baja Development Company; do you see | | | |
| 22 | that? | | | |
| 23 | A. Yes. | | | |
| 24 | Q. And also 50 percent owner for Propiedades DDM? | | | |
| 25 | A. Yes. | | | |

149 1 Q. Which Mexican entity was that? 2 The original entity that was supposed to purchase a Α. 3 different piece of property in Cabo San Lucas, if I recall 4 correctly. 5 Q. How did you acquire a 50 percent ownership in that 6 property? 7 Α. Mr. Jowdy was giving it to me for arranging the deal. 8 Q. Say that again? 9 Α. Mr. Jowdy was giving it to me for arranging the deal. So Mr. Jowdy gave you 50 percent of the Diamante Del 10 Q. 11 Mar? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Which property? 14 Α. It's a property that never ended up being purchased, 15 as far as I recall. 16 Q. What was the name of that property? 17 I believe it was called Coletta 308. Α. 18 Q. It's a property that ended up not being purchased at 19 all; is that correct? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 Q. You represented on a financial statement that you 22 have a \$3.25 million investment in that property; is that 23 right? 24 Α. That's what it says here, but I don't recall 25 preparing this statement or Greg.

Cross/Leonardo Kenner 150 1 Q. Which Mexican property was Baja intended for? 2 Α. Intended for or what I found out later? 3 Q. In 2006, what was your understanding of what Baja 4 Management was? 5 Α. By 2006, I probably believed Baja Management was the 6 equity management entity controlling Diamante Del Mar. 7 Q. You owned a percentage of Diamante Del Mar, didn't 8 vou? 9 Α. Through Baja Management, yes. 10 Q. How did you get your ownership interest in Diamante 11 Del Mar? 12 I invested with Mr. Jowdy and I worked at the Α. 13 project. 14 Q. How did you invest with him? 15 By transferring funds to Mr. Jowdy. Α. 16 What amount of funds and when did you transfer funds Q. 17 to Mr. Jowdy for Diamante Del Mar? 18 Approximately \$350,000 was transferred to Mr. Jowdy Α. 19 before and at the beginning of the same period of time in 20 2002 when my investors began investing with Mr. Jowdy. 21 Where did you get the \$350,000 in funds? Q. 22 Α. Savings. 23 Q. In savings? 24 Α. Yes.

Didn't you tell the SEC it was an inheritance in

25

Q.

151 1 cash, that you inherited a \$500,000 cash from a dead 2 father? 3 Α. That is in fact correct, which made it my savings at 4 that point in time. 5 Q. But you testified to the SEC it was an inheritance 6 you received and you had cash? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 Q. It was your testimony that you gave Mr. Jowdy 9 \$250,000 in cash, right? 10 Α. That is correct. 11 You have nothing to memorialize this; isn't that Q. 12 correct? 13 Α. I am a 10 percent owner and I saw Baja Management on 14 a promissory note from Mr. Jowdy from Baja Development 15 Corp.. 16 Since you paid him in cash, you have no wire transfer Q. 17 records; is that right? 18 Α. There would not be a wire transfer. 19 Q. Do you have a promissory note that reflects you put 20 in \$350,000 in cash? 21 Α. I did not, but I have a 10 percent interest which is 22 what Mr. Jowdy promised me and I received it so I had no 23 reason to question it. 24 Your Honor, move to strike the MS. LEONARDO: 25 non-responsive portion.

| | 152 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | THE COURT: Just keep going. | | | |
| 2 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | | | |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Kenner, you testified earlier with regard to | | | |
| 4 | putting your interest into Baja Ventures 2006, and I | | | |
| 5 | believe you testified because Mr. Najam or Mr. Markowitz | | | |
| 6 | or Lehman told you that you had to do that. | | | |
| 7 | Who told you that you had to do that? | | | |
| 8 | A. Nobody told me I had to do that. | | | |
| 9 | Q. GuideDog was your idea; isn't that correct? | | | |
| 10 | A. GuideDog was my company. | | | |
| 11 | Q. And weren't you asked for a tax return with regard to | | | |
| 12 | GuideDog, which is in fact why Baja Ventures was created | | | |
| 13 | so you didn't have to provide your tax return for | | | |
| 14 | GuideDog? | | | |
| 15 | A. No, that's incorrect. | | | |
| 16 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I'm going to show the | | | |
| 17 | witness a series of e-mails which are exhibits 81, 82, 83 | | | |
| 18 | and 84. | | | |
| 19 | (Pause in proceedings.) | | | |
| 20 | A. I have exhibits 81 and 82 twice. | | | |
| 21 | Q. Here's 83 and I'll take those. | | | |
| 22 | (Pause in proceedings.) | | | |
| 23 | A. Okay. | | | |
| 24 | Q. Mr. Kenner, looking at what's in front of you are a | | | |
| 25 | series of e-mails, correct? | | | |
| | | | | |

| 4.50 | | |
|--|--|--|
| A. They are missing a significant number of e-mails | | |
| between these dates as well. | | |
| Q. Well, Mr. Kenner, did you bring any records with you | | |
| or any e-mails with you to introduce into evidence on your | | |
| case? | | |
| A. I have them on my laptop. | | |
| Q. So you had an opportunity to present those also. | | |
| Mr. Kenner, looking at the bottom, it says PK | | |
| SEC 15831, do you see that e-mail? | | |
| A. Yes, I do. | | |
| Q. That's an e-mail from you to Mr. Najam; is that | | |
| correct? | | |
| A. Yes, and Mr. Jowdy. | | |
| Q. And Mr. Jowdy, correct. | | |
| In that e-mail you represent that you're taking | | |
| your 39 percent in Cabo and GuideDog LLC? | | |
| A. That's correct, pursuant to the e-mail Mr. Jowdy sent | | |
| me on February 19. | | |
| Q. Mr. Kenner, it was a yes or no question. Your | | |
| attorney can ask you questions and follow-up if he chooses | | |
| to do so. | | |
| MR. HALEY: Objection. | | |
| He ought to be permitted to testify to the | | |
| context in which that e-mail was | | |
| THE COURT: If he wants to offer e-mails, you | | |
| | | |

| | Komioi or oso, zoonar as | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | 154 can find the e-mails that you want to submit. You can do | | | |
| 2 | that, okay? | | | |
| 3 | MR. HALEY: Thank you. | | | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Let's focus on these. | | | |
| 5 | Go ahead. | | | |
| 6 | BY MS. LEONARDO: | | | |
| 7 | Q. The first e-mail is an e-mail dated February 14, do | | | |
| 8 | you see it, from Mr. Najam to Mr. Jowdy and to you; is | | | |
| 9 | that correct? | | | |
| 10 | A. Yes. | | | |
| 11 | Q. That e-mail is asking for you to advise Mr. Najam how | | | |
| 12 | ownership is going to be broken up; is that correct? | | | |
| 13 | A. That's correct, within the LLC for the investors. | | | |
| 14 | Q. And that was an e-mail that you were doing; is that | | | |
| 15 | right? | | | |
| 16 | You were being asked by Mr. Najam to do that, | | | |
| 17 | correct? | | | |
| 18 | A. Yes, which is what is represented by the CSL | | | |
| 19 | Properties, e-mail 484. That's what he was looking for | | | |
| 20 | the interest in. | | | |
| 21 | Q. And then there's an e-mail | | | |
| 22 | MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I'm going to offer 81 | | | |
| 23 | through 84 into evidence. | | | |
| 24 | THE COURT: Any objection? | | | |
| 25 | MR. HALEY: No, sir. | | | |
| | | | | |

```
155
1
                MR. CONWAY:
                              No objection.
2
                THE COURT: 81 through 84 admitted.
 3
                 (Government Exhibits 81 through 84 in evidence.)
 4
                MS. LEONARDO:
                                I'm going to show the witness
5
      what is marked as 85 and 86.
                 (Pause in proceedings.)
6
7
      BY MS. LEONARDO:
8
      Q.
           Mr. Kenner, looking at exhibits 85 and 86, do you
9
      recognize those?
10
           Yes.
      Α.
11
      Q.
           Looking at --
12
                MS. LEONARDO: Your Honor, I offer 85 and 86
13
      into evidence.
14
                MR. HALEY:
                             No objection.
15
                MR. CONWAY:
                              No objection.
16
                THE COURT: 85 and 86 are admitted.
17
                 (Government Exhibits 85 and 86 in evidence.)
18
      BY MS. LEONARDO:
19
      Q.
           Mr. Kenner, looking at the two page e-mail, that is
      an e-mail from you to Mr. Jowdy; is that correct?
20
21
      Α.
           Yes.
22
           Attached to it is a net worth statement; is that
23
      correct?
24
      Α.
           That is correct.
25
           On this statement you don't record Baja Ventures at
      Q.
```

| | 156 |
|----|--|
| 1 | all; is that correct? |
| 2 | A. It's listed as Diamante Cabo San Lucas. |
| 3 | Q. It doesn't say Baja Ventures 2006, does it? |
| 4 | A. No, Baja Ventures 2006 is not listed on this. |
| 5 | Q. And for your interest in Diamante Cabo San Lucas, you |
| 6 | take the entire two-and-a-half million; is that correct? |
| 7 | A. Yes, because I signed a promissory contract with |
| 8 | Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen. |
| 9 | Q. Mr. Stumpel and Mr. Lehtinen's interest is not |
| 10 | recorded anywhere on here, is it? |
| 11 | MR. HALEY: I'm sorry, on his personal financial |
| 12 | statement? |
| 13 | MS. LEONARDO: I'll rephrase that. |
| 14 | BY MS. LEONARDO: |
| 15 | Q. Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel also have an interest in |
| 16 | the same company. You can't have the entire 2.5 million, |
| 17 | can you? |
| 18 | A. I have a promissory contract with them for the \$2.5 |
| 19 | million on February 20th, the day after Mr. Jowdy told me |
| 20 | he wasn't paying us back. |
| 21 | Q. Mr. Kenner, the question is very simple. |
| 22 | If you put in through Mr. Lehtinen and |
| 23 | Mr. Stumpel, \$2.5 million, and you're representing that |
| 24 | they're entitled to a share of that, or at least 5 percent |
| 25 | of that, that's not reflected or deducted from your |

157 1 personal net worth statement, is it? 2 That's if you're using the math that their equity 3 percentage has to have relative value on the cash 4 represented on an asset statement. That was created by 5 Mr. Jowdy. 6 Well, Mr. Kenner, you apparently reviewed this Q. 7 statement and sent it on to Mr. Jowdy and even made 8 corrections on it: isn't that correct? 9 Mr. Jowdy actually sent the financial statement to me Α. 10 and I responded to him that he may want to make a change 11 on CSL airport information. 12 And you respond everything else should be fine, PK. Q. 13 That's you, correct? 14 Α. That's correct. On this statement you take credit for the entire 15 Q. 16 two-and-a-half million that your clients put in here? 17 Α. That is correct. I have a promissory contract for 18 the funds in Baja Ventures 2006. 19 Q. By the way, how did you pay them preferred return on 20 their interest, how is that paid? 21 Α. It hadn't been paid because Mr. Jowdy hasn't 22 distributed any capital back to any of the investors. 23 Q. So Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel have not received 24 anything on the preferred annual interest that you 25 promised to pay them; is that right?

| ı | 3.000,200,00 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | 158 A. It will be repaid when we get our money back from | | | |
| 2 | Mr. Jowdy and Diamante Cabo San Lucas. | | | |
| 3 | Q. These promissory notes are just between you, Baja | | | |
| 4 | Ventures and Mr. Lehtinen and Mr. Stumpel; isn't that | | | |
| 5 | correct? | | | |
| 6 | A. That's correct. | | | |
| 7 | Baja Ventures, I'm the managing member and | | | |
| 8 | responsible party for the entity. | | | |
| 9 | Q. So to date, in the past 10 years, you haven't paid | | | |
| 10 | anything on these promissory notes to your client? | | | |
| 11 | A. They have not. They're still accruing interest as we | | | |
| 12 | speak. | | | |
| 13 | Q. So what do you owe them now, Mr. Lehtinen and | | | |
| 14 | Mr. Stumpel? | | | |
| 15 | A. The documents speaks for itself. | | | |
| 16 | Q. Calculated today, what is the interest that you owe | | | |
| 17 | them currently? Calculated on your annual accrued | | | |
| 18 | interest rate that you're using, what do you owe them | | | |
| 19 | currently? | | | |
| 20 | A. May I have a calculator? Is this a guessing game for | | | |
| 21 | me? | | | |
| 22 | MR. HALEY: I object. | | | |
| 23 | THE COURT: I don't think we need to calculate | | | |
| 24 | the interest. | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

159 1 BY MS. LEONARDO: 2 By the way, your personal financial statement Q. 3 increases by \$21 million from May of 2005 until February 2006, correct? 4 5 Α. Can I see the May 2005 statement you're referring to? 6 (Document handed.) 7 I can't see the totals at the bottom. Perhaps 8 if you have a clearer copy or you can tell us what they 9 are. 10 I believe on the May 2005 statement it indicates that Q. 11 your net worth is approximately \$19 million, correct? 12 I don't know. I can't read it. Α. 13 Q. If you look at the February 2006 assets, your total 14 assets total \$40,000,137; do you see that in the middle of 15 the document? 16 40 million? I don't see a 40 million on either Α. 17 statement. 18 But if you want me to compare, I'm glad to 19 suggest that the Baja Development Corporation ownership of 20 10 percent was of a \$53 million appraised property. 21 And in February '06, when I previously stated I 22 found out that it was Baja Management that owned the 10 23 percent, the appraised value of the property went up from 24 53 to 68 million, which is 15 million and change. 25 Q. In your recording on your May 2005 investment, an

| | Remer 010307 Leonar do |
|----|--|
| 1 | 160 investment that didn't happen yet in Baja, it hadn't been |
| 2 | bought yet; isn't that right? |
| 3 | A. What has not been bought? |
| 4 | Q. Baja Development. |
| 5 | A. Baja Development, we found out later, was just a |
| 6 | money laundering company that Mr. Jowdy set up. |
| 7 | But the 10 percent ownership, to be clear, was |
| 8 | in the Diamante Del Mar project which, according to |
| 9 | Mr. Jowdy, was owned in 1999 which we later found out was |
| 10 | purchased with our money starting in 2002. |
| 11 | THE COURT: We're going to stop for today. |
| 12 | Mr. Conway, you have cross? |
| 13 | MR. CONWAY: I do, your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: We have to pick another day to |
| 15 | continue. |
| 16 | After Mr. Kenner, do you have any other |
| 17 | witnesses, Mr. Haley? |
| 18 | MR. HALEY: No, your Honor. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Right now what do you intend? |
| 20 | MR. CONWAY: We might request to put Agent Wayne |
| 21 | back on the stand, but it would be relatively short, |
| 22 | within a half hour. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Are you available this week? What's |
| 24 | your schedule like this week? |
| 25 | MR. HALEY: Yes. |

| 1 | 161 THE COURT: Is the government available this |
|----|--|
| 2 | week? |
| 3 | MS. LEONARDO: Yes, your Honor. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Wednesday the 6th at 10? |
| 5 | MR. HALEY: Your Honor, yes. |
| 6 | I have a status conference before Judge Wexler |
| 7 | at 11 a.m. on the 6th so perhaps |
| 8 | THE COURT: Do you want to go for an hour, take |
| 9 | a break? I would rather not start at 11:30. |
| 10 | MR. HALEY: That's fine. |
| 11 | MR. CONWAY: That's good. |
| 12 | MS. LEONARDO: That's fine, your Honor. |
| 13 | MR. HALEY: It should be a short status |
| 14 | conference. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Everything Judge Wexler does is |
| 16 | short. |
| 17 | Thank you. Have a good night. |
| 18 | (Proceedings recessed until Wednesday, April 6, |
| 19 | 2016, at 10 a.m) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | INDEX | |
|----|--|------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Witnesses | Page |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | PHIL KENNER | 26 |
| 6 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 7 | BY MR. HALEY | 27 |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | |
| 10 | BY MS. LEONARDO | 85 |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | EXHIBITS | |
| 13 | | Page |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Forfeiture Exhibit 44 in evidence | 40 |
| 17 | Kenner Exhibit F-24 in evidence | 46 |
| 18 | Government Exhibit 76 in evidence | 91 |
| 19 | Government Forfeiture Exhibits 77 and 80 in evidence | 95 |
| 20 | Government Forfeiture Exhibit 75 in evidence | 102 |
| 21 | Government Forfeiture Exhibit 73 in evidence | 106 |
| 22 | Government Exhibits 81 through 84 in evidence | 155 |
| 23 | Government Exhibits 85 and 86 in evidence | 155 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 20 | | |

| \$ | 1 | 2 | 129:23, 130:2, 130:4, |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | | 130:17, 131:18, 133:24, |
| \$100,000 [14] - 8:19, 8:20, | 1 [2] - 67:16, 95:4 | 2 [4] - 30:21, 60:21, 81:4, | 138:11, 141:9, 145:23, |
| 57:1, 58:13, 59:3, 59:11, | 1.5 [4] - 30:9, 31:8, 56:23, | 120:1 | 146:2, 150:3, 150:5, 152:4, 156:3, 156:4, 157:18, |
| 65:1, 66:4, 74:9, 74:22, | 77:25 | 2,036,500 [1] - 59:15 | 159:4, 159:13 |
| 74:23, 109:24, 110:5, | 1.6 [10] - 59:20, 61:3, | 2.036 [1] - 61:2 | 2007 [9] - 48:22, 49:3, 49:7, |
| 141:15 | 131:25, 132:7, 138:24, | 2.2 [4] - 86:22, 87:1, 87:4, | 67:15, 69:18, 80:17, |
| \$105 [1] - 33:25 | 139:4, 141:11, 141:13, | 87:14 | 142:21, 145:8, 145:16 |
| \$125 [2] - 33:16, 77:10 | 141:22, 142:13 | 2.4 [1] - 110:6 | 2007-2008 [1] - 67:21 |
| \$15 [1] - 34:12 | 10 [17] - 36:21, 49:21, 73:4, | 2.456 [2] - 110:7, 133:22 | 2008 [1] - 53:5 |
| \$15,000 [1] - 137:23 | 73:9, 91:18, 119:20, | 2.5 [9] - 28:16, 109:15, | 2009 [5] - 44:1, 53:5, 54:14, |
| \$19 [1] - 159:11 | 124:18, 143:13, 148:20, | 112:4, 133:20, 133:22, | 97:22, 134:23 |
| \$2.036,000,500 [1] - 60:25 | 151:13, 151:21, 158:9, | 144:12, 156:16, 156:18, | 2010 [13] - 42:4, 43:10, |
| \$200,000 [3] - 59:4, 59:8, | 159:20, 159:22, 160:7, | 156:23 | 52:10, 57:6, 69:24, 70:17, |
| 119:19 | 161:4, 161:19 | 20 [8] - 37:4, 45:4, 47:5, | 87:10, 96:17, 127:19, |
| \$21 [1] - 159:3 | 10-page [1] - 43:19 | 47:10, 48:5, 48:15, 120:21, | 128:3, 137:1, 142:4, |
| \$225,000 [1] - 75:19 | 100 [7] - 1:15, 2:20, 110:15, 111:7, 118:23, 118:25, | 137:22 | 142:13 |
| \$250,000 [2] - 137:5, 151:9 | 119:4 | 2002 [4] - 51:2, 142:19, 150:20, 160:10 | 2011 [2] - 99:21, 128:14 |
| \$3.25 [1] - 149:22 | 100,000 [3] - 56:19, 59:10, | 2003 [2] - 117:9, 142:19 | 2013 [3] - 72:8, 73:18, 135:20 |
| \$300,000 [1] - 56:9 \$350,000 [4] - 75:17, | 61:13 | 2004 [12] - 35:13, 37:25, | 2015 [9] - 5:15, 27:17, |
| 150:18, 150:21, 151:20 | 102 [1] - 162:20 | 40:19, 40:20, 65:6, 75:21, | 32:14, 37:4, 45:4, 47:5, |
| \$360,000 [2] - 65:20, 68:20 | 106 [1] - 162:21 | 81:10, 93:25, 94:4, 108:21, | 47:11, 48:6, 48:16 |
| \$380,000 [1] - 35:17 | 10705 [1] - 36:16 | 117:10, 136:17 | 2016 [2] - 1:9, 161:19 |
| \$40,000,137 [1] - 159:14 | 10:00 [1] - 1:9 | 2005 [35] - 28:12, 28:24, | 20th [1] - 156:19 |
| \$400,000 [1] - 136:24 | 11 [3] - 57:19, 127:19, | 51:3, 57:16, 76:9, 78:18, | 21st [2] - 80:20, 81:23 |
| \$416,000 [1] - 136:19 | 161:7 | 80:10, 80:13, 103:25, | 22nd [4] - 27:16, 27:17, |
| \$450,000 [1] - 78:8 | 11501 [1] - 2:3 | 106:7, 106:8, 106:9, | 32:14, 74:8 |
| \$50 [1] - 32:10 | 11572 [1] - 2:7 | 106:18, 106:22, 107:5, | 23rd [5] - 27:25, 65:22, |
| \$50,000 [2] - 74:3, 74:4 | 11722 [2] - 1:15, 2:21 | 107:7, 107:11, 107:25, | 109:5, 113:17, 114:10 |
| \$500,000 [8] - 21:20, 52:17, | 11749 [1] - 1:22 | 108:3, 108:17, 108:19, | 24 [1] - 46:20 |
| 54:19, 54:21, 54:23, 55:2, | 11:30 [1] - 161:9 | 108:20, 108:21, 109:7, | 25,000 [1] - 136:20 |
| 57:5, 151:1 | 12 [1] - 80:13 | 115:1, 118:17, 138:11, | 2597 [1] - 81:5 |
| \$53 [1] - 159:20 | 12th [2] - 11:16, 81:20 | 143:13, 144:15, 146:10, 146:14, 159:3, 159:5, | 26 [8] - 2:7, 33:15, 33:23, |
| \$55 [1] - 33:20 | 13 [2] - 135:20, 135:21 | 159:10, 159:25 | 34:7, 72:1, 94:11, 130:4, |
| \$550,000 [1] - 52:10 | 13-CR-607 [1] - 3:3 | 2006 [96] - 8:3, 27:19, | 162:5 |
| \$6.05 [1] - 67:18 | 135 _[2] - 107:17, 138:2 14 _[1] - 154:7 | 27:23, 27:25, 28:10, 28:19, | 26,000 [2] - 100:7, 100:23 |
| \$75 [1] - 81:19 | 15 [4] - 93:20, 118:1, 128:3, | 28:25, 30:10, 30:20, 31:7, | 27 [3] - 64:5, 74:9, 162:7 28 [2] - 81:6, 119:22 |
| \$791,000 [1] - 57:17 \$800,000 [1] - 82:1 | 159:24 | 32:20, 33:16, 33:24, 34:7, | 290,000 [1] - 52:22 |
| \$90,000 [1] - 62.1 | 150 [1] - 73:2 | 37:9, 37:19, 42:21, 45:7, | 2:00 [1] - 84:8 |
| \$956,000 [2] - 59:15, 61:1 | 150,000 [1] - 60:19 | 47:3, 56:24, 58:14, 58:17, | 2nd [1] - 97:22 |
| 4500,000 [2] 00.10, 01.1 | 1500 [2] - 35:14, 48:11 | 59:11, 59:18, 61:1, 64:25, | 2.10[1] 07.22 |
| • | 155 [2] - 162:22, 162:23 | 65:4, 65:22, 71:25, 72:1, | 3 |
| | 15831 [1] - 153:9 | 78:5, 78:11, 78:21, 79:17, | |
| | 16 [2] - 31:12, 77:6 | 80:11, 80:20, 94:11, | 0 74.4 00 45 04 40 |
| '03 [1] - 141:2 | 1601 [1] - 1:21 | 106:19, 109:2, 109:3, 109:5, 109:7, 109:13, | 3 [4] - 74:4, 80:15, 81:13, |
| '05 [1] - 103:18 | 16th [1] - 78:17 | 110:10, 110:15, 112:15, | 81:25 3.8 [1] - 35:15 |
| '06 [4] - 74:4, 75:3, 76:11, | 17 [7] - 31:12, 48:16, 75:17, | 112:20, 112:23, 113:2, | 30 [2] - 48:16, 80:23 |
| 159:21 | 75:19, 76:4, 106:22, 107:5 | 113:8, 113:17, 113:20, | 300 [1] - 2:3 |
| 'O4 [1] - 141:3 'O5 [4] - 75:18, 103:11, | 17th [1] - 78:17 | 114:10, 114:20, 114:23, | 308 [1] - 149:17 |
| 113:7, 141:3 | 18 [1] - 5:15 | 114:25, 115:7, 115:11, | 31st [1] - 69:18 |
| '06 [3] - 74:4, 74:8, 81:24 | 18th [1] - 75:19 | 115:24, 116:6, 117:21, | 325 [1] - 75:18 |
| | 19 [12] - 32:19, 43:10, 52:9, | 118:2, 118:5, 118:13, | 350 [1] - 76:4 |
| 0 | 79:17, 113:2, 113:19, 113:20, 115:24, 116:4, | 120:24, 122:12, 122:15, | 350,000 [1] - 76:4 |
| | 117:21, 120:24, 153:18 | 126:1, 126:3, 127:2, 127:7, | 3500 [2] - 42:18, 43:17 |
| 0.00 400.00 | 1976 [1] - 36:15 | 128:4, 128:8, 128:20, | 3711 [2] - 143:19, 143:22 |
| 0 [1] - 100:23 | 1999 [1] - 160:9 | 129:2, 129:11, 129:15, | 39 [5] - 78:11, 79:7, 79:19, |
| | ., | | |

120:4, 153:16

4

4 [1] - 1:9

40 [6] - 79:15, 120:20,
120:21, 159:16, 162:16

404(b [2] - 5:8, 19:9

4231 [1] - 147:25

44 [4] - 38:16, 40:4, 40:5,
162:16

46 [3] - 91:4, 91:11, 162:17

4631 [1] - 118:15

4632 [1] - 118:15

469 [1] - 36:4

48 [1] - 78:18

484 [1] - 154:19

4:30 [1] - 133:8

4th [3] - 65:5, 75:18, 76:4

5

5 [12] - 29:3, 30:1, 30:7, 75:3, 76:11, 119:3, 119:11, 119:23, 137:8, 137:12, 138:9, 156:24 **50** [5] - 80:9, 80:22, 148:24, 149:5, 149:10 **50,000** [2] - 61:12, 61:15 50/50 [1] - 53:6 **500,000** [2] - 52:7, 57:23 501(c)(3 [1] - 73:13 **510,000** [1] - 78:8 **52** [1] - 66:22 **53** [1] - 159:24 501(c)(3 [1] - 71:24 5th [4] - 42:3, 94:19, 137:1, 142:12

6

6 [5] - 29:3, 30:2, 30:16, 106:22, 161:18 62 [1] - 75:24 631 [1] - 2:21 649405 [2] - 54:7, 55:3 668 [1] - 5:15 68 [1] - 159:24 6th [6] - 42:4, 94:19, 137:1, 142:12, 161:4, 161:7

7

7 [2] - 5:15, 67:14 **712-6101** [1] - 2:21 **72** [4] - 101:1, 101:3, 101:11, 101:17 **73** [5] - 104:25, 105:25, 106:4, 106:5, 162:21 **75** [5] - 102:5, 102:12, 102:21, 102:21, 102:22, 162:20 **76** [4] - 91:20, 91:24, 91:25, 162:18 **77** [5] - 95:9, 95:11, 95:19, 95:20, 162:19 **771** [1] - 77:24 **772** [1] - 78:6

8

8 [8] - 74:4, 119:21, 120:2, 120:10, 120:14, 129:9, 129:10, 130:16 **80** [3] - 95:19, 95:20, 162:19 81 [6] - 152:17, 152:20, 154:22, 155:2, 155:3, 82_[2] - 152:17, 152:20 83 [2] - 152:17, 152:21 **84** [5] - 152:18, 154:23, 155:2, 155:3, 162:22 **85** [7] - 155:5, 155:8, 155:12, 155:16, 155:17, 162:10, 162:23 86 [6] - 155:5, 155:8, 155:12, 155:16, 155:17, 162:23

9

9.1 [1] - 50:18 91 [1] - 162:18 92-4928 [1] - 35:9 95 [1] - 162:19 956 [2] - 60:22, 61:2 9th [1] - 46:6

Α

a.m [2] - 1:9, 161:7
a.m. [1] - 161:19
able [7] - 20:19, 21:11,
28:8, 34:14, 50:20, 81:16,
92:15
absolutely [5] - 96:21,
115:8, 134:19, 138:10,
147:14
accept [1] - 82:22
acceptable [1] - 94:10
access [6] - 65:8, 108:2,
111:15, 111:17, 135:19
accompanies [1] - 60:10
according [6] - 22:20,

98:2, 120:9, 120:13, 83:9, 92:13 120:15, 160:8 account [33] - 8:21, 31:9, 31:10, 31:12, 31:15, 31:17, 31:22, 32:1, 32:3, 32:8, 32:11. 50:23. 56:22. 57:8. 57:22, 59:7, 59:13, 65:2, 65:5. 66:6. 78:1. 78:2. 78:3, 78:9, 78:20, 116:13, 123:3, 123:6, 142:22, 145:8 accountable [1] - 44:17 accounting [2] - 63:3, 75:10 accounts [21] - 15:16, 40:10, 44:7, 44:8, 51:10, 51:11, 51:13, 51:17, 51:19, 51:24, 53:20, 53:24, 56:1, 57:21, 58:22, 59:7, 65:12, 68:20, 78:19, 79:3 accrued [1] - 158:17 accruing [1] - 158:11 accuracy [1] - 67:25 accurate [7] - 50:19, 64:13, 64:14, 67:8, 100:9, 100:24, 137:6 accurately [1] - 77:13 accusations [1] - 32:5 acquire [9] - 31:6, 40:22, 41:17, 47:16, 52:23, 72:1, 72:2, 148:17, 149:5 acquired [7] - 34:19, 36:6, 41:8, 52:13, 62:14, 68:25, 83:21 acquisition [6] - 28:9, 33:16, 35:14, 36:1, 67:20, 77:10 acre [3] - 48:11, 73:4, 73:9 acres [1] - 35:14 action [4] - 94:16, 103:6, 132:15, 132:16 active [1] - 146:25 activity [4] - 16:22, 24:5, 74:7, 83:13 actual [3] - 38:20, 58:13, 127:25 adamant [1] - 81:7 add [3] - 39:20, 46:13, 83:16

addition [7] - 4:6, 11:10,

136:24

148:15

21:1, 33:5, 97:20, 123:19,

additional [15] - 4:18, 4:24,

21:12, 23:8, 23:12, 27:12,

38:7, 45:2, 56:9, 138:24,

address [12] - 6:11, 6:21,

11:18, 15:25, 25:17, 26:22,

8:5, 9:14, 10:15, 10:18,

8:2, 15:2, 20:19, 20:25,

addresses [3] - 7:10, 10:12, 92:9 adjudicate [1] - 60:8 adjudicated [1] - 57:6 adjusted [1] - 122:1 admissible [1] - 83:11 admitted [16] - 29:2, 46:20, 67:4, 68:8, 70:11, 71:15, 74:24, 77:20, 91:24, 94:13, 95:19, 101:17, 102:21, 106:4, 155:2, 155:16 advance [1] - 148:17 adversary [3] - 104:12, 105:23, 123:25 advice [2] - 71:23, 72:15 advise [1] - 154:11 advised [2] - 86:16, 98:10 advisor [1] - 139:3 Advisors [1] - 144:10 affidavit [2] - 83:18, 83:24 affidavits [13] - 82:10, 82:14, 82:15, 82:22, 83:1, 83:8, 83:9, 83:17, 83:21, 84:3, 90:5, 90:6, 134:21 agency [5] - 60:13, 127:20, 130:21, 131:1, 131:6 Agent [3] - 21:12, 24:25, 160:20 ago [3] - 14:25, 32:2, 137:16 agree [4] - 15:24, 73:20, 98:16, 98:18 agreed [4] - 19:17, 19:20, 28:15, 72:13 agreement [38] - 30:18, 33:24, 38:1, 40:19, 40:21, 41:2, 41:18, 57:4, 61:19, 70:18, 70:19, 75:21, 81:10, 88:7, 88:19, 90:17, 92:19, 93:2, 93:4, 93:7, 93:12, 118:16, 118:17, 120:17, 120:18, 125:2, 126:12, 128:9, 128:13, 128:20, 129:3, 129:11, 130:3, 136:12, 138:18, 139:24, 142:2, 146:21 agreements [7] - 51:8, 62:18, 66:8, 70:4, 75:20, 88:10 agribusiness [1] - 73:5 ahead [5] - 54:20, 85:17, 133:15, 142:11, 154:5 airplane [2] - 148:10, 148:11 Airplane [1] - 36:15 airport [1] - 157:11 Alan [1] - 72:5 alerted [1] - 125:17 allegations [4] - 48:3,

124:2, 125:4, 126:20 allege [5] - 4:23, 8:15, 93:13, 94:4, 136:19 alleged [10] - 4:14, 4:17, 5:6, 6:19, 15:6, 27:13, 55:24, 58:23, 67:25, 90:17 alleges [1] - 95:4 alleging [3] - 15:1, 15:2, 18:25 allocate [1] - 32:21 allocated [1] - 66:7 allow [4] - 19:14, 54:14, 101:15, 115:15 allowed [1] - 115:18 almost [1] - 136:4 amended [6] - 37:6, 37:8, 37:12, 47:10, 48:5, 48:15 America [1] - 73:6 **AMERICA**[1] - 1:3 American [1] - 82:6 amount [7] - 61:17, 68:15, 79:4, 86:22, 121:17, 138:9, 150:16 amounts [7] - 62:6, 66:2, 67:14, 93:22, 138:10, 138:12, 138:15 analysis [2] - 4:15, 55:6 analytical [1] - 9:2 analytically [1] - 8:14 **ANDREW** [1] - 2:6 Andrew [1] - 3:14 Ann [1] - 2:20 annual [2] - 157:24, 158:17 **ANSWER** [6] - 44:25, 129:13, 129:16, 129:18, 129:20, 129:24 answer [10] - 11:3, 15:8, 31:3, 44:20, 44:22, 102:8, 102:16, 115:19, 139:17, 143:9 answered [2] - 87:15, 115:13 answers [1] - 86:16 anticipated [1] - 68:16 apart [2] - 132:1, 135:24 apologize [5] - 30:3, 51:6, 60:24, 66:13, 123:17 appeal [7] - 96:9, 96:12, 98:20, 98:22, 99:7, 99:9, appearances [1] - 3:5 APPEARANCES [1] - 1:13 appeared [1] - 97:9 apples [1] - 10:10 applicable [1] - 11:23 application [4] - 4:2, 4:4, 5:24, 9:8 applying [1] - 77:14 appraised [2] - 159:20, 159:23

appreciate [2] - 10:20, 34:17 appreciation [1] - 15:23 approach [4] - 29:8, 67:1, 103:13, 106:9 approached [6] - 28:11, 34:8, 106:7, 106:18, 108:8, 118:4 approaching [5] - 103:10, 103:24, 106:13, 137:8, 137:12 appropriate [2] - 8:5, 8:6 approval [1] - 74:3 April [8] - 1:9, 27:15, 27:16, 27:17, 32:14, 54:13, 99:20, 161:18 Arbitration [1] - 82:6 arbitration [11] - 44:1, 82:17, 82:18, 82:20, 83:25, 86:7, 86:8, 86:11, 86:21, 86:25, 134:24 area [1] - 73:11 areas [2] - 16:10, 107:14 arguing [5] - 10:9, 13:11, 18:6, 22:5, 24:12 argument [7] - 8:17, 10:16, 16:4, 17:23, 41:10, 41:11, 41.13 arguments [1] - 10:17 Arizona [10] - 8:14, 36:16. 52:17, 82:25, 89:15, 90:7, 90:11, 91:17, 95:15, 96:18 arose [1] - 11:15 arraignment [1] - 27:8 arrangement [3] - 19:23, 28:15, 38:3 arrangements [2] - 19:18, 66:3 arranging [2] - 149:7, 149:9 arrest [1] - 136:6 arrested [1] - 43:4 aside [2] - 63:12, 73:9 askew [1] - 62:20 aspect [3] - 4:7, 39:18, 51:24 aspects [1] - 4:13 asserting [1] - 4:12 asset [3] - 9:1, 9:4, 157:4 assets [6] - 24:18, 87:13, 87:18, 144:10, 159:13, 159:14 assist [1] - 36:24

assistance [1] - 83:2

associated [2] - 51:20,

Associates [1] - 127:21

assume [2] - 16:11, 144:6

Association [1] - 82:6

Assistant [1] - 1:17

53:25

assumed [1] - 94:8 assuming [2] - 8:17, 25:8 assure [1] - 4:10 astute [1] - 5:3 attached [2] - 130:16, 155:22 attempt [1] - 73:17 attempted [1] - 63:19 attempting [2] - 87:18, 88.18 attended [1] - 96:23 attention [1] - 4:16 attorney [21] - 31:9, 38:13, 38:22, 42:19, 73:6, 73:7, 74:13, 77:13, 90:2, 98:10, 100:14, 100:16, 100:20, 100:22, 101:4, 101:7, 105:11, 114:23, 128:16, 145:13, 153:20 Attorney [2] - 1:14, 1:17 attorneys [18] - 37:20, 39:7, 51:9, 63:21, 69:24, 87:17, 89:4, 89:8, 89:11, 90:14, 90:21, 96:11, 97:4, 97:6, 97:11, 102:8, 103:4, 122:18 attributable [1] - 59:16 August [16] - 33:23, 37:4, 45:4, 47:5, 47:10, 48:5, 48:15, 51:2, 57:16, 58:14, 58:17, 72:1, 99:21, 107:6, 107:10, 127:19 AUSA [1] - 12:4 AUSAs [1] - 25:22 authentic [1] - 92:10 authenticated [1] - 147:22 authenticating [1] - 147:21 authenticity [1] - 67:6 authorized [7] - 21:17, 21:23, 104:20, 104:21, 105:14, 105:17, 105:20 available [6] - 37:1, 54:17, 68:5, 74:11, 160:23, 161:1 avenue [1] - 11:13 avoid [1] - 89:12 aware [16] - 4:21, 6:6, 27:5, 27:10, 37:4, 37:7, 46:1, 58:5, 76:21, 89:7, 94:21, 96:6, 96:7, 121:14, 132:23, 135:5 В

backdated [5] - 147:4, 147:5, 147:7, 147:8, 147:14 backdoor [1] - 7:9 background [1] - 119:13 Bahti [2] - 34:9, 76:21

Baja [98] - 8:3, 27:18, 27:23, 28:10, 28:19, 28:25, 30:10, 30:20, 31:7, 37:9, 42:21, 51:1, 56:22, 56:24, 57:22, 58:17, 59:12, 59:17, 61:1. 65:2. 66:6. 74:5. 78:5. 78:11. 78:20. 79:21. 103:10. 106:10. 106:19. 109:2, 109:6, 109:13, 110:10, 110:15, 111:21, 112:15, 112:18, 112:20, 112:23, 113:8, 114:10, 114:20, 114:22, 114:24, 116:6, 118:1, 118:5, 118:13, 118:23, 118:25, 119:4, 122:11, 126:1, 126:3, 127:2, 127:7, 128:4, 128:20, 129:11, 129:14, 129:22, 130:1, 130:10, 130:17, 131:17, 132:15, 133:20, 133:23, 142:17, 142:24, 143:13, 143:17, 145:4, 145:5, 145:14, 145:18, 145:22, 146:2, 148:21, 150:1, 150:3, 150:5, 150:9, 151:13, 151:14, 152:4, 152:12, 155:25, 156:3, 156:4, 157:18, 158:3, 158:7, 159:19, 159:22, 160:1, 160:4, 160:5 baker [1] - 82:25 Baker [1] - 97:7 balance [5] - 33:19, 54:12, 56:10, 59:19, 61:2 Ball [1] - 73:7 Bamford [1] - 130:23 bank [29] - 15:16, 21:13, 21:16, 21:19, 21:22, 31:9, 32:10, 39:9, 40:8, 50:20, 50:23, 51:10, 51:11, 53:20, 59:6, 65:12, 68:19, 77:25, 78:1, 78:2, 78:3, 78:9, 122:20, 122:21, 123:1, 123:2, 123:4, 123:5, 123:6 **bankruptcy** [1] - 104:13 bar [1] - 123:8 based [12] - 16:8, 18:9, 23:4, 24:11, 33:25, 39:17, 42:22, 82:3, 87:1, 97:10, 113:10, 145:11 basic [2] - 64:3, 68:12 basis [4] - 18:5, 104:2, 106:8, 138:1 bat [1] - 76:20 Bates [5] - 77:24, 78:6, 81:4, 101:9, 101:19 beach [2] - 49:2, 49:5

bears [2] - 6:7, 6:8

became [2] - 80:15, 94:14

| - 1 |
|-----|
| /I |
| |

98:23, 102:3, 102:9, 132:5,

become [1] - 146:25 becoming [1] - 109:21 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:11 began [3] - 80:18, 94:3, 150:20 begin [4] - 50:9, 69:25, 78:10, 81:14 beginning [3] - 28:13, 54:13, 150:19 behalf [16] - 32:23, 54:25, 55:4, 62:17, 63:7, 69:20, 77:13, 78:8, 79:14, 80:18, 104:13, 104:20, 104:21, 105:15, 133:23, 144:4 behind [2] - 109:1, 118:18 belabor [5] - 17:3, 19:13, 43:14, 61:20, 83:7 belaboring [1] - 38:17 belief [3] - 97:14, 97:16, 145:11 believes [1] - 124:3 below [2] - 119:22, 119:23 Belt [2] - 35:9, 71:20 bench [1] - 139:14 benchmark [1] - 119:23 benefit [4] - 57:24, 58:19, 81:22, 122:10 Berard [3] - 54:7, 67:10, 134:23 Berard's [2] - 54:12, 54:24 best [6] - 14:21, 28:3, 28:7, 37:19, 63:24, 64:13 between [27] - 5:1, 10:6, 19:18, 28:20, 30:9, 30:19, 35:4, 41:18, 42:19, 43:10, 44:1, 60:1, 63:2, 67:9, 67:23, 70:25, 76:22, 78:5, 78:14, 79:2, 108:21, 120:24, 135:17, 138:18, 153:2, 158:3 BIANCO [1] - 1:11 **big** [1] - 36:10 biggest [2] - 81:6, 81:9 bill [17] - 7:22, 8:2, 9:6, 9:15, 13:24, 27:11, 27:16, 32:14, 35:8, 37:6, 37:8, 37:12, 45:4, 47:5, 47:10, 48:5, 48:15 bit [1] - 89:21 blame [1] - 44:23 blanket [1] - 136:11 block [1] - 48:16 blunderbuss [1] - 24:2 board [1] - 141:2 boats [1] - 73:6 bonus [1] - 33:6 books [7] - 63:13, 63:22, 63:25, 132:16, 132:22, 132:23, 133:1

borrowed [3] - 37:21,

bottom [3] - 30:15, 153:8, 159:7 bought [4] - 52:24, 129:25, 160:2, 160:3 Boulevard [1] - 48:6 bound [1] - 73:6 brain [2] - 72:11, 73:18 breach [3] - 19:25, 52:25, 70:19 breached [1] - 53:8 break [4] - 49:18, 84:7, 133:4, 161:9 breakouts [1] - 141:3 bridge [1] - 14:12 brief [9] - 10:19, 11:16, 11:24, 23:11, 23:20, 25:4, 25:14, 25:16, 25:17 briefed [1] - 11:17 briefly [2] - 50:14, 85:9 bring [3] - 4:16, 121:3, 153:3 bringing [1] - 132:5 broad [3] - 11:13, 15:11, 62:5 broke [1] - 138:23 broken [1] - 154:12 brother [2] - 52:12, 75:5 **brother-in-law** [1] - 75:5 Brothers [24] - 32:20, 32:22, 33:7, 33:8, 33:15, 33:19, 33:24, 34:2, 34:4, 34:8, 34:9, 34:13, 34:19, 34:24, 47:15, 72:1, 75:11, 76:21, 77:7, 113:25, 119:8, 120:23, 120:25, 121:15 Brothers' [1] - 76:19 brought [14] - 34:11, 86:11, 87:25, 88:5, 88:12, 91:16, 92:17, 94:16, 96:2, 99:14, 99:17, 102:9, 126:18, 132:15 brush [1] - 62:5 Bryan [3] - 54:7, 54:24, 67:10 build [1] - 36:8 burden [2] - 6:8, 6:9 burdened [1] - 99:1 business [2] - 17:18, 73:14 **BY** [41] - 1:16, 1:22, 2:4, 27:2, 29:24, 30:5, 40:7, 46:22, 50:5, 64:12, 67:3, 68:7, 71:11, 80:3, 85:21, 89:1, 90:1, 91:9, 92:1, 95:22, 99:13, 101:21, 102:7, 102:23, 105:6, 106:6, 112:11, 125:11, 133:17, 139:21, 143:11, 143:20, 147:23, 152:2, 154:6, 155:7, 155:18,

37:23, 42:22

156:14, 159:1, 162:7, 162:10

C

Cabo [71] - 4:20, 5:9, 6:4, 7:1, 9:5, 16:21, 24:6, 28:1, 28:14, 32:21, 33:17, 34:3, 34:7, 34:25, 37:21, 40:22, 41:2, 41:8, 41:17, 41:21, 42:5, 45:8, 47:16, 48:6, 48:11, 48:13, 48:21, 49:13, 55:21, 56:12, 56:21, 58:17, 59:5, 59:9, 59:14, 61:13, 62:3, 62:11, 62:15, 62:19, 62:22, 63:11, 63:14, 64:19, 64:20, 64:22, 65:15, 68:21, 74:5, 76:15, 77:8, 78:12, 93:24, 100:3, 109:14, 110:14, 112:1, 112:25, 113:4, 116:1, 117:16, 120:1, 133:19, 145:22, 146:18, 146:24, 149:3, 153:16, 156:2, 156:5, 158:2 Cactus [5] - 8:13, 8:23, 8:24, 9:3, 36:16 CALCAGNI [1] - 1:20 calculate [1] - 158:23 calculated [2] - 158:16, 158:17 calculates [1] - 79:20 calculation [4] - 6:12, 10:13, 60:24, 79:6 calculator [1] - 158:20 calendars [1] - 106:12 California [7] - 49:2, 49:5, 91:19, 96:15, 98:23, 102:10, 103:4 camp [2] - 107:7, 107:8 cancelled [1] - 57:19 cancer [2] - 72:11, 73:18 cannot [1] - 69:23 capacity [1] - 125:25 **CAPERS** [1] - 1:14 capital [6] - 56:24, 59:17, 78:3, 78:10, 78:20, 157:22 caption [2] - 88:12, 88:15 care [2] - 58:25, 59:1 Carlsmith [1] - 73:7 cart [1] - 7:8 case [37] - 3:3, 3:25, 4:7, 5:4, 7:13, 7:14, 7:15, 11:21, 12:4, 13:25, 20:17, 25:22, 41:4, 60:10, 80:6, 88:2, 88:3, 89:12, 89:13, 95:15, 96:6, 96:8, 96:10,

96:17, 96:18, 96:19, 96:24,

97:8, 97:11, 97:19, 98:14,

132:7, 153:5 cases [1] - 63:17 cash [12] - 54:17, 109:18, 109:21, 109:24, 110:5, 151:1, 151:6, 151:9, 151:16, 151:20, 157:3 category [1] - 54:18 cell [1] - 110:22 Central [4] - 1:6, 1:15, 2:21, 102:10 Centrum [1] - 81:1 certain [4] - 32:22, 46:16, 111:7, 121:10 certainly [5] - 13:7, 24:23, 31:4, 41:11, 122:9 Cervada [1] - 48:17 cetera [1] - 72:25 chance [2] - 4:8, 12:5 **change** [6] - 73:3, 114:1, 114:4, 145:3, 157:10, 159:24 changed [3] - 97:5, 120:22, 145:5 changes [3] - 122:5, 122:6, characterize [3] - 42:8, 44:6, 76:15 characterized [6] - 39:5, 39:16, 39:25, 41:9, 44:10, 77:14 charge [1] - 107:13 charged [3] - 11:21, 17:17, 17:19 charitable [2] - 35:23, 72:17 chart [7] - 4:23, 50:15, 50:16, 64:5, 64:9, 70:15, 74:9 charts [1] - 79:1 check [1] - 119:13 choice [1] - 60:7 choose [1] - 7:14 chooses [1] - 153:20 circumstances [3] - 32:17, 35:11, 82:16 cities [1] - 109:1 citizen [2] - 89:15, 90:7 civil [6] - 42:4, 58:3, 60:1, 60:5, 60:8, 85:23 civilian [1] - 132:4 claim [6] - 52:17, 88:19, 89:14, 92:11, 110:24, 124:21 claimed [2] - 90:21, 90:23 claiming [3] - 12:11, 23:5, 92:9 clarifying [2] - 76:23, 142:8 clarity [2] - 41:23, 46:23 classic [1] - 8:25

cleanup [1] - 72:4 clear [13] - 15:10, 16:25, 21:8, 23:11, 33:2, 35:25, 36:12, 61:11, 66:14, 79:12, 110:17, 126:8, 160:7 clearer [1] - 159:8 clearly [2] - 38:2, 76:21 CLERK [5] - 3:1, 3:3, 26:18, 85:3, 133:11 **client** [21] - 4:5, 5:1, 7:5, 7:9, 7:19, 9:13, 9:22, 14:16, 16:12, 24:1, 26:23, 99:18, 103:19, 103:20, 103:23, 119:25, 136:2, 136:8, 137:17, 158:10 client's [2] - 8:13, 74:16 clients [34] - 5:2, 6:3, 45:8, 62:17, 63:2, 63:7, 63:23, 68:25, 69:10, 103:22, 107:17, 107:24, 124:17, 125:17, 132:25, 133:3, 134:17, 135:1, 135:4, 135:10, 135:14, 135:17, 136:25, 137:8, 137:11, 137:13, 137:21, 137:22, 138:1, 138:2, 138:5, 139:3, 147:15, 157:16 close [3] - 71:25, 80:23, 146:24 closed [1] - 68:21 closing [46] - 28:18, 34:15, 47:14, 53:21, 56:8, 64:25, 65:15, 65:20, 65:21, 75:13, 94:5, 94:11, 110:14, 112:24, 113:3, 113:11, 113:12, 113:16, 114:7, 114:23, 115:5, 116:9, 117:19, 117:23, 118:13, 119:2, 119:5, 119:7, 119:14, 120:9, 120:13, 120:15, 120:17, 120:19, 121:5, 121:6, 121:11, 121:13, 121:14, 121:16, 121:22, 122:1, 122:2, 122:11, 130:4, 141:8 CMG [3] - 8:19, 8:20, 51:17 CMG/Eufora [1] - 54:1 co[1] - 82:25 co-counsel [1] - 82:25 Coletta [1] - 149:17 collapse [1] - 73:17 collateral [1] - 54:15 colleague [1] - 5:13 collect [2] - 69:14, 70:4 collecting [1] - 69:12 collective [2] - 45:7, 140.16 collectively [1] - 54:14 colloquy [1] - 5:12 column [24] - 38:21, 38:25,

39:8, 39:12, 50:9, 50:11, 52:2, 52:3, 52:6, 53:15, 54:5, 54:20, 55:14, 55:17, 55:19, 56:13, 56:17, 62:3, 62:7, 62:11, 66:2, 66:4, 70:15, 85:12 coming [5] - 68:17, 68:23, 140:3. 140:7 commence [5] - 3:25, 60:6, 60:13, 69:14, 69:23 commenced [3] - 57:25, 82:5, 96:15 commencement [4] - 7:23, 28:13, 29:21, 38:6 **commencing** [1] - 132:1 comment [1] - 93:15 commercial [1] - 34:15 commingle [1] - 15:11 commingled [5] - 15:3, 20:10, 20:11, 23:21, 25:5 commingling [13] - 11:4, 11:11, 15:25, 16:2, 17:1, 17:4, 17:7, 17:22, 17:25, 22:13, 22:19, 78:13, 78:16 commit [1] - 22:15 commitment [1] - 80:14 committed [1] - 5:10 common [5] - 10:5, 10:6, 10:8, 10:18, 74:15 commonality [1] - 35:6 communication [1] - 76:18 communications [1] -52:25 **community** [1] - 73:8 Company [2] - 143:14, 148:21 company [11] - 54:16, 55:9, 67:17, 67:19, 69:20, 113:24, 129:11, 141:9, 152:10, 156:16, 160:6 compare [1] - 159:18 complained [1] - 122:15 complaining [1] - 12:24 complaint [17] - 89:3, 89:4, 89:5, 89:7, 89:9, 90:3, 92:18, 93:1, 93:6, 95:15, 105:15, 123:8, 126:23, 136:18, 136:22, 136:24, 139.15 complaints [1] - 70:21 complete [1] - 83:12 completed [5] - 33:15, 33:24, 49:3, 55:10, 98:12 completely [5] - 35:3, 50:19, 54:22, 125:25, completion [1] - 49:1 complex [3] - 7:14, 7:15,

7:16

complexity [1] - 7:13

comply [1] - 98:3 component [1] - 63:13 computer [4] - 2:25, 111:5, 111:9, 111:12 concern [2] - 81:6, 81:9 concerned [5] - 4:1, 22:23, 81:15, 96:16, 119:10 concerning [2] - 5:17, 9:14 concert [1] - 73:10 conclude [1] - 85:7 concluded [2] - 98:2, 128:14 conclusion [6] - 6:10, 34:7, 37:5, 37:13, 74:16, 97:8 condo [1] - 57:17 conduct [20] - 4:14, 4:18, 4:24, 6:12, 9:21, 11:21, 11:22, 12:7, 12:9, 12:12, 12:17, 13:6, 13:18, 14:8, 15:2, 15:5, 21:6, 25:24 conducted [1] - 127:12 conference [3] - 5:16, 161:6, 161:14 confined [1] - 16:1 confirm [1] - 141:18 confirmation [1] - 120:22 $\boldsymbol{confirming}~[2]-38:14,$ 66:15 confirms [1] - 42:21 confusing [2] - 79:11, 115:16 Congrejos [2] - 48:6, 48:10 conjunction [1] - 35:13 conjutive [1] - 116:17 connected [1] - 51:23 connection [5] - 8:24, 64:17, 70:14, 82:9, 83:8 consider [2] - 13:17, 39:11 consideration [2] - 8:7, 28:20 considered [2] - 12:16, 39:1 considering [3] - 13:5, 13:14, 18:15 **consistent** [1] - 16:19 conspiracy [3] - 11:13, 17:4, 20:16 constant [1] - 103:22 CONSTANTINE [1] - 1:7 Constantine [15] - 2:4, 2:8, 3:4, 3:15, 3:16, 34:11, 52:23, 53:1, 53:3, 53:22, 63:18, 80:17, 94:13, 125:20 Constantine's [2] - 104:13, 145:17 construction [1] - 34:12 contact [3] - 103:21, 103:22, 103:23

contain [1] - 92:9

contained [2] - 67:23, 111:5 contents [1] - 80:8 context [3] - 7:2, 16:1, 153:24 contiguous [1] - 35:14 continuation [1] - 3:18 continue [5] - 55:8, 58:12, 63:9, 140:11, 160:15 continued [2] - 63:5, 145:7 continuing [4] - 13:21, 14:3, 54:16, 68:12 contract [15] - 19:25. 28:23, 30:9, 30:19, 52:22, 53:1, 53:23, 59:16, 78:4, 94:9, 110:12, 112:23, 156:7, 156:18, 157:17 contracts [5] - 31:1, 53:9, 114:9, 119:24, 122:14 contractual [1] - 75:20 contrary [2] - 111:24, 135:8 contributing [1] - 59:17 contribution [3] - 56:24, 59:17, 78:4 contributions [1] - 78:11 control [5] - 33:22, 40:18, 63:21, 65:17, 127:7 controlled [21] - 8:21, 40:10, 40:11, 41:19, 41:20, 42:9, 42:25, 44:8, 44:9, 50:23, 51:11, 51:20, 53:25, 57:3, 57:9, 58:22, 59:7, 61:17, 61:23, 145:20 controlling [1] - 150:6 conveniently [1] - 90:19 conversation [4] - 123:10, 123:13, 123:15, 136:11 conversations [3] - 6:20, 104:11, 137:7 converted [1] - 122:11 convicted [6] - 8:18, 11:12, 17:13, 23:9, 23:13, 24:3 conviction [4] - 10:12, 27:20, 46:6, 57:11 CONWAY [15] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:13, 14:22, 91:23, 95:14, 95:18, 101:16, 102:20, 106:3, 155:1, 155:15, 160:13, 160:20, 161:11 Conway [2] - 3:14, 160:12 cooperate [1] - 96:3 copies [3] - 94:25, 95:2, 95.5 copy [11] - 29:9, 29:20, 66:21, 66:24, 68:4, 91:6, 91:7, 93:25, 94:1, 159:8 corner [1] - 129:10 corners [1] - 24:4 Corp [1] - 145:6

6

Corp. [1] - 151:15 Corporation [9] - 56:22, 57:22, 58:17, 59:12, 66:6, 74:6, 145:14, 145:19, 159.19 **corporation** [2] - 119:22, 145.15 correct [225] - 10:23, 17:5, 17:9, 27:8, 27:14, 27:20, 31:18, 33:9, 34:22, 36:2, 36:13, 37:10, 37:14, 38:4, 38:15, 39:20, 41:4, 43:1, 48:13, 56:4, 57:11, 58:1, 58:2, 58:3, 58:4, 59:8, 60:2, 60:15, 62:1, 62:4, 62:9, 62:19, 62:24, 64:15, 65:9, 66:1, 66:13, 68:25, 69:5, 69:10, 70:23, 70:24, 72:19, 73:21, 76:7, 79:23, 79:24, 82:7, 83:20, 84:2, 85:23, 86:1, 86:7, 86:9, 86:13, 86:23, 87:5, 87:8, 87:14, 88:3, 88:7, 88:8, 88:13, 88:16, 88:20, 88:22, 89:3, 89:9, 89:15, 90:3, 90:18, 91:17, 92:14, 92:20, 93:3, 93:8, 93:13, 93:16, 93:19, 93:23, 94:23, 96:1, 96:4, 96:20, 98:4, 98:14, 98:15, 98:17, 98:21, 99:15, 99:18, 99:21, 99:25, 100:1, 100:3, 100:8, 100:17, 100:20, 100:21, 100:23, 103:7, 103:11, 103:12, 103:22, 105:13, 105:16, 106:19, 107:15, 107:21, 107:23, 108:9, 109:4, 109:8, 109:9, 110:6, 111:18, 111:19, 111:22, 112:6, 113:1, 113:2, 113:5, 113:6, 113:22, 114:2, 115:2, 116:13, 116:16, 116:19, 116:23, 117:2, 117:5, 117:8, 118:6, 118:21, 119:4, 119:9, 119:16, 120:14, 121:5, 121:17, 121:23, 123:16, 123:21, 124:4, 124:17, 124:23, 125:5, 125:24, 126:5, 126:14, 126:22, 127:2, 127:3, 127:5, 127:13, 127:14, 128:5, 128:17, 128:25, 129:1, 131:3, 131:6, 131:7, 133:21, 133:25, 134:2, 134:3, 134:5, 134:9, 134:15, 134:16, 135:2, 135:6, 135:11, 135:12, 135:15, 135:23, 136:16, 137:3, 137:4, 138:6, 138:25, 139:6, 139:11,

143:14, 143:23, 144:5, 144:10, 144:18, 144:20, 144:24, 147:7, 148:1, 148:3, 148:10, 148:18, 149:19, 149:20, 151:3, 151:7, 151:10, 151:12, 152:9, 152:25, 153:12, 153:14. 153:17. 154:9. 154:12, 154:13, 154:17, 155:20, 155:23, 155:24, 156:1, 156:6, 157:8, 157:13, 157:14, 157:17, 158:5, 158:6, 159:4, 159:11 correcting [1] - 62:9 corrections [1] - 157:8 correctly [4] - 56:2, 59:8, 93:9, 149:4 correspondence [2] -135:13, 135:14 corroborate [1] - 38:9 corroborated [1] - 38:18 counsel [14] - 3:5, 4:11, 11:17, 11:23, 30:1, 39:22, 63:9, 71:23, 72:15, 75:4, 76:19, 82:25, 83:17, 84:2 counsel's [1] - 38:24 counted [1] - 53:18 country [3] - 96:24, 103:17, 108:22 Country [1] - 2:3 couple [1] - 80:24 course [29] - 3:12, 6:23, 13:21, 33:8, 34:21, 36:22, 41:7, 43:15, 43:21, 44:5, 49:9, 62:23, 68:13, 69:7, 71:7, 82:17, 83:10, 86:6, 89:2, 89:8, 111:16, 114:12, 117:15, 118:7, 118:22, 119:15, 127:9, 128:23, 140:4 court [17] - 53:2, 88:18, 90:10. 90:11. 90:12. 96:25. 127:10, 127:20, 127:22, 128:24, 130:8, 130:21, 130:24, 131:1, 131:2, 131:5, 131:6 Court [54] - 2:7, 2:20, 4:10, 4:11, 4:17, 5:5, 5:21, 7:15, 7:17, 8:7, 8:8, 9:9, 9:16, 9:18, 10:16, 11:20, 15:4, 16:14, 16:18, 18:20, 27:22, 28:7, 29:9, 30:17, 32:17, 33:11, 35:10, 37:16, 39:1, 50:1, 50:15, 52:5, 61:22, 66:21, 67:11, 70:12, 83:12, 91:17, 92:18, 93:7, 97:21, 97:22, 97:24, 98:2, 98:4,

98:5, 98:14, 98:16, 98:18,

101:15, 112:3, 127:17,

147:3 COURT [104] - 1:1, 3:2, 3:10, 3:16, 3:23, 10:21, 12:3, 12:23, 15:9, 16:24, 17:5, 17:10, 17:20, 17:22, 18:12, 18:21, 20:6, 20:24, 21:16, 22:2, 22:18, 23:24, 24:9. 25:20. 26:4. 26:10. 26:24, 29:10, 29:14, 29:17, 29:19, 29:23, 31:3, 39:2, 39:6, 40:3, 46:15, 46:18, 46:20, 49:18, 49:21, 49:24, 50:3, 60:21, 61:5, 61:10, 64:11, 66:10, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 66:24, 67:2, 68:6, 70:7, 71:9, 80:1, 83:15, 84:5, 84:7, 85:4, 85:17, 88:25, 89:20, 91:24, 95:6, 95:9, 95:15, 95:19, 99:5, 101:17, 102:21, 105:2, 106:4, 112:10, 115:15, 115:20, 124:9, 125:9, 133:4, 133:7, 133:12, 133:15, 139:18, 142:8, 142:11, 143:8, 147:12, 147:18, 152:1, 153:25, 154:4, 154:24, 155:2, 155:16, 158:23, 160:11, 160:14, 160:19, 160:23, 161:1, 161:4, 161:8, 161:15 Court's [2] - 26:21, 98:3 Courthouse [1] - 1:6 cover [3] - 14:4, 121:20, 127:15 covered [2] - 43:21, 136:13 CR-13-607 [1] - 1:4 create [1] - 115:6 created [7] - 32:18, 82:15, 82:16, 83:1, 117:11, 152:12, 157:4 creating [1] - 115:9 credit [16] - 21:25, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 56:10, 65:9, 87:3, 93:2, 93:7, 93:12, 122:19, 122:22, 122:23, 123:5, 123:6, 157:15 crimes [1] - 5:10 Criminal [1] - 43:11 criminal [32] - 4:18, 4:24, 9:21, 12:4, 16:22, 18:19, 18:21, 18:22, 25:22, 57:10, 59:23, 59:25, 60:1, 60:5, 60:8, 60:13, 60:18, 99:6, 111:16, 114:13, 117:15, 118:7, 118:23, 127:1, 127:9, 128:24, 132:2, 132:3, 132:4, 132:7, 140:5, 148:8

criminally [1] - 61:8

CROSS [2] - 85:20, 162:9 Cross [1] - 72:10 cross [6] - 7:2, 7:4, 14:12, 72:13, 139:15, 160:12 cross-complaint [1] -139:15 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** [2] - 85:20, 162:9 cross-examine [2] - 7:2, crystal [2] - 76:21, 110:17 CSL [23] - 45:2, 45:5, 45:6, 45:24, 46:2, 46:8, 46:9, 47:3. 57:4. 61:18. 64:23. 79:3, 79:5, 119:15, 119:18, 119:21, 120:9, 120:14, 120:17, 120:21, 134:1, 154:18, 157:11 curative [1] - 5:19 current [2] - 45:23, 144:9 CV-09-0142 [1] - 96:18

D

daily [2] - 103:21, 138:1 **Dallas** [3] - 108:18, 108:19, 108:20 Darryl [2] - 60:20, 61:12 date [16] - 3:20, 10:24, 38:20, 43:3, 47:10, 65:23, 67:15, 106:24, 107:1, 107:2, 112:13, 127:13, 127:17, 136:2, 147:8, dated [11] - 48:15, 76:9, 97:22, 106:22, 109:7, 115:1, 143:12, 146:13, 146:15, 146:17, 154:7 dates [3] - 146:15, 146:17, 153:2 days [5] - 57:19, 65:21, 68:21, 80:23, 114:16 DCSL [1] - 132:16 DDM [3] - 31:10, 78:2, 148:24 dead [1] - 151:1 deal [12] - 32:22, 39:18, 56:8, 57:19, 71:2, 82:13, 107:4, 119:9, 130:5, 146:24, 149:7, 149:9 dealing [1] - 5:16 debt [4] - 30:11, 30:20, 118:17, 121:20 debtor's [4] - 87:8, 87:12, 127:8, 127:16 debts [1] - 33:2 deceive [1] - 69:9 **December** [16] - 35:13, 37:25, 40:19, 40:20, 48:22, 65:5, 65:6, 67:14, 69:18, 75:21, 81:5, 81:10, 93:25, 94:4, 128:3, 141:2 decided [6] - 52:25, 54:14, 72:1, 72:6, 73:17, 145:3 decision [3] - 8:8, 96:6, 96.7 declaration [2] - 90:25, 91:15 declare [1] - 7:16 **deducted** [1] - 156:25 deem [1] - 16:9 deemed [1] - 75:12 default [3] - 54:15, 70:22, defaulted [1] - 146:21 defendant [5] - 5:10, 6:10, 19:18, 58:10, 139:15 defendant's [1] - 10:1 **Defendants** [3] - 1:8, 1:20, 2:1 defendants [3] - 11:12, 20:21, 23:9 defense [4] - 3:21, 3:25, 8:5, 11:17 **definition** [1] - 123:22 defraud [2] - 20:20, 69:9 defrauded [2] - 17:25, 18:7 **Del** [29] - 4:19, 5:9, 6:4, 6:21, 7:1, 9:5, 16:21, 24:6, 42:5, 48:17, 50:10, 50:14, 54:19, 54:25, 55:21, 63:10, 64:18, 80:12, 80:16, 81:19, 82:3, 99:24, 126:21, 149:10, 150:6, 150:7, 150:11, 150:17, 160:8 **Delaware** [5] - 27:24, 37:18, 114:11, 131:17, 142:18 delayed [1] - 58:14 delaying [1] - 98:6 delivered [1] - 41:3 demand [1] - 9:19 demanded [3] - 6:7, 12:22, 120:23 demanding [1] - 4:12 demonstrating [1] - 16:20 depicted [4] - 52:6, 53:14, 55:17, 64:9 deposed [4] - 63:16, 99:14, 132:19, 132:20 deposit [1] - 78:14 deposited [3] - 53:19, 79:3, 79:20 deposition [27] - 42:3, 42:11, 85:25, 86:3, 87:24, 88:1, 94:16, 94:20, 94:22, 95:3, 96:23, 97:2, 97:3, 97:9, 97:13, 98:1, 98:6, 98:7, 98:9, 98:13, 128:3,

128:15, 130:6, 130:13, 130:14, 130:19, 140:21 depositions [3] - 88:2, 88:3, 131:3 deposits [3] - 28:13, 53:16, 74.18 depth [2] - 6:22, 9:24 derived [6] - 31:17, 31:23, 32:9, 49:1, 69:3, 76:9 Derrick [1] - 59:10 describe [9] - 27:22, 28:7, 30:17, 32:17, 53:15, 64:16, 67:11, 80:7, 117:4 described [2] - 116:18, 116:22 description [1] - 21:5 despite [1] - 53:9 destination [1] - 36:10 destroyed [6] - 110:24, 111:1, 111:2, 111:6, 111:9, 111:12 detail [1] - 19:2 detailed [1] - 21:5 detect [1] - 22:16 determination [7] - 4:3, 9:16, 10:7, 10:11, 10:15, 16:8, 102:17 determine [3] - 4:4, 15:4, 101:15 **determines** [1] - 10:13 **determining** [1] - 141:10 develop [4] - 16:15, 71:7, 73:10, 76:14 developer [1] - 81:8 developing [1] - 142:20 development [35] - 32:9, 33:17, 34:3, 34:5, 34:20, 34:25, 36:2, 36:7, 36:13, 41:19, 41:21, 42:24, 44:7, 47:19, 51:13, 52:24, 54:1, 56:3, 56:8, 61:24, 68:24, 69:4, 72:22, 72:23, 73:11, 73:21, 77:8, 77:11, 82:13, 116:25, 140:23, 141:7, 141:21, 141:24, 145:15 Development [19] - 56:22, 57:22, 58:17, 59:12, 65:2, 66:6, 74:6, 142:17, 142:24, 143:14, 145:4, 145:5, 145:14, 145:18, 148:21, 151:14, 159:19, 160:4, 160.5 developments [1] - 45:21 **DeVries** [4] - 56:19, 56:20, 64:24, 94:16 Diamante [75] - 4:19, 5:9, 6:4, 6:21, 7:1, 9:5, 16:21, 24:6, 28:1, 32:14, 32:18,

32:21, 32:25, 33:17, 34:25,

40:22, 41:2, 41:8, 41:17,

42:5, 45:8, 47:6, 47:8, 48:6, 48:11, 48:13, 50:9, 50:14, 54:19, 54:25, 56:12, 56:21, 62:3, 62:11, 62:15, 62:19, 62:22, 63:10, 63:14, 64:18, 64:19, 64:20, 64:22, 75:4, 76:14, 77:8, 80:11, 80:16. 81:19. 82:3. 99:23. 109:14, 110:14, 112:1, 112:25, 113:4, 119:5, 119:25, 126:21, 133:19, 145:22, 146:18, 149:10, 150:6, 150:7, 150:10, 150:17, 156:2, 156:5, 158:2, 160:8 **DIANE** [1] - 1:16 Diane [1] - 3:7 differ [1] - 7:15 difference [1] - 60:1 different [20] - 10:17, 22:2, 22:3, 22:6, 33:12, 77:5, 85:22, 87:21, 107:14, 108:6, 115:21, 116:1, 120:16, 125:25, 131:1, 131:2, 131:5, 149:3 differently [1] - 127:4 **DIRECT** [2] - 27:1, 162:6 direct [23] - 5:4, 20:6, 20:9, 22:25, 23:4, 23:22, 50:21, 51:4, 56:20, 57:1, 59:6, 62:12, 62:13, 62:16, 65:11, 74:18, 78:14, 85:8, 94:15, 95:23, 103:9, 108:8, 118:4 directed [3] - 20:8, 50:23, 78:19 direction [2] - 57:3, 63:22 directly [15] - 21:17, 21:20, 22:10, 31:9, 50:22, 51:10, 53:19, 55:20, 61:16, 61:21, 65:13, 67:19, 74:10, 142:14 disagree [1] - 67:13 disbursement [1] - 125:19 disclosed [2] - 80:19, 121:13 disclosures [1] - 77:5 **discomfort** [1] - 70:20 discovered [1] - 145:16 **Discovery** [1] - 36:4 discovery [8] - 90:13, 90:15, 96:4, 96:20, 97:21, 98:17, 120:24, 121:12 discrepancy [1] - 79:2 discuss [5] - 18:25, 19:18, 136:7, 137:21, 138:8 discussed [8] - 11:11, 34:1, 56:25, 104:16, 134:17, 134:20, 135:9, 136:13

discussing [2] - 14:16,

129:2 discussion [3] - 13:10, 25:11, 26:6 disfavor [2] - 58:8, 58:9 dismiss [5] - 89:8, 89:13, 90:2, 93:5, 99:3 dismissed [9] - 96:3, 96:10, 96:17, 96:19, 97:11, 97:18, 97:19, 98:14, 102:3 dispute [5] - 5:19, 58:1, 63:1, 67:24, 68:23 distinctly [1] - 19:7 distributed [1] - 157:22 District [5] - 43:11, 73:9, 96:18. 102:10. 134:22 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:11 diversion [4] - 22:7, 22:24, 24:17, 24:18 diversity [1] - 89:14 diverted [8] - 21:25, 22:5, 22:21, 23:19, 24:13, 25:6, 58:18, 61:6 divorce [1] - 144:22 divorced [1] - 144:21 doctor [1] - 52:11 document [59] - 30:13, 30:17, 38:12, 38:18, 39:4, 39:18, 39:24, 42:15, 43:23, 44:4, 46:11, 46:17, 67:12, 67:13, 67:24, 68:9, 68:10, 71:13, 71:16, 73:25, 74:16, 77:22, 80:4, 80:8, 85:12, 92:15, 93:11, 93:14, 93:24, 94:22, 101:19, 102:14, 104:22, 106:25, 112:3, 113:9, 121:11, 122:22, 124:5, 124:13, 124:14, 124:21, 125:2, 125:5, 126:16, 143:3, 143:25, 144:2, 144:4, 144:5, 144:6, 144:7, 144:9, 144:11, 148:12, 148:20, 159:6, 159:15 documentation [2] -126:12, 139:10 documented [2] - 139:7, 147:1 documents [67] - 6:21, 7:4, 16:19, 29:1, 29:4, 29:6, 29:9, 29:21, 30:25, 38:7, 39:21, 40:8, 40:13, 44:15, 64:1, 64:4, 74:2, 74:14, 76:8, 78:25, 83:12, 92:3, 100:8, 100:11, 100:13, 100:16, 100:19, 100:22, 101:8, 101:14, 104:8, 104:10, 104:11, 110:18, 111:4, 111:8, 111:17, 112:1, 112:6, 112:22,

9

113:7, 119:6, 119:7, 120:9, 120:13, 120:15, 120:16, 121:2, 121:5, 121:6, 121:12, 121:15, 126:7, 127:8, 128:16, 135:23, 135:25, 140:4, 140:6, 140:10, 140:11, 142:24, 145:11. 146:13. 147:3. 147:15, 158:15 Dogs [1] - 144:10 dollar [3] - 62:6, 65:23, 72:3 dollars [3] - 33:18, 49:11, 110:2 donate [2] - 72:11, 73:18 done [6] - 12:13, 25:21, 32:23, 49:2, 81:2, 99:10 doubt [3] - 67:5, 101:7, 101:10 down [2] - 32:3, 57:24 dozen [1] - 83:3 draft [1] - 93:17 drafted [2] - 83:1, 93:16 drained [1] - 122:23 dropped [1] - 123:8 due [2] - 35:18, 93:22 duly [1] - 26:15 during [44] - 5:3, 6:23, 18:3, 18:4, 20:3, 21:8, 29:11, 33:8, 34:1, 34:21, 36:22. 38:2. 41:7. 42:10. 43:15, 43:21, 44:5, 62:23, 68:18, 69:7, 71:7, 72:6, 82:17, 86:6, 86:8, 89:2, 92:4, 99:20, 107:7, 108:21, 108:23, 108:25, 111:16, 114:12, 114:14, 117:14, 118:7, 118:22, 126:25, 127:9, 128:23, 130:14, 140:4, 145:12 duty [2] - 86:13, 86:21

Ε

e-mail [44] - 32:24, 67:6, 67:8, 70:16, 70:22, 72:14, 74:15, 75:2, 75:3, 75:10, 75:14, 76:12, 76:25, 77:3, 79:16, 80:9, 81:18, 81:20, 92:9, 92:12, 92:13, 112:13, 112:16, 120:22, 120:24, 135:13, 135:16, 136:2, 136:4, 148:13, 153:9, 153:11, 153:15, 153:17, 153:24, 154:7, 154:11, 154:14, 154:19, 154:21, 155:19, 155:20 e-mailed [1] - 136:2 e-mails [19] - 19:3, 52:25,

156:24

68:13, 80:10, 80:24, 92:3, 92:8, 105:20, 106:12, 110:18, 111:7, 119:10, 136:5, 152:17, 152:25, 153:1, 153:4, 153:25, 154:1 early [5] - 36:7, 53:5, 64:24, 138:11, 141:3 easier [1] - 22:15 East [1] - 36:16 **EASTERN** [1] - 1:1 effect [3] - 41:14, 76:25, 77:3 effective [1] - 25:15 effectively [7] - 70:17, 72:7, 79:20, 80:20, 81:15, 81:23, 126:23 effectuated [1] - 121:19 efforts [1] - 70:3 eight [3] - 11:20, 49:11, 73:15 eight-and-a-half [1] - 49:11 either [9] - 23:21, 36:25, 52:12, 60:7, 61:24, 75:7, 117:7, 141:3, 159:16 elaborating [1] - 143:8 elsewhere [1] - 23:19 elucidate [1] - 72:20 embezzled [1] - 82:1 employees [1] - 33:5 encompassed [1] - 20:12 encouraging [1] - 119:25 end [3] - 5:13, 71:2, 138:11 endeavored [1] - 10:19 ended [4] - 59:8, 149:14, 149.18 ending [2] - 77:24, 78:6 enforce [1] - 88:18 enforceable [1] - 30:25 engage [1] - 60:12 engaged [4] - 51:1, 69:23, 72:9, 83:14 English [2] - 104:4, 104:5 enjoy [1] - 69:12 entered [1] - 88:19 entire [8] - 5:13, 17:16, 67:16, 85:15, 121:16, 156:6, 156:16, 157:15 entirely [1] - 42:22 entirety [1] - 40:4 entities [25] - 4:25, 17:19, 24:15, 24:16, 32:23, 40:11, 40:18, 41:19, 41:20, 42:9, 42:25, 51:20, 53:25, 57:3, 61:23, 65:7, 115:9, 123:21, 138:19, 142:14, 142:16, 145:18, 145:20 entitled [7] - 9:19, 20:15, 86:1, 87:25, 129:10, 148:2, entity [19] - 35:23, 47:15, 61:17, 71:24, 72:9, 72:17, 114:11, 115:4, 115:6, 118:13, 120:21, 129:17, 142:18, 146:6, 149:1, 149:2. 150:6. 158:8 entries [1] - 39:15 equity [23] - 27:25, 28:1, 30:11, 30:20, 32:21, 33:19, 37:20, 42:22, 79:18, 110:10, 111:21, 113:4, 113:8, 115:12, 116:1, 116:7, 117:12, 118:2, 119:14, 121:20, 145:22, 150:6, 157:2 erroneous [1] - 96:9 escrow [2] - 53:20, 57:20 ESQ [5] - 1:16, 1:16, 1:22, 2:4, 2:6 essentially [1] - 48:13 establish [1] - 9:21 established [7] - 6:14, 37:19, 78:9, 114:10, 117:18, 123:5, 142:19 estate [6] - 72:22, 72:23, 73:7, 73:11, 73:16, 142:20 Estates [1] - 117:11 et [1] - 72:25 Ethan [2] - 52:21, 57:1 Eufora [12] - 8:15, 8:18, 23:18, 31:17, 31:18, 45:11, 47:21, 51:17, 56:3, 61:24, 124:22 European [1] - 108:24 event [1] - 15:4 events [2] - 6:19, 115:21 everywhere [2] - 72:23, 81:21 evidence [63] - 11:1, 13:1, 18:9, 20:5, 20:19, 20:23, 20:25, 21:11, 21:12, 22:21, 23:10, 24:21, 24:23, 24:24, 29:2, 38:8, 38:18, 39:21, 40:5, 40:9, 41:12, 41:24, 46:21, 60:9, 64:2, 64:6, 67:5, 68:8, 70:11, 71:15, 74:25, 77:20, 79:1, 85:15, 89:12, 91:21, 91:25, 92:4, 95:1, 95:21, 101:12, 102:22, 106:1, 106:5, 119:10, 140:4, 143:18, 143:21, 147:24, 148:7, 153:4, 154:23, 155:3, 155:13, 155:17, 162:16, 162:17, 162:18, 162:19, 162:20, 162:21, 162:22, 162:23 ex [3] - 36:21, 97:4, 97:10 ex-wife [1] - 36:21 exact [1] - 81:20

exactly [2] - 87:11, 118:21 exam [4] - 87:8, 87:12, 127:8, 127:16 examination [1] - 95:24 **EXAMINATION** [4] - 27:1, 85:20, 162:6, 162:9 examine [3] - 7:2, 7:4 examined [1] - 26:15 example [4] - 8:15, 15:12, 18:6, 144:9 exception [3] - 56:5, 56:6, 56:7 excerpt [3] - 42:2, 43:16, 95:3 **excuse** [11] - 30:21, 33:4, 46:12, 52:8, 59:22, 64:20, 65:7, 78:8, 79:18, 82:19, 94:8 execute [1] - 121:22 executed [4] - 82:10, 84:1, 84:3, 121:18 **execution** [2] - 20:2, 23:8 executions [2] - 20:20, 23:13 Exhibit [34] - 29:3, 30:6, 30:16, 40:4, 40:5, 40:25, 41:24, 42:14, 43:7, 43:22, 46:11, 46:12, 46:21, 50:2, 50:7, 91:25, 95:2, 102:22, 106:5, 106:22, 128:19, 129:9. 129:10. 130:16. 143:19. 143:22. 147:25. 162:16. 162:17. 162:18. 162:20, 162:21 exhibit [23] - 38:15, 39:14, 40:2, 43:15, 43:16, 43:17, 49:14, 85:15, 91:4, 91:8, 91:10, 91:20, 95:4, 101:1, 101:3, 101:11, 102:5, 102:12, 104:25, 105:25, 106:22, 129:9, 130:15 Exhibits [6] - 95:20, 155:3, 155:17, 162:19, 162:22, 162:23 exhibits [6] - 9:23, 29:15, 40:16, 152:17, 152:20, 155.8 exist [1] - 146:7 existed [3] - 28:20, 110:21, 110:22 exists [1] - 67:23 **Expansion** [1] - 81:2 expected [2] - 71:2, 82:21 expedited [2] - 90:13, 90:15 **expense** [1] - 125:20 expenses [1] - 125:14 explain [11] - 14:17, 26:6, 33:11, 35:10, 52:5, 64:8, 70:12, 109:20, 112:3,

120:5, 120:12
explained [1] - 120:1
explanation [1] - 97:25
explore [1] - 87:13
express [1] - 15:23
expression [1] - 10:10
extended [1] - 82:19
extensively [1] - 108:23
extent [7] - 8:22, 10:5,
17:23, 18:16, 22:4, 24:20,
134:24
extremely [2] - 15:22,
111:20

F

F-1 [1] - 41:25 F-2 [1] - 42:14 F-24 [4] - 46:12, 46:21, 46:24, 162:17 **F-3** [1] - 43:7 F-4 [1] - 43:23 F-5 [1] - 40:25 F-6 [1] - 40:25 face [2] - 138:8 face-to-face [1] - 138:8 facilitate [2] - 22:14, 34:14 fact [34] - 7:12, 11:1, 12:6, 28:18, 36:24, 38:9, 38:12, 43:3, 44:17, 53:9, 60:16, 65:19, 74:21, 90:8, 93:5, 96:22, 98:1, 98:12, 100:12, 110:7, 113:11, 116:12, 120:7, 120:12, 122:7, 124:16, 127:11, 128:3, 128:8, 128:12, 134:21, 136:4, 151:3, 152:12 factually [1] - 15:18 failed [3] - 71:1, 98:3, 134.7 fair [2] - 10:3, 121:9 Falcon [1] - 36:15 familiar [8] - 18:20, 36:17, 36:19. 48:8. 49:14. 102:11. 119:15, 129:14 family's [1] - 59:1 far [3] - 4:1, 62:20, 149:15 father [1] - 151:2 Fatico [16] - 4:12, 6:7, 6:15, 7:11, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 10:6, 12:21, 16:5, 16:13, 25:17, 26:7, 71:8, 83:9, 83:10 favor [2] - 58:8, 87:5 fax [1] - 74:15 faxed [1] - 128:16 FBI [7] - 42:19, 43:10, 43:20, 52:9, 52:13, 106:17, 110:23

February [35] - 11:16, 12:19, 27:25, 32:19, 37:19, 45:7, 51:3, 59:11, 64:24, 65:4, 74:8, 75:3, 76:11, 79:16, 80:20, 81:23, 109:3, 109:5. 113:2. 113:17. 113:19. 113:20. 114:10. 115:7. 115:11. 115:24. 116:4, 117:20, 120:23, 153:18, 154:7, 156:19, 159:3, 159:13, 159:21 federal [5] - 90:11, 97:15, 97:17, 99:24, 126:20 Federal [3] - 1:15, 2:20, 91:17 fell [1] - 141:8 felt [1] - 98:23 Fernando [1] - 31:10 few [4] - 52:11, 55:18, 65:17, 82:4 fiduciary [1] - 86:12 file [4] - 96:24, 98:11, 101:22, 104:12 filed [24] - 4:7, 7:23, 9:16, 27:11, 37:6, 89:2, 89:4, 89:5, 89:7, 90:3, 92:18, 93:6, 96:9, 97:4, 101:24, 102:9, 102:16, 104:16, 105:23, 123:7, 123:8, 123:25, 126:23, 132:6 filing [2] - 105:15, 122:24 **filings** [1] - 116:6 final [6] - 10:15, 25:13, 54:12, 54:15, 62:18, 75:13 finally [2] - 73:16, 146:11 financed [1] - 33:19 finances [1] - 59:2 financial [20] - 4:25, 6:2, 28:8, 40:16, 40:23, 64:9, 78:25, 99:1, 139:3, 142:23, 143:12, 147:25, 148:2, 148:3, 148:5, 149:21, 156:11, 157:9, 159:2 financing [1] - 81:22 fine [6] - 25:20, 26:24, 46:15, 157:12, 161:10, 161:12 finish [2] - 115:18, 133:7 firm [4] - 73:14, 103:5, 125:19, 125:23 first [24] - 3:23, 11:15, 11:25, 12:19, 12:20, 26:14, 32:24, 33:15, 50:25, 52:7, 56:19, 64:8, 73:3, 76:3, 77:24, 82:1, 90:2, 93:6, 96:23, 127:16, 130:19, 136:17, 145:11, 154:7 five [5] - 33:23, 44:1, 65:20, 82:17, 108:6 flip[1] - 130:19

flow [3] - 53:24, 56:1, 64:14 flowing [4] - 41:9, 44:6, 61:23. 78:15 fluent [1] - 104:5 focus [8] - 8:10, 12:5, 12:13, 14:14, 27:16, 105:2, 139:19, 154:4 Foley [1] - 102:25 **follow** [4] - 13:21, 82:23, 137:7, 153:20 follow-up [3] - 82:23, 137:7, 153:20 followed [1] - 13:22 **following** [9] - 5:17, 31:14, 35:21, 37:5, 37:13, 72:14, 101:9, 116:21, 122:24 follows [5] - 5:14, 5:25, 7:18, 9:11, 26:16 foreigners [2] - 119:9, 119:13 FORF-1 [5] - 4:23, 49:14, 50:2, 50:7, 70:16 FORF-44 [1] - 85:11 FORF-52 [2] - 66:21, 67:4 FORF-54 [2] - 68:3, 68:9 FORF-55 [1] - 70:9 FORF-56 [1] - 71:12 FORF-57 [1] - 73:23 **FORF-58** [1] - 77:19 FORF-60 [1] - 74:25 FORF-61 [2] - 75:22, 76:1 FORF-62 [1] - 76:6 FORF-67 [1] - 80:5 FORF-77 [1] - 92:23 forfeit [14] - 8:1, 11:2, 11:7, 13:3, 14:2, 14:5, 15:14, 23:7, 27:7, 27:19, 32:13, 35:9, 37:9, 45:3 forfeitable [5] - 9:1, 9:4, 18:2, 24:16, 24:22 forfeited [4] - 15:1, 15:3, 18:7, 71:20 Forfeiture [10] - 38:16, 40:4, 40:5, 95:20, 102:22, 106:5, 162:16, 162:19, 162:20, 162:21 forfeiture [52] - 3:19, 4:1, 4:6, 4:22, 4:23, 5:4, 6:14, 6:18, 6:23, 7:2, 7:10, 7:20, 8:9, 8:13, 9:17, 10:7, 10:14, 11:14, 11:23, 12:25, 13:8, 13:22, 13:25, 14:6, 14:14, 14:15, 14:20, 15:11, 16:5, 16:15, 17:1, 17:11, 18:4, 18:10, 18:14, 20:4, 20:15. 20:24. 21:2. 22:22. 22:24, 23:3, 24:11, 25:18, 25:21, 29:21, 38:6, 49:15, 68:11, 70:14, 71:18, 102:5

Forfeiture-80 [1] - 95:2 forged [1] - 122:21 forgery [5] - 90:18, 90:22, 90:24, 94:22, 95:5 form [4] - 21:13, 23:8, 112:10, 114:22 formed [2] - 114:20, 140:15 former [2] - 73:4, 132:25 forth [6] - 28:5, 40:16, 48:3, 50:16, 56:17, 58:23 forward [1] - 6:8 forwarded [3] - 78:10, 111:8. 126:7 foundation [2] - 72:11, 73:19 four [10] - 4:24, 4:25, 6:19, 6:25, 24:4, 55:24, 58:23, 60:16, 67:25, 114:16 fourth [1] - 83:18 Frailes [18] - 4:19, 5:9, 6:4, 6:21, 7:1, 9:4, 10:24, 16:21, 19:4, 24:6, 54:21, 55:3, 55:13, 55:14, 55:19, 56:10, 67:10, 67:20 frame [2] - 67:21, 148:16 frankly [3] - 10:9, 39:24, 52:20 fraud [24] - 8:15, 8:18, 11:1, 12:12, 13:6, 13:12, 15:13, 17:7, 17:14, 18:17, 19:1, 19:23, 20:13, 20:18, 20:25, 22:24, 22:25, 24:21, 24:22, 25:6, 25:10, 60:7, 123:9 frauds [7] - 11:8, 13:2, 16:3, 23:8, 23:12, 23:13, 23:15 fraudulent [18] - 5:6, 13:18, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 16:22, 19:5, 20:2, 21:6, 21:22, 23:5, 24:3, 24:5, 28:4, 58:23, 67:25, 69:9, 83:13 friend [1] - 145:15 friends [1] - 52:11 front [8] - 82:24, 86:19, 87:23, 123:24, 125:22, 129:12, 134:22, 152:24 full [6] - 10:3, 13:25, 43:15, 43:17, 43:19, 81:11 **fully** [1] - 84:3 Fund [11] - 23:18, 31:24, 45:14, 47:25, 51:21, 54:2, 56:3, 61:25, 125:5, 125:13, 125:15 fund [4] - 21:25, 34:12, 38:14, 125:1 funded [1] - 37:21 funding [2] - 79:17, 80:11 funds [52] - 15:12, 22:13, 31:11, 31:13, 31:15, 31:16,

160:17

half [11] - 33:18, 49:11,

120:3, 132:13, 156:6,

157:16, 160:22

67:16, 81:12, 83:3, 118:8,

1 (

31:21, 31:22, 32:3, 37:1, 41:1, 48:23, 48:25, 49:12, 51:10, 51:12, 51:16, 51:19, 51:23, 52:8, 52:13, 52:15, 53:22, 55:23, 55:25, 56:22, 57:23, 58:13, 58:19, 61:2, 61:7, 66:7, 68:14, 69:1, 73:18, 78:13, 78:18, 78:20, 81:7, 81:8, 99:1, 118:18, 122:20, 123:1, 123:6, 146:16, 150:15, 150:16, 150:21, 157:18 funneled [1] - 15:15

G

galiotto [1] - 98:23 Galiotto [2] - 42:20, 43:11 game [1] - 158:20 Garcia's [3] - 31:10, 78:1, 78:3 Gaudet [2] - 55:22, 67:9 Gaudet's [1] - 67:19 general [2] - 75:4, 76:19 German [1] - 104:4 gift [2] - 71:23, 73:8 given [4] - 42:2, 63:25, 81:14, 107:1 glad [2] - 100:5, 159:18 Glen [4] - 57:5, 99:14, 99:17, 124:19 global [1] - 125:1 Global [11] - 23:18, 31:23, 45:14, 47:24, 51:21, 54:1, 56:3, 61:25, 125:4, 125:13, 125.15 golf [1] - 62:24 government [48] - 3:20. 4:21. 6:7. 6:13. 6:24. 7:13. 7:21, 9:20, 10:25, 12:6, 12:11, 12:25, 13:16, 13:19, 13:25, 14:9, 14:24, 15:8, 16:14, 19:9, 20:3, 21:10, 21:15, 22:5, 23:3, 23:5, 23:7, 24:1, 24:12, 26:6, 27:7, 27:12, 27:18, 29:22, 32:13, 35:8, 37:5, 39:11, 41:3, 41:6, 45:3, 46:16, 64:2, 64:6, 70:15, 77:2, 92:4, 161:1 Government [15] - 1:14, 38:16, 49:15, 91:25, 95:20, 102:22, 106:5, 155:3, 155:17, 162:18, 162:19, 162:20, 162:21, 162:22, 162:23 **Government's** [7] - 50:2, 50:6, 80:6, 95:2, 143:19,

143:22, 147:25

government's [9] - 5:4, 7:3, 20:14, 20:19, 22:12, 22:21, 23:23, 38:15, 71:19 grand [2] - 98:24, 134:22 great [1] - 73:12 greater [1] - 141:7 Greg [4] - 56:19, 94:16, 148:15, 149:25 grew [1] - 137:13 Griffin [2] - 127:21, 128:1 ground [1] - 35:19 group [8] - 63:8, 97:7. 140:15, 140:16, 140:24, 141:7, 141:21, 141:24 guarantee [1] - 118:11 guarantees [1] - 117:24 guess [2] - 5:24, 16:7 guessing [1] - 158:20 quidance [1] - 14:21 Guide [1] - 144:10 GuideDog [15] - 113:1, 113:5, 113:13, 113:15, 113:18, 113:21, 114:2, 115:12, 115:23, 146:5, 152:9, 152:10, 152:12, 152:14, 153:16 guideline [3] - 4:15, 6:12, 10.13 guilty [3] - 20:21, 57:7, 57:10 guys [1] - 81:17

Н

HALEY [78] - 1:20, 1:22, 3:11, 3:22, 3:24, 15:20, 23:25, 25:12, 26:1, 26:9, 26:11, 26:21, 27:2, 29:8, 29:13, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:24, 30:3, 30:5, 39:4, 39:13, 40:6, 40:7, 46:10, 46:16, 46:22, 49:17, 49:19, 50:1, 50:4, 50:5, 64:10, 64:12, 66:20, 66:23, 67:1, 67:3, 68:4, 68:7, 71:6, 71:10, 71:11, 79:11, 79:25, 80:3, 83:6, 83:20, 84:6, 85:7, 91:7, 91:22, 95:7, 95:12, 95:17, 101:13, 102:13, 106:2, 112:7, 112:9, 115:13, 115:18, 121:6, 121:9, 124:10, 153:22, 154:3, 154:25, 155:14, 156:11, 158:22, 160:18, 160:25, 161:5, 161:10, 161:13, 162:7 Haley [11] - 3:11, 3:23, 5:18, 5:23, 12:9, 13:21, 16:14, 22:23, 24:9, 99:10,

hand [3] - 66:2, 66:4, 129:9 handed [2] - 93:25, 159:6 handicapped [1] - 52:12 handled [1] - 12:4 **handwritten** [1] - 46:13 handwrote [1] - 129:9 happy [1] - 14:11 Harbor [1] - 36:4 Harbor/Ledbetter [7] -32:4, 45:17, 48:3, 51:24, 54:2, 56:4, 61:25 hard [2] - 22:16, 89:17 Harvey [2] - 42:20, 145:13 Hawaii [65] - 17:18, 20:8, 22:11, 23:18, 33:10, 34:1, 34:5, 34:12, 34:15, 34:20, 35:9, 35:10, 35:22, 36:2, 36:7, 36:11, 36:13, 38:1, 40:18, 41:9, 41:19, 42:24, 44:7, 45:21, 51:13, 54:1, 54:16, 56:3, 56:8, 61:24, 68:24, 69:1, 69:4, 71:20, 71:21, 72:22, 73:8, 81:2, 82:13, 94:6, 116:2, 116:10, 116:15, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 117:4, 117:6, 117:23, 128:8, 129:25, 130:4, 130:11, 130:12, 134:8, 134:15, 134:16, 138:19, 139:22, 140:14, 140:15, 140:23, 141:7, 141:20, 141:23 Hawaiian [13] - 24:13, 32:9, 42:8, 47:18, 65:4, 65:6, 71:24, 73:21, 76:22, 81:10, 129:2, 130:2 Hawaiians [1] - 72:24 head [1] - 14:24 hear [4] - 13:4, 13:7, 13:13, 41:6 heard [4] - 24:24, 80:25, 121:18, 135:7 hearing [58] - 3:19, 4:1, 4:13, 4:22, 4:23, 5:4, 6:7, 6:15, 6:18, 6:23, 7:2, 7:10, 7:11, 7:20, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 10:6, 10:7, 11:16, 12:1, 12:10, 12:21, 13:19, 14:12, 14:15, 15:18, 16:5, 16:13, 16:16, 18:4, 18:10, 20:4, 20:25, 21:3, 25:3, 25:21, 26:7, 29:12, 29:13, 29:22, 38:6, 38:11, 44:5, 49:15, 68:11, 70:14, 71:8,

71:18, 82:19, 83:9, 83:10,

83:11, 89:18, 101:18 hearsay [2] - 25:1, 83:11 held [4] - 28:17, 44:16, 51:14, 144:23 help [1] - 148:17 high [1] - 103:23 Highway [1] - 1:21 himself [2] - 6:2, 117:10 hint [1] - 24:4 hire [1] - 63:8 hired [2] - 103:5, 103:6 historic [1] - 72:25 Historical [1] - 35:24 hit [1] - 14:23 hockey [18] - 5:1, 6:3, 17:25, 18:7, 19:1, 19:19, 22:10, 23:1, 45:8, 63:2, 68:25, 69:2, 69:9, 94:17, 134:10, 134:12, 134:14, 134:17 hold [4] - 37:20, 45:7, 113:23, 146:5 holding [1] - 28:1 holdings [1] - 37:14 Holdings [2] - 37:17, 37:18 home [3] - 36:25, 43:12, 49:13 homes [1] - 36:8 hometown [1] - 107:25 hometowns [2] - 107:23, 107:24 Honor [101] - 3:9, 3:12, 3:13, 3:22, 3:25, 4:6, 4:8, 4:21, 5:3, 5:11, 5:13, 5:18, 6:6, 10:2, 10:7, 10:11, 10:13, 11:10, 11:16, 11:25, 12:15, 14:22, 14:23, 14:24, 15:20, 15:21, 15:22, 17:2, 17:3, 17:12, 17:21, 18:11, 18:19, 19:13, 19:15, 19:16, 20:10, 20:17, 20:22, 21:10, 21:24, 22:16, 23:6, 23:20, 25:12, 26:21, 29:8, 38:23, 38:24, 39:13, 46:10, 46:19, 50:1, 56:7, 60:24, 66:16, 66:20, 71:6, 72:23, 83:6, 83:16, 85:7, 85:18, 88:23, 89:17, 91:5, 91:20, 91:23, 92:22, 94:25, 95:10, 95:12, 95:14, 95:18, 99:3, 99:12, 100:25, 101:11, 101:16, 102:12, 102:13, 102:17, 102:20, 104:24, 105:25, 125:7, 133:16, 139:16, 139:20, 143:6, 143:18, 147:10, 151:24, 152:16, 154:22, 155:12, 160:13, 160:18, 161:3, 161:5, 161:12 Honor's [2] - 4:3, 16:8

1 -

HONORABLE [1] - 1:11 honored [1] - 28:25 hope [3] - 10:20, 31:4, 61:11 hopeful [1] - 26:9 hopefully [1] - 81:22 horizontal [1] - 33:21 horse [1] - 7:8 hour [3] - 133:6, 160:22, 161:8 hours [1] - 78:18 house [4] - 49:2, 49:5, 145:1, 145:2 housekeeping [1] - 25:13 hundreds [2] - 110:1

ı

idea [6] - 73:12, 80:25, 103:16, 103:18, 108:4, 152:9 identifiable [1] - 16:3 identification [1] - 27:6 identified [21] - 7:21, 7:25, 8:1, 9:3, 9:6, 9:14, 27:18, 28:4, 32:12, 35:8, 37:13, 45:2, 47:6, 47:11, 50:9, 52:2, 54:21, 59:9, 62:3, 62:11, 62:23 identify [4] - 43:8, 55:16, 128:19, 136:1 identifying [1] - 27:12 ignored [1] - 15:16 **immediately** [3] - 53:8, 125:17, 131:16 important [3] - 70:17, 111:20, 126:16 **importation** [1] - 36:9 improvements [2] - 8:24, 37:1 inaccuracies [1] - 62:6 incarcerated [1] - 135:22 inches [2] - 6:22, 9:24 incidentally [3] - 58:11, 62:10, 78:23 include [3] - 141:11, 141:20, 141:25 included [6] - 4:15, 12:8, 27:6, 134:10, 134:12, 134:14 includes [1] - 26:5 incomplete [1] - 75:6 incorporated [1] - 109:3 incorrect [14] - 55:19, 96:5, 96:21, 97:13, 112:8, 112:21, 114:3, 121:24, 126:4, 134:19, 135:16, 137:10, 144:19, 152:15 increases [1] - 159:3

indeed [5] - 5:7, 5:11, 6:13, 8:25, 29:20 independent [8] - 15:13, 17:6, 17:7, 17:24, 24:22, 25:6. 25:10 independently [1] - 24:22 indicate [3] - 12:16, 127:17, 127:24 indicated [3] - 104:22, 115:25, 138:23 indicates [2] - 114:24, 159:10 indication [2] - 105:10, 147:14 indicted [1] - 63:7 indictment [23] - 5:7, 5:20, 7:22, 8:12, 9:3, 9:6, 9:7, 9:15, 15:6, 17:17, 17:19, 19:9, 23:16, 24:4, 27:5, 27:13, 28:5, 43:5, 48:3, 51:25, 55:24, 58:24, 68:1 individual [7] - 51:8, 52:22, 55:22, 60:12, 65:12, 66:5, 138:2 individually [1] - 88:10 individuals [11] - 28:4, 28:15, 28:23, 47:15, 50:22, 52:21, 55:20, 82:11, 116:1, 146:15, 146:22 information [8] - 67:23, 76:8, 76:10, 82:24, 83:2, 83:23, 148:16, 157:11 informed [4] - 32:19, 114:5, 117:21, 138:4 infrastructure [1] - 33:21 inheritance [2] - 150:25, 151.5 inherited [1] - 151:1 initial [5] - 17:14, 22:1, 22:7, 93:1, 137:2 initiate [1] - 116:6 input [2] - 144:5, 144:6 inquiry [1] - 4:5 instead [2] - 23:19, 119:3 instructed [6] - 32:20, 58:16, 65:14, 74:6, 114:9, 116:5 instructing [2] - 75:10, 76.17 instruction [1] - 5:19 intend [2] - 95:10, 160:19 intended [3] - 136:15, 150:1, 150:2 intends [3] - 6:13, 13:17, 20:3 intent [1] - 115:11 intention [8] - 25:24, 26:2, 113:12, 113:14, 113:18, 113:20, 121:21, 145:21

interaction [1] - 35:4

interest [50] - 28:9, 31:7, 37:20, 40:22, 41:8, 41:17, 47:16, 47:17, 62:14, 78:12, 79:7, 79:15, 79:19, 87:21, 93:19, 93:20, 103:10, 111:21, 112:15, 112:17, 112:20, 112:25, 113:15, 113:24, 115:4, 115:22, 115:23, 119:4, 119:11, 119:20, 119:22, 120:2, 120:4, 120:19, 143:4, 146:5, 146:22, 150:10, 151:21, 152:4, 154:20, 156:5, 156:9, 156:15, 157:20, 157:24, 158:11, 158:16, 158:18, 158:24 interestingly [1] - 81:4internet [1] - 108:2 interplay [1] - 35:4 interpret [1] - 50:15 interpretation [1] - 115:3 interrupted [1] - 55:6 intertwined [1] - 25:19 interview [3] - 42:18, 43:3, 43:10 interviewed [1] - 52:9 introduce [5] - 12:12, 20:19, 21:11, 83:11, 153:4 introduced [13] - 7:5, 9:25, 21:13, 38:8, 39:21, 40:9, 43:16, 49:15, 64:1, 64:5, 69:22, 79:1, 106:21 invest [12] - 18:13, 21:18, 28:16, 51:1, 54:25, 55:3, 86:17, 106:19, 118:5, 119:18, 119:25, 150:14 invested [12] - 18:13, 22:8, 22:10, 53:4, 59:11, 67:17, 69:4, 123:20, 124:22, 145:15, 150:12 investigating [1] - 126:20 investigation [2] - 98:24, 99:23 Investigator [1] - 43:11 investing [3] - 123:19, 143:2, 150:20 investment [37] - 18:8, 20:1, 20:7, 20:9, 21:5, 22:7, 23:4, 23:22, 38:3, 51:2, 52:14, 52:17, 56:9, 56:20, 57:2, 58:15, 58:18, 59:4, 59:6, 67:16, 74:5, 78:11, 79:22, 82:3, 100:3, 106:10, 110:10, 134:15, 137:15, 139:23, 140:1, 140:15, 143:2, 146:18, 149:22, 159:25, 160:1 investments [37] - 12:8, 18:1, 19:8, 19:12, 22:14,

22:25, 24:13, 24:14, 28:2,

45:7, 45:11, 47:22, 47:25, 50:17, 50:21, 51:4, 51:7, 55:20, 62:13, 62:16, 63:3, 63:4, 65:11, 65:13, 66:5, 71:22, 75:12, 75:16, 76:16, 77:15, 86:16, 99:23, 124:3, 126:21, 143:23, 143:24 investor [9] - 23:16, 83:19. 116:10, 116:15, 116:18, 116:23, 116:24, 117:4, 117:6 investors [21] - 17:18, 42:4, 61:8, 62:12, 63:8, 64:22, 69:2, 69:21, 80:17, 80:18, 81:24, 83:3, 117:13, 131:20, 134:9, 136:12, 136:13, 140:15, 150:20, 154:13, 157:22 involve [2] - 19:23, 24:17 involved [8] - 5:10, 15:5, 16:22, 20:16, 52:14, 61:18, 73:12, 82:12 involvement [1] - 45:25 involving [4] - 4:25, 6:2, 6:20, 82:6 IRS [1] - 42:20 Island [2] - 36:10, 69:24 Islandia [1] - 1:22 Isle [30] - 40:11, 40:12, 51:12, 64:18, 75:7, 78:15, 87:25, 88:6, 88:15, 91:16, 92:17, 96:2, 117:1, 117:2, 117:3, 117:7, 117:9, 117:12, 136:18, 139:24, 140:16, 140:22, 140:23, 140:24, 141:2, 141:4, 141:5, 141:11, 141:17, 141:20 **Islip** [3] - 1:6, 1:15, 2:21 issue [17] - 6:16, 8:10, 11:24, 11:25, 12:20, 15:7, 15:24, 16:2, 18:23, 25:24, 36:9, 44:20, 71:4, 71:7, 83:7, 90:8, 94:14 issues [20] - 6:8. 6:11. 6:15, 6:25, 7:10, 9:13, 10:5, 10:6, 10:8, 10:15, 10:18, 11:15, 11:17, 11:18, 12:1, 25:17, 25:18, 35:19, 71:17, 115:16 itself [10] - 17:8, 18:17, 22:24, 24:21, 42:7, 44:4, 44:21, 70:12, 93:14, 158:15 IV [14] - 40:11, 40:12, 51:13, 64:18, 75:7, 78:15, 88:6, 117:2, 117:3, 117:7, 117:9, 141:11, 141:17, 141:20

J

jail [3] - 132:13, 132:19, 132:20 **January** [4] - 42:3, 94:19, 137:1, 142:12 **Jere** [7] - 28:2, 30:9, 30:15, 42:23, 108:8, 108:10, 133:23 John [10] - 43:12, 44:2, 49:7, 52:7, 69:16, 69:18, 70:3, 72:10, 93:10, 95:24 join [1] - 28:15 joining [1] - 118:12 joint [2] - 69:20, 72:5 Jose [1] - 55:21 JOSEPH [2] - 1:11, 2:4 Joseph [8] - 3:14, 28:2, 30:23, 42:23, 101:22, 101:24, 105:12, 133:23 Josh [1] - 42:20 **Jowdy** [185] - 31:9, 31:13, 32:19, 32:25, 34:9, 38:1, 38:13, 38:21, 40:11, 40:18, 40:20, 41:10, 41:20, 42:3, 42:6, 42:11, 42:19, 42:21, 42:25, 44:8, 44:14, 44:18, 44:24, 50:23, 50:24, 50:25, 57:6, 57:16, 57:20, 58:14, 58:16, 58:18, 59:21, 59:22, 59:23, 60:17, 61:3, 61:6, 61:17, 62:16, 63:2, 63:10, 63:19, 63:20, 64:17, 65:3, 65:14, 65:25, 68:13, 68:18, 69:8, 70:2, 75:4, 75:8, 75:10, 75:15, 76:10, 76:17, 76:20, 76:22, 76:24, 77:8, 77:12, 78:1, 78:2, 78:10, 78:19, 79:14, 79:16, 80:10, 80:18, 80:21, 81:5, 82:4, 87:25, 88:6, 88:11, 88:16, 88:19, 89:13, 90:16, 90:23, 90:25, 91:15, 91:17, 92:19, 93:2, 93:25, 94:9, 94:10, 94:17, 94:21, 94:24, 95:4, 95:25, 96:25, 98:25, 99:2, 99:15, 110:2, 114:5, 115:25, 117:17, 117:19, 117:21, 118:9, 118:11, 118:15, 120:18, 120:22, 120:25, 121:1, 121:13, 121:19, 122:4, 122:7, 131:8, 131:14, 131:20, 131:24, 132:2, 132:7, 134:4, 134:7, 134:18, 135:2, 135:10, 135:17, 136:3, 136:8, 136:15, 136:19, 136:23, 136:25, 137:3, 137:18, 137:21,

137:23, 138:4, 138:19, 138:24, 139:8, 139:13, 139:14, 140:22, 141:5, 141:23, 142:4, 142:12, 143:3, 145:3, 145:5, 145:11, 145:17, 145:19, 145:24, 146:21, 149:7, 149:9. 149:10. 150:12. 150:15, 150:17, 150:18, 150:20, 151:8, 151:14, 151:22, 153:13, 153:14, 153:17, 154:8, 155:20, 156:19, 157:5, 157:7, 157:9, 157:21, 158:2, 160:6, 160:9 Jowdy's [31] - 32:23, 33:22, 37:20, 39:7, 39:22, 51:9, 51:11, 56:22, 57:2, 58:8, 59:7, 59:12, 65:2, 66:3, 66:5, 66:6, 74:5, 75:5, 89:8, 89:11, 90:2, 90:14, 90:21, 93:5, 94:19, 97:4, 97:6, 97:11, 118:18, 142:16, 146:23 **Jowdy;isn't** [1] - 135:6 judge [14] - 8:10, 16:25, 18:24, 64:8, 64:10, 82:18, 83:5, 95:7, 97:10, 97:15, 97:18, 115:13, 115:18, 124:10 Judge [44] - 3:24, 4:17, 5:24, 6:18, 7:7, 7:12, 8:4, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:19, 15:7, 15:24, 16:7, 16:11, 16:17, 16:23, 17:9, 26:11, 29:13, 39:20, 40:1, 40:2, 45:5, 47:7, 47:12, 49:4, 49:17, 49:20, 56:6, 67:1, 68:4, 79:11, 79:25, 82:14, 82:15, 83:20, 84:2, 85:15, 96:19, 96:22, 101:14, 161:6, 161:15 JUDGE [1] - 1:11 judge's [1] - 96:6 judges [1] - 82:24 judgment [9] - 10:12, 53:1, 58:5, 58:8, 86:22, 87:1, 87:4, 87:7, 87:14 July [3] - 46:6, 128:14, 130:4 jumping [1] - 54:20 June [5] - 28:12, 28:24, 76:9, 142:4, 146:14 jurisdiction [1] - 89:14

jury [8] - 8:17, 13:12, 19:5,

26:22, 41:7, 41:13, 98:24,

134:23

K

Kailua [2] - 73:4, 73:9 Kaiser [27] - 43:12, 44:3, 44:6, 49:8, 52:8, 53:17, 55:7, 69:2, 69:16, 69:18, 69:21, 69:25, 70:4, 93:10, 95:24, 117:4, 117:6, 117:7, 128:10, 129:4, 130:3, 134:11, 134:13, 134:23, 135:17, 140:22, 141:1 Kaiser's [1] - 67:15 **KAJ** [3] - 37:13, 37:16, 37.18 Kalehua [1] - 36:4 Kathy [1] - 130:25 Kazakhstan [1] - 105:24 keep [4] - 88:25, 101:20, 143:10, 152:1 Ken [24] - 32:19, 37:20, 38:1, 40:11, 41:10, 41:20, 42:3, 42:5, 44:8, 44:17, 44:24, 64:17, 75:4, 77:7, 118:9, 118:10, 134:18,

88:6, 88:16, 99:15, 117:16, 135:2, 135:5, 135:10 KENNER [3] - 1:7, 26:13, 162:5 **Kenner** [100] - 1:23, 3:4, 3:11, 6:1, 6:17, 8:21, 14:1, 19:19, 21:17, 25:8, 25:16,

26:20, 29:2, 29:3, 30:6, 30:16, 33:12, 37:4, 40:8, 40:24, 40:25, 41:24, 42:14, 43:7, 43:22, 44:23, 46:5, 46:11, 46:12, 46:21, 46:24, 83:14, 85:5, 85:8, 85:22, 86:1, 86:3, 86:4, 88:16, 90:20, 91:10, 92:2, 93:16, 95:4, 95:23, 97:14, 99:5, 101:3, 101:22, 102:8, 102:24, 103:9, 106:22, 107:19, 111:16, 112:12, 112:24, 115:17, 117:14, 118:3, 118:14, 121:14, 121:21, 121:25, 123:19, 124:14, 125:12, 125:23, 126:1, 126:25, 127:15, 128:2, 128:11, 128:15, 130:6, 133:13, 133:18, 134:25, 135:21, 136:7, 138:22, 139:19, 143:12, 143:21, 146:14, 147:24, 148:7, 148:13, 152:3, 152:24, 153:3, 153:8, 153:19, 155:8, 155:19, 156:21, 157:6, 160:16, 162:17

Kenner's [3] - 4:11, 8:6,

Kenner-controlled [1] -8:21 kept [1] - 94:1 kind [2] - 19:24, 142:1 kindly [14] - 27:22, 30:6, 30:17, 33:11, 35:10, 46:24, 50:6, 50:15, 55:16, 56:15, 68:3, 70:12, 77:19, 80:7 **KJ** [1] - 81:5 knowledge [22] - 28:3, 37:19, 38:8, 42:1, 43:18, 44:11, 45:23, 48:20, 50:13, 50:17, 52:5, 55:16, 62:13, 63:24, 64:13, 69:13, 70:3, 74:1, 75:2, 77:22, 80:7, known [2] - 27:18, 97:12 knows [1] - 82:15 KSI [6] - 80:14, 80:21, 80:25, 81:1, 81:6, 81:13

L

labeled [2] - 100:14, 100:22 laid [1] - 23:11 land [23] - 32:9, 36:2, 36:13, 41:19, 42:24, 44:7, 45:21, 47:18, 51:13, 52:16, 54:1, 56:3, 56:8, 61:24, 68:24, 69:4, 71:21, 71:22, 72:24, 73:13, 73:21, 82:13, 116:24 lands [1] - 72:25 language [1] - 104:3 laptop [8] - 110:21, 110:24, 111:1, 111:3, 111:5, 111:13, 111:15, 153:6 larger [2] - 119:11, 140:24 LARUSSO [1] - 2:2 Las [3] - 52:14, 57:17, 108:12 last [14] - 3:20, 11:11, 29:15, 36:20, 38:11, 39:8, 46:7, 51:2, 65:21, 73:2, 85:12, 100:10, 101:18, 103:25 lastly [1] - 61:12 late [1] - 27:15 launder [1] - 145:7 laundered [1] - 24:14 laundering [13] - 8:25, 11:13, 15:12, 15:14, 17:4, 17:7, 17:20, 17:23, 17:24, 20:13, 20:16, 22:15, 160:6 law [12] - 9:1, 11:21, 15:10, 15:11, 20:17, 73:14, 75:5, 99:24, 103:5, 125:19,

13

125:23, 126:21 lawsuit [29] - 42:4, 57:15, 57:25, 58:3, 58:5, 63:12, 63:17, 86:1, 87:25, 88:5, 88:8, 88:12, 88:15, 89:2, 90:7. 90:10. 90:12. 91:16. 96:2. 99:14. 99:17. 101:22. 101:24, 102:1, 102:16, 102:24, 122:25, 123:8, 140:22 lawsuits [2] - 62:7, 96:15 lawyer [1] - 123:9 lawyers [4] - 35:22, 92:21, 97:5, 97:6 lay [1] - 25:4 laying [1] - 11:21 leading [1] - 98:24 least [7] - 24:17, 27:13, 69:7, 72:24, 81:12, 114:18, 156:24 leave [1] - 96:23 leaving [1] - 33:20 led [3] - 28:9, 70:19, 70:25 left [3] - 3:12, 66:4, 93:21 left-hand [1] - 66:4 legal [2] - 30:25, 94:3 legitimate [2] - 22:13, 22:14 Lehman [37] - 32:20, 32:22, 33:7, 33:8, 33:15, 33:19, 33:24, 34:2, 34:4, 34:8, 34:9, 34:13, 34:19, 34:24, 47:15, 71:25, 72:5, 75:11, 76:13, 76:18, 76:21, 76:24, 77:7, 94:5, 113:25, 119:2, 119:8, 119:12, 119:23, 120:23, 120:25, 121:5, 121:6, 121:11, 121:14, 121:15, 152:6 Lehtinen [56] - 28:2, 28:11, 28:21, 29:7, 30:10, 30:15, 31:5, 41:1, 42:23, 56:23, 78:5, 78:22, 79:14, 79:21, 103:11, 108:9, 108:10, 108:14, 108:20, 108:22, 109:20, 110:5, 110:7, 111:4, 111:9, 111:25, 112:4, 112:14, 112:17, 114:20, 116:8, 116:10, 117:25, 118:4, 118:8, 118:16, 118:18, 119:3, 122:12, 126:2, 126:13, 131:10, 131:23, 133:23, 138:17, 139:22, 139:25, 141:13, 145:25, 146:9, 156:8, 156:15, 156:22, 157:23, 158:4, 158:13 Lehtinen's [6] - 30:18, 31:8, 77:25, 117:16, 141:11, 156:9

lender [1] - 80:15 lenders [2] - 34:11, 80:22 lending [5] - 33:24, 34:13, 34:15, 34:16, 81:1 length [2] - 82:19, 82:20 Leonardo [4] - 3:8, 10:22, 85:17, 133:15 **LEONARDO** [77] - 1:16, 3:7, 11:10, 12:15, 16:25, 17:9, 17:12, 17:21, 18:11, 18:18, 19:13, 30:1, 31:2, 38:23, 46:19, 70:5, 83:16, 85:18, 85:21, 88:23, 89:1, 89:22, 90:1, 91:5, 91:9, 91:20, 92:1, 92:22, 94:25, 95:10, 95:22, 99:3, 99:13, 100:25, 101:11, 101:21, 102:4, 102:7, 102:12, 102:23, 104:24, 105:4, 105:6, 105:25, 106:6, 112:11, 121:7, 125:7, 125:11, 133:6, 133:16, 133:17, 139:16, 139:21, 142:6, 143:6, 143:11, 143:18, 143:20, 147:10, 147:13, 147:23, 151:24, 152:2, 152:16, 154:6, 154:22, 155:4, 155:7, 155:12, 155:18, 156:13, 156:14, 159:1, 161:3, 161:12, 162:10 less [1] - 104:10 letter [4] - 11:20, 15:9, 15:17, 98:11 letters [2] - 101:4, 101:6 liability [1] - 129:11 lie [1] - 121:1 lien [1] - 80:15 lieu [2] - 54:16, 82:21 Lim [1] - 73:7 lim's [1] - 73:13 limited [3] - 19:21, 104:2, 129:11 line [15] - 5:15, 21:25, 54:13, 54:24, 55:2, 56:10, 87:2, 93:2, 93:7, 93:12, 122:19, 122:22, 122:23, 123:4, 123:6 lined [1] - 81:19 lines [2] - 52:7, 65:9 list [2] - 75:16, 143:24 listed [15] - 47:6, 47:11, 48:7, 48:17, 54:19, 55:8, 56:13, 62:2, 110:14, 119:5, 119:7, 119:9, 142:23, 156:2, 156:4 listing [2] - 75:6 lists [1] - 144:9 litany [1] - 70:21

litigation [8] - 60:6, 63:9,

69:14, 69:23, 69:25, 132:2, 132:3, 132:11 litigations [1] - 145:12 lived [3] - 90:9, 145:1, 145:2 living [1] - 105:24 LLC [32] - 27:24, 28:17, 30:11, 30:22, 32:15, 32:18, 37:18, 45:6, 47:6, 47:8, 47:11, 47:13, 56:24, 59:9, 59:14, 61:14, 109:3, 113:10, 114:1, 117:11, 122:14, 128:20, 129:3, 129:15, 131:17, 134:16, 141:4, 141:17, 146:2, 147:1, 153:16, 154:13 LLCs [2] - 87:21, 142:1 loan [72] - 33:7, 33:8, 33:17, 34:2, 34:4, 34:8, 34:12, 34:19, 34:24, 38:1, 38:3, 38:5, 38:9, 39:16, 40:19, 40:21, 41:9, 41:18, 44:18, 59:20, 59:21, 61:3, 65:6, 66:3, 68:14, 68:24, 70:4, 71:25, 75:21, 76:14, 76:22, 77:11, 77:14, 77:17, 80:21, 81:10, 81:13, 81:19, 81:23, 81:25, 82:2, 82:4, 88:7, 88:19, 90:17, 94:22, 118:16, 119:2, 121:17, 136:8, 136:12, 136:15, 136:17, 137:2, 137:3, 137:8, 137:12, 137:13, 137:17, 137:19, 137:20, 138:9, 138:12, 138:15, 138:18, 138:24, 139:4, 139:22, 141:22, 148:10, 148:17 loaned [5] - 42:24, 57:16, 136:19, 136:23, 136:25 loaning [2] - 134:18, 136:14 loans [44] - 33:12, 33:14, 34:6, 35:1, 35:3, 38:12, 38:14, 38:22, 39:5, 39:19, 39:25, 40:17, 42:12, 44:10, 54:14, 65:25, 68:23, 69:1, 69:3, 69:8, 69:12, 69:14, 75:12, 76:15, 76:16, 76:24, 77:14, 88:10, 116:2, 116:3, 117:16, 117:18, 117:22, 117:23, 118:9, 118:10, 131:9, 131:15, 134:6, 134:8, 135:1, 135:10, 135:15, 136:3 LOC [1] - 54:5 local [1] - 73:8 locate [1] - 87:18 located [3] - 35:9, 103:15, 105:22

lockout [1] - 108:21 look [24] - 12:23, 15:9, 24:9, 30:6, 42:13, 43:6, 43:22, 43:23, 46:24, 50:6, 54:4, 67:4, 68:3, 70:9, 71:12, 73:23, 74:24, 77:19, 91:10, 129:8, 130:15, 140:10, 140:11, 159:13 looked [1] - 21:4 looking [10] - 101:3, 105:7, 143:21, 147:24, 152:24, 153:8, 154:19, 155:8, 155:11, 155:19 looks [2] - 60:20, 102:11 Los [15] - 4:19, 6:3, 6:21, 7:1, 9:4, 10:24, 16:21, 19:3, 24:5, 48:6, 48:10, 48:16, 56:10, 67:10, 67:20 lost [3] - 82:2, 129:24, 140:20 loud [3] - 89:19, 89:20, 89:23 Lucas [67] - 4:20, 5:9, 6:5, 7:1, 9:5, 16:22, 24:6, 28:1, 28:14, 32:21, 33:17, 34:3, 34:8, 34:25, 37:21, 40:23, 41:2, 41:8, 41:18, 41:21, 42:5, 45:8, 47:16, 48:6, 48:11, 48:13, 48:21, 49:13, 56:12, 56:21, 58:18, 59:5, 59:9, 59:14, 61:14, 62:3, 62:11, 62:15, 62:19, 62:22, 63:11, 63:14, 64:19, 64:20, 64:22, 65:15, 68:21, 74:5, 76:15, 77:8, 78:12, 93:24, 109:14, 110:14, 112:1, 112:25, 113:4, 116:2, 120:1, 133:19, 145:22, 146:19, 146:24, 149:3, 156:2, 156:5, 158:2 lunch [2] - 84:7, 84:9

M

ma'am [13] - 93:17, 96:7, 97:19, 103:20, 104:6, 104:17, 107:16, 108:20, 109:12, 109:19, 110:25, 124:15, 124:18 **MADELINE** [1] - 1:16 Madeline [1] - 3:8 mail [44] - 32:24, 67:6, 67:8, 70:16, 70:22, 72:14, 74:15, 75:2, 75:3, 75:10, 75:14, 76:12, 76:25, 77:3, 79:16, 80:9, 81:18, 81:20, 92:9, 92:12, 92:13, 112:13, 112:16, 120:22, 120:24, 135:13, 135:16, 136:2, 136:4, 148:13, 153:9,

| -1 | Ι Δ |
|----|-----|
| _ | |

153:11, 153:15, 153:17, 153:24, 154:7, 154:11, 154:14, 154:19, 154:21, 155:19, 155:20 mailed [1] - 136:2 mails [19] - 19:3, 52:25, 68:13, 80:10, 80:24, 92:3, 92:8, 105:20, 106:12, 110:18, 111:7, 119:10, 136:5, 152:17, 152:25, 153:1, 153:4, 153:25, 154:1 main [1] - 59:1 maintained [6] - 40:10, 51:17, 51:20, 53:25, 56:2, 58:22 Makika [11] - 31:15, 31:21, 32:1, 65:5, 68:20, 75:8, 76:5, 78:9, 88:9, 88:15, 116:13 managed [2] - 55:21, 59:4 management [3] - 46:9, 114:2, 150:6 Management [15] - 8:20, 8:21, 51:17, 113:1, 113:5, 113:13, 113:18, 113:21, 115:12, 143:17, 150:4, 150:5, 150:9, 151:13, 159:22 manager [1] - 67:10 managing [7] - 30:14, 30:22, 69:19, 88:5, 88:9, 122:7, 158:7 Manfredi [2] - 69:21, 117:11 manner [1] - 42:7 Mar [28] - 4:19, 5:9, 6:4, 6:22, 7:1, 9:5, 16:21, 24:7, 42:5, 48:17, 50:10, 50:14, 54:20, 54:25, 63:10, 64:18, 80:12, 80:16, 81:19, 82:3, 99:24, 126:22, 149:11, 150:6, 150:7, 150:11, 150:17, 160:8 March [9] - 33:15, 34:7, 64:24, 65:4, 65:22, 74:4, 94:11, 96:17 mark [2] - 46:13, 129:10 marked [12] - 42:14, 46:11, 46:24, 77:19, 91:4, 92:23, 95:1, 101:1, 102:5, 104:25, 128:19, 155:5 Markowitz [9] - 113:25, 114:3, 114:6, 114:9, 114:22, 115:6, 116:5, 131:16, 152:5 Martin [1] - 102:25 Mary [1] - 2:20 Masoud [1] - 34:9 matches [1] - 79:23

material [2] - 36:9, 43:17 materiality [4] - 101:13, 101:15, 102:14, 102:18 math [1] - 157:2 Mathew [1] - 42:20 matter [4] - 25:13, 45:14, 45:19, 98:21 matters [6] - 4:25, 6:25, 7:21, 8:4, 9:17, 9:22 Mattias [1] - 124:19 McKee [6] - 53:4, 53:6, 53:8, 53:19, 70:16, 70:21 mean [7] - 37:24, 49:4. 51:5, 60:11, 61:21, 72:20, 140:12 means [1] - 60:8 meant [1] - 23:17 mechanical [1] - 2:25 media [1] - 7:14 mediation [1] - 145:17 meet [4] - 106:24, 107:14, 107:20, 107:23 meeting [2] - 106:13, 115:24 meetings [1] - 138:8 Melville [1] - 42:19 member [13] - 30:14, 30:22, 88:6, 88:9, 117:1, 117:3, 117:7, 117:9, 122:7, 140:23, 141:2, 141:18, 158:7 members [4] - 46:2, 46:8, 47:3, 146:25 Memorial [1] - 1:21 memorialize [3] - 107:4, 139:4, 151:11 memorialized [4] - 72:13, 116:8. 139:1. 139:2 memory [1] - 11:5 men [1] - 103:3 mention [3] - 70:22, 92:19, 109:6 mentioned [2] - 5:7, 136:23 message [1] - 80:13 met [6] - 44:14, 106:23, 107:24, 122:24, 138:5, Mexican [9] - 75:8, 96:25, 142:14, 142:16, 142:20, 143:4, 145:14, 149:1, 150:1 Mexico [29] - 32:3, 42:9, 42:25, 44:8, 48:22, 49:13, 52:16, 55:21, 57:9, 57:24, 59:21, 59:23, 60:6, 60:17, 61:4, 61:8, 62:24, 67:20, 70:2, 78:3, 94:6, 96:24,

121:8, 121:11, 123:20,

132:2, 132:11, 139:9,

141.23 Michael [4] - 59:3, 59:4, 102:25, 119:18 microphone [1] - 89:21 middle [1] - 159:14 might [4] - 16:17, 16:18, 39:20, 160:20 mill [6] - 35:15, 35:17, 35:21, 71:20, 72:8, 73:5 million [67] - 28:16, 30:9, 31:8, 33:16, 33:18, 33:20, 33:25, 34:12, 35:16, 49:11, 50:18, 56:23, 59:20, 60:21, 61:3, 67:17, 67:18, 72:3, 77:10, 77:25, 80:15, 81:13, 81:19, 81:25, 86:23, 87:1, 87:4, 87:14, 109:15, 110:8, 111:17, 112:4, 118:8, 120:2, 120:3, 131:25, 132:7, 133:20, 133:22, 134:1, 135:23, 135:25, 137:8, 137:12, 138:9, 138:24, 139:4, 140:6, 141:12, 141:14, 141:22, 142:13, 144:13, 149:22, 156:6, 156:16, 156:19, 156:23, 157:16, 159:3, 159:11, 159:16, 159:20, 159:24 min [1] - 35:13 Mineola [1] - 2:3 minute [1] - 137:16 minutes [1] - 49:21 Miskiewicz [4] - 13:9, 18:23, 19:17, 19:20 misread [1] - 26:1 misrepresentation [1] misrepresentations [1] -19:11 misrepresented [1] -122.19 misrepresents [1] - 70:17 missing [1] - 153:1 misstating [1] - 120:7 mistake [1] - 127:10 misunderstood [1] - 35:2 mixing [2] - 10:10, 115:21 Moanna [1] - 117:11 moment [6] - 14:25, 24:1, 32:2, 49:17, 79:25, 125:8 monetary [1] - 38:20 money [90] - 8:22, 8:25, 11:4, 11:5, 11:13, 15:12, 15:14, 15:15, 17:3, 17:6, 17:18, 17:20, 17:23, 17:24, 18:13, 19:12, 20:8, 20:10, 20:12, 20:16, 21:18, 22:5, 22:8, 22:11, 22:15, 22:19, 22:20, 22:25, 23:16, 23:21,

23:22, 24:13, 24:14, 24:18, 28:22, 31:5, 32:1, 32:7, 33:1, 33:21, 34:15, 34:16, 37:21, 37:23, 37:25, 40:12, 42:8, 42:22, 42:24, 44:6, 44:24, 53:3, 53:18, 53:19, 54:24, 55:3, 57:5, 57:7, 57:20. 58:16. 59:15. 59:19. 60:22, 61:16, 64:14, 65:16, 68:17, 72:12, 73:19, 77:7, 77:14, 78:17, 79:4, 80:22, 81:12, 81:14, 109:13, 114:7, 116:12, 117:16, 134:15, 134:18, 135:5, 136:14, 145:7, 158:1, 160:6, 160:10 monies [26] - 32:8, 40:9, 41:9, 52:6, 53:14, 53:24, 55:8, 55:17, 55:19, 56:13, 56:17, 61:22, 62:2, 62:10, 65:9, 68:24, 69:4, 75:12, 76:16, 78:14, 79:3, 79:20, 94:14, 117:25, 124:21, 136:25 month [3] - 82:2, 106:7, 116:9 months [7] - 12:21, 33:23, 43:4, 76:10, 91:18, 131:4, 146:20 Moreau [5] - 52:21, 52:24, 53:21, 57:1, 57:2 morning [6] - 3:9, 3:10, 3:13, 3:16, 27:3, 27:4 mortgage [4] - 8:23, 19:18, 36:25. 71:3 Mosquito [1] - 48:16 most [2] - 25:15, 70:17 mother [1] - 52:12 motion [2] - 93:5, 97:4 move [9] - 36:15, 88:23, 89:20, 99:3, 139:16, 142:6, 143:7, 147:10, 151:24 moved [3] - 89:8, 89:13, 90:2 moving [1] - 143:10 **MR** [89] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:22, 3:24, 14:22, 15:20, 23:25, 25:12, 26:1, 26:9, 26:11, 26:21, 27:2, 29:8, 29:13, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 29:24, 30:3, 30:5, 39:4, 39:13, 40:6, 40:7, 46:10, 46:16, 46:22, 49:17, 49:19, 50:1, 50:4, 50:5, 64:10, 64:12, 66:20, 66:23, 67:1, 67:3, 68:4, 68:7, 71:6, 71:10, 71:11, 79:11, 79:25, 80:3, 83:6, 83:20, 84:6, 85:7, 91:7, 91:22, 91:23, 95:7, 95:12, 95:14, 95:17, 95:18,

101:13, 101:16, 102:13, 102:20, 106:2, 106:3, 112:7, 112:9, 115:13, 115:18, 121:6, 121:9, 124:10, 153:22, 154:3, 154:25, 155:1, 155:14, 155:15, 156:11, 158:22, 160:13. 160:18. 160:20. 160:25, 161:5, 161:10, 161:11, 161:13, 162:7 MS [82] - 3:7, 11:10, 12:15, 16:25, 17:9, 17:12, 17:21, 18:11, 18:18, 19:13, 19:16, 20:9, 21:10, 21:24, 22:12, 23:6, 30:1, 31:2, 38:23, 46:19, 70:5, 83:16, 85:18, 85:21, 88:23, 89:1, 89:22, 90:1, 91:5, 91:9, 91:20, 92:1, 92:22, 94:25, 95:10, 95:22, 99:3, 99:13, 100:25, 101:11, 101:21, 102:4, 102:7, 102:12, 102:23, 104:24, 105:4, 105:6, 105:25, 106:6, 112:11, 121:7, 125:7, 125:11, 133:6, 133:16, 133:17, 139:16, 139:21, 142:6, 143:6, 143:11, 143:18, 143:20, 147:10, 147:13, 147:23, 151:24, 152:2, 152:16, 154:6, 154:22, 155:4, 155:7, 155:12, 155:18, 156:13, 156:14, 159:1, 161:3, 161:12, 162:10 multiple [3] - 44:14, 64:22, 134:21 Murray [9] - 57:5, 57:7, 57:16, 58:9, 99:15, 99:17, 124:19, 139:12, 139:13 museum [1] - 73:10 myerick [1] - 86:1 Myerick [2] - 86:3, 86:4 myriad [3] - 6:20, 78:25

Ν

Na'Alehu [4] - 35:10, 35:24, 69:19, 141:9 nail [1] - 14:23 Najam [18] - 75:5, 75:9, 75:14, 76:10, 76:13, 76:17, 76:20, 76:23, 113:25, 114:3, 114:5, 116:5, 131:16, 152:5, 153:11, 154:8, 154:11, 154:16 name [15] - 26:18, 56:19, 67:15, 105:15, 109:10, 125:19, 127:22, 127:24, 140:19, 141:6, 143:1,

144:23, 145:3, 145:5, 149.16 named [2] - 19:8, 55:22 names [1] - 51:14 Nath [2] - 103:1, 103:2 nature [7] - 21:14, 44:12, 46:4, 53:16, 57:14, 57:15, 79.2 near [1] - 55:21 necessarily [3] - 4:4, 19:22, 132:4 necessary [2] - 98:11, 148:16 need [7] - 12:10, 26:7, 34:16, 60:12, 66:24, 136:10, 158:23 needed [2] - 69:25, 119:24 neglects [1] - 70:22 **negotiated** [1] - 79:13 negotiating [1] - 80:18 negotiations [2] - 34:10, 94:12 net [3] - 155:22, 157:1, 159:11 Nevada [6] - 57:6, 89:15, 90:7, 90:9, 108:12, 139:13 never [15] - 65:22, 94:2, 94:6, 97:23, 112:16, 120:1, 121:13, 122:5, 123:3, 123:7, 134:17, 137:7, 138:12, 145:5, 149:14 nevertheless [1] - 20:1 **NEW** [1] - 1:1 **new** [5] - 63:8, 118:13, 122:22, 125:1, 131:17 New [5] - 1:6, 1:15, 2:21, 43:13, 69:24 next [5] - 52:2, 59:3, 60:19, 67:15, 107:3 NHL [1] - 108:7 Nicholas [1] - 130:25 night [1] - 161:17 nine [2] - 65:19, 68:19 Nizhny [1] - 123:18 nobody [1] - 152:8 Nolan [6] - 44:2, 58:13, 58:15, 58:25, 86:7, 127:8 Nolan's [1] - 87:1 Nolans [4] - 86:13, 86:17, 86:21, 87:5 Nolans' [1] - 87:17 non [4] - 99:4, 109:1, 139:17, 151:25 non-playing [1] - 109:1 non-responsive [3] - 99:4, 139:17, 151:25 none [9] - 31:20, 31:25, 32:6, 45:12, 45:15, 45:18, 45:22, 81:7, 109:17

Norstrom [1] - 124:19

norstrom [1] - 64:23 northern [4] - 50:10, 50:14, 51:1, 54:20 Northern [7] - 54:13, 122:20, 122:21, 123:2, 123:4, 123:5, 123:7 notarized [1] - 84:1 note [20] - 5:5, 12:18, 85:16, 93:13, 93:18, 93:21, 93:22, 94:4, 94:7, 95:5, 106:21, 115:1, 131:10, 138:16, 138:21, 146:6, 146:17, 147:17, 151:14, 151:19 notes [24] - 38:21, 38:25, 39:8, 39:10, 39:11, 39:14, 39:15, 42:18, 43:10, 43:19, 85:13, 109:6, 109:7, 109:11, 114:24, 126:9, 131:19, 138:13, 146:1, 146:9, 146:20, 147:13, 158:3, 158:10 nothing [10] - 19:10, 23:14, 48:4, 66:11, 68:2, 73:16, 112:5, 141:23, 151:11 notice [1] - 23:15 noticed [1] - 79:2 notify [1] - 81:24 notwithstanding [5] - 14:3, 24:25, 36:23, 97:14, 97:17 November [8] - 49:3, 49:7, 80:13, 81:20, 97:22, 135:20, 135:21, 136:17 Novgord [1] - 123:18 nowhere [1] - 24:3 number [22] - 4:12, 29:15, 33:1, 50:21, 52:7, 54:8, 54:10, 54:18, 59:5, 61:7, 82:12, 82:22, 85:22, 94:17, 96:18, 101:19, 123:20, 124:3, 124:16, 137:13, 141:3, 153:1 **numbered** [1] - 101:8 numbers [5] - 50:16, 55:18, 62:20, 101:9,

0

NY [3] - 1:22, 2:3, 2:7

136:21

O'Connor [2] - 3:8, 19:14 O'CONNOR [7] - 1:16, 19:16, 20:9, 21:10, 21:24, 22:12, 23:6 oath [1] - 44:5 object [5] - 15:17, 85:16, 112:7, 112:9, 158:22 objected [3] - 39:4, 39:6, 39:9

objecting [2] - 13:7, 13:14 objection [37] - 5:25, 9:8, 16:6, 31:2, 38:23, 38:25, 39:7, 39:10, 39:17, 39:21, 39:23, 40:1, 40:3, 46:18, 46:19, 70:5, 85:11, 85:14, 91:22, 91:23, 95:6, 95:8, 95:13, 95:14, 95:16, 101:14, 101:16, 102:15, 102:20, 106:2, 106:3, 153:22, 154:24, 155:1, 155:14, 155:15 objections [3] - 4:12, 13:23, 14:3 observation [1] - 34:17 obstructed [1] - 98:17 obstruction [1] - 96:20 obtained [1] - 103:9 obvious [1] - 65:8 obviously [2] - 14:16, 19:2 occasion [2] - 13:10, 137:20 occasionally [1] - 85:10 occasions [3] - 42:10, 44:14, 60:17 occur [3] - 6:24, 123:15, 146:24 occurred [5] - 5:12, 5:17, 35:20, 98:1, 115:24 occurring [1] - 97:3 Oceanside [1] - 2:7 October [3] - 43:10, 52:9, 70:16 OF [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:10 offense [1] - 22:15 offer [12] - 46:11, 84:4, 91:20, 95:1, 95:9, 95:11, 101:11, 102:12, 105:25, 153:25, 154:22, 155:12 offered [1] - 92:4 office [2] - 42:19, 106:16 officer [1] - 4:11 officers [1] - 72:9 often [3] - 137:11, 138:6, 138:7 oil [1] - 35:19 Old [1] - 2:3 old [2] - 72:8, 103:3 **OLIVERAS** [1] - 2:6 Oliveris [1] - 3:14 once [8] - 7:6, 7:14, 24:8, 39:24, 88:21, 94:6, 111:15, 122:15 One [1] - 1:21 one [57] - 5:3, 7:13, 10:15, 12:24, 13:1, 13:10, 14:18, 18:12, 23:12, 23:17, 25:13,

25:22, 32:12, 32:23, 33:16,

33:20, 35:16, 36:6, 49:9,

51:11, 56:5, 56:7, 57:2,

59:7, 63:16, 66:25, 70:20, 72:9, 73:1, 74:18, 74:22, 75:24, 76:5, 77:10, 77:24, 80:9, 80:24, 89:14, 92:6, 93:25, 107:22, 112:3, 112:13, 113:14, 123:14, 126:3, 127:12, 131:24, 134:19. 136:1. 136:11. 137:19, 138:18, 141:8, 142:14, 142:16 one-third [1] - 126:3 ones [1] - 100:12 ongoing [1] - 62:23 open [1] - 131:17 opening [1] - 32:11 operating [8] - 41:2, 57:4, 61:19, 62:18, 66:7, 77:13, 125:2, 139:24 operational [1] - 62:24 opportunity [3] - 7:4, 10:3, 153:7 oral [1] - 112:19 oranges [1] - 10:10 order [6] - 31:6, 32:22, 87:13, 97:22, 98:3, 107:4 ordered [4] - 90:12, 97:21, 98:4, 98:5 original [17] - 7:22, 8:12, 9:15, 15:5, 27:7, 27:13, 79:13, 92:18, 93:21, 94:2, 94:7, 94:8, 97:5, 117:13, 118:16, 123:1, 149:2 originally [14] - 36:8, 68:15, 101:24, 113:6, 117:9, 117:18, 118:10, 120:20, 125:16, 136:14, 141:1, 142:19, 143:1, 143:5 otherwise [3] - 14:4, 99:11, 102.14 ought [6] - 6:17, 6:24, 8:9, 15:1, 15:3, 153:23 out-of-court [1] - 53:2 outside [1] - 140:16 outstanding [2] - 81:12, overall [2] - 20:2, 119:21 overlap [1] - 12:2 overruled [2] - 31:3, 70:7 owe [5] - 86:21, 146:25, 158:13, 158:16, 158:18 owed [3] - 33:1, 86:12, 94:14 Owen [3] - 44:2, 58:13, 86:7 own [14] - 53:20, 57:21, 58:19, 65:12, 90:5, 118:23, 118:25, 121:20, 122:10, 129:17, 142:21, 144:17, 145:10, 145:22

owned [9] - 36:20, 36:24, 126:3, 128:4, 144:17, 145:9, 150:7, 159:22, 160:9 owner [7] - 110:15, 142:24, 143:13, 148:21, 148:24, 151:13 owners [2] - 53:6, 73:2 ownership [21] - 28:25, 31:7, 62:14, 73:3, 79:13, 87:20, 113:14, 115:4, 115:10, 115:22, 120:19, 127:2, 129:22, 141:10, 143:4, 149:5, 150:10, 154:12, 159:19, 160:7 owns [2] - 120:10, 120:14

page [16] - 5:15, 11:20, 30:12, 30:21, 74:7, 81:4, 105:3, 105:4, 105:7, 118:15, 121:10, 127:16, 130:19, 147:16, 148:13, 155:19 Page [2] - 162:3, 162:13 pages [1] - 100:7 paid [10] - 67:18, 79:21, 88:11, 96:25, 118:1, 121:17, 151:16, 157:20, 157:21, 158:9 paint [1] - 62:5 Palms [40] - 4:19, 5:6, 5:8, 5:19, 6:3, 6:21, 7:1, 9:4, 10:24, 16:21, 18:1, 18:6, 18:16, 18:23, 18:24, 18:25, 19:1, 19:21, 19:22, 20:1, 20:7, 20:11, 21:6, 21:7, 21:18, 21:21, 22:1, 22:6, 22:7, 22:10, 22:11, 24:5, 52:2, 52:20, 53:6, 53:15, 55:7, 70:15, 70:19 Palsm [1] - 5:17 Pamela [1] - 128:1 panel [5] - 82:18, 83:5, 83:25, 86:21, 86:25 Pappas [1] - 102:25 paramount [1] - 63:18 paraphrasing [1] - 5:5 parcel [7] - 37:25, 53:23, 71:21, 73:4, 73:9, 110:1, 136:24 parent [2] - 69:20, 141:9 parenthetically [1] - 63:1 part [37] - 4:15, 5:6, 5:19, 5:24, 6:22, 12:4, 15:18, 20:2, 20:10, 20:12, 24:2, 24:17, 25:22, 35:12, 37:25, 42:3, 53:22, 56:23, 61:2,

65:24, 65:25, 66:3, 68:10, 69:3, 69:8, 70:18, 71:21, 83:22, 100:6, 110:1, 119:9, 131:4, 136:24, 139:22, 139:25, 140:23, 142:24 parte [2] - 97:4, 97:11 partial [1] - 40:20 particular [11] - 19:18, 19:19, 19:21, 35:25, 53:21, 67:14, 68:9, 73:3, 82:4, 105:3, 139:11 particulars [17] - 7:23, 8:2, 9:7, 9:15, 13:24, 27:11, 27:17, 32:14, 35:8, 37:6, 37:8, 37:12, 45:4, 47:5, 47:10, 48:5, 48:15 parties [1] - 35:6 partner [4] - 34:13, 69:19, 72:5, 109:21 partners [1] - 111:25 party [4] - 58:10, 60:7, 139:15, 158:8 passed [1] - 46:1 past [2] - 33:2, 158:9 patient [1] - 15:22 Pause [12] - 30:4, 46:25, 80:2, 92:24, 101:2, 105:1, 124:8, 125:10, 148:4, 152:19, 152:22, 155:6 pause [2] - 91:13, 102:6 pay [16] - 81:10, 81:11, 81:14, 87:13, 88:21, 93:22, 114:7, 117:19, 117:23, 118:12, 131:9, 131:20, 142:15, 146:23, 157:19, 157:25 paying [6] - 44:24, 73:15, 115:25, 117:22, 131:14, 156:20 payment [2] - 8:23, 65:21 payments [10] - 36:25, 53:10, 54:16, 65:17, 65:18, 65:19, 65:24, 68:19, 71:3, 94:10 Peca [15] - 5:17, 19:19, 21:2, 21:17, 21:20, 21:22,

53:4, 53:5, 53:8, 53:18,

59:3, 59:4, 71:5, 74:22,

Peca's [3] - 20:1, 53:10,

pending [4] - 72:3, 98:20,

penthouse [1] - 53:7

79:15, 79:19, 93:20,

110:15, 111:7, 118:1,

people [8] - 19:11, 33:1,

52:11, 63:21, 77:13, 135:7,

percent [36] - 78:12, 79:7,

119:18

98:22, 99:7

135:8, 146:6

119:21, 119:22, 119:23, 120:2, 120:4, 120:10, 120:14, 120:20, 120:21, 143:13, 148:21, 148:24, 149:5, 149:10, 151:13, 151:21, 153:16, 156:24, 159:20, 159:23, 160:7 percentage [3] - 141:10, 150:7, 157:3 percentages [1] - 122:1 perhaps [10] - 5:18, 6:22, 8:10, 53:13, 57:12, 65:8, 75:24, 140:11, 159:7, 161:7 period [6] - 68:15, 68:18, 72:7, 117:10, 146:11, 150.19 periods [1] - 137:14 permission [1] - 26:22 permitted [1] - 153:23 person [6] - 21:19, 21:21, 59:1, 93:22, 122:8, 146:12 personal [16] - 44:11, 48:25, 53:20, 53:22, 57:21, 57:24, 58:19, 59:6, 118:11, 122:10, 142:22, 148:2, 148:5, 156:11, 157:1, 159:2 personally [1] - 136:23 perspective [7] - 6:16, 8:4, 25:15, 30:25, 67:12, 70:13, 71:17 petroleum [2] - 35:19, 72:4 phase [3] - 33:16, 33:20, 77:10 phases [1] - 36:7 PHIL [2] - 26:13, 162:5 Phil [28] - 3:11, 3:12, 26:20, 26:23, 27:3, 27:5, 29:1, 30:6, 30:24, 34:17, 35:7, 49:14, 50:6, 51:4, 53:12, 54:4, 56:16, 64:1, 67:4, 68:8, 68:23, 70:9, 71:16, 76:12, 78:23, 80:5, 82:5, 88:16 **PHILLIP** [1] - 1:7 **phone** [6] - 72:14, 75:15, 108:12, 110:22, 122:25, 138:3 phrase [1] - 7:8 pick [1] - 160:14 piece [1] - 149:3 PK [6] - 100:22, 101:8, 148:17, 153:8, 157:12 place [1] - 123:18 placed [2] - 6:17, 24:14 plaintiffs [2] - 63:6, 73:1 plans [1] - 142:14

118:23, 118:25, 119:3,

119:4, 119:11, 119:20,

played [2] - 108:6, 108:24 player [9] - 5:1, 6:3, 19:1, 45:8, 63:2, 68:25, 69:2, 69:10, 134:17 players [10] - 17:25, 18:7, 19:19, 22:10, 23:1, 94:17, 134:10, 134:12, 134:14, 134.21 playing [5] - 107:10, 107:15, 107:20, 108:17, 109:1 Plaza [2] - 1:15, 2:20 pledged [2] - 128:12, 128:13 plus [1] - 118:1 point [27] - 5:3, 8:5, 9:23, 9:25, 10:2, 17:3, 19:14, 23:25, 28:12, 31:16, 38:17, 43:14, 46:14, 65:2, 96:13, 96:16, 113:14, 114:8, 116:3, 116:4, 117:24, 128:12, 131:24, 141:3, 144:21, 151:4 pointed [1] - 12:25 points [1] - 36:23 portion [14] - 49:11, 49:12, 59:19, 64:3, 85:16, 88:8, 88:24, 99:4, 109:16, 139:17, 145:9, 145:10, 151:25 position [8] - 6:18, 25:5, 30:11, 30:20, 63:7, 69:14, 111:24, 119:14 positions [1] - 116:7 possession [8] - 46:17, 50:2, 76:25, 77:2, 84:3, 93:10, 121:4, 136:6 possible [1] - 99:24 post [2] - 45:3, 125:1 post-global [1] - 125:1 potentially [2] - 14:19, power [2] - 74:13, 105:11 practice [3] - 74:15, 109:1, 137:25 pre [1] - 52:24 pre-development [1] -52.24 preceded [1] - 9:7 preface [1] - 78:23 prefer [1] - 25:14 preferred [2] - 157:19, 157:24 prejudice [4] - 7:9, 16:12, 25:7, 96:10 prejudiced [1] - 10:1 prepare [4] - 140:7, 140:9, 140:12. 144:2 prepared [4] - 6:1, 7:19, 9:13, 144:4

preparing [1] - 149:25 preponderance [1] - 20:4 present [8] - 3:17, 13:25, 20:25, 23:20, 41:3, 94:15, 94:19, 153:7 presented [7] - 7:6, 21:12, 32:24, 71:17, 83:24, 84:1, 112:22 presentence [8] - 4:7, 4:10, 4:13, 4:17, 12:5, 13:5, 26:1, 26:5 presenting [1] - 20:22 presents [1] - 6:24 pretty [2] - 81:6, 126:16 prevailed [2] - 139:13, 139:14 previous [3] - 116:22, 119:8, 135:24 previously [8] - 13:22, 29:20, 46:10, 50:13, 103:21, 127:4, 145:25, 159:21 primarily [1] - 49:1 private [3] - 60:11, 143:22, 143:24 pro [2] - 58:10, 139:14 probation [1] - 26:4 problem [1] - 25:20 problematic [1] - 21:7 problems [3] - 70:25, 99:1, 122.9 procedural [2] - 13:23, 14:3 procedurally [2] - 10:1, procedure [2] - 10:2, 60:9 proceed [6] - 3:21, 9:12, 13:20, 15:18, 16:7, 60:17 proceeding [20] - 11:7, 12:25, 25:3, 53:13, 59:24, 59:25, 60:14, 82:5, 82:9, 86:7, 86:11, 91:1, 92:5, 99:20, 100:6, 104:12, 104:14, 105:23, 111:20, 123:25 **PROCEEDINGS** [1] - 1:10 proceedings [19] - 11:23, 30:4, 46:25, 80:2, 85:23, 91:13, 92:24, 94:3, 101:2, 102:6, 105:1, 111:17, 124:8, 125:10, 148:4, 152:19, 152:22, 155:6, 161:18 **Proceedings** [1] - 2:25 proceeds [2] - 72:11, 82:1 process [2] - 6:10, 10:2 produce [1] - 135:18 produced [6] - 2:25, 82:10,

100:7, 100:11, 100:12,

111:10

production [1] - 100:14 professed [1] - 38:12 profit [3] - 35:23, 71:24, 72.9 prohibited [1] - 121:15 project [39] - 28:1, 32:21, 36:2, 36:7, 36:13, 44:7, 45:9, 47:19, 48:10, 48:11, 48:12, 49:2, 51:13, 52:18, 55:21, 56:10, 56:21, 61:7, 61:24, 62:19, 64:18, 64:23, 67:10, 67:21, 69:22, 73:21, 76:22, 78:12, 79:15, 79:18, 80:12, 80:16, 115:10, 116:2, 116:25, 119:12, 150:13, 160:8 projects [3] - 75:5, 75:9, 142:21 promise [3] - 112:19, 118:18, 146:23 promised [3] - 114:7, 151:22, 157:25 promises [1] - 68:16 promising [1] - 113:8 promissory [40] - 28:23, 30:9, 30:19, 59:16, 75:20, 78:4, 93:13, 93:18, 93:21, 94:7, 106:21, 109:6, 109:7, 109:11, 110:12, 112:23, 114:9, 114:24, 115:1, 122:14, 126:9, 131:10, 131:19, 138:13, 138:16, 142:1, 146:1, 146:6, 146:9, 146:17, 146:20, 147:13, 147:17, 151:14, 151:19, 156:7, 156:18, 157:17, 158:3, 158:10 proof [7] - 6:9, 6:25, 9:20, 13:19, 18:3, 83:13, 84:4 properly [4] - 59:13, 61:18, 62:17, 66:7 Properties [23] - 32:15, 32:18, 32:25, 45:2, 45:5, 45:24, 46:2, 46:8, 46:9, 47:3, 47:6, 47:8, 57:4, 64:23, 119:15, 119:18, 119:21, 120:10, 120:14, 120:17, 120:21, 134:1, 154.19 properties [24] - 7:25, 8:2, 9:14, 11:2, 11:5, 12:8, 13:2, 13:3, 14:2, 14:5, 14:7, 14:16, 14:18, 20:15, 23:1, 23:4, 24:15, 24:16, 27:6, 27:12, 32:12, 32:13, 45:3, 143:4 property [63] - 23:6, 27:18, 27:19, 28:14, 33:17, 33:25,

34:3, 34:5, 34:20, 35:7,

35:11, 35:14, 35:15, 35:16,

35:17, 35:22, 35:25, 36:1, 36:5, 36:12, 36:17, 36:20, 36:24, 37:9, 37:21, 47:6, 47:11, 47:16, 48:8, 48:10, 48:16, 48:20, 48:21, 48:24, 49:5, 49:8, 49:10, 50:10, 50:14, 50:25, 54:20, 68:22, 71:20, 72:2, 72:4, 72:7, 72:10, 73:20, 77:9, 123:20, 128:12, 128:13, 133:19, 149:3, 149:6, 149:13, 149:14, 149:16, 149:18, 149:22, 150:1, 159:20, 159:23 Property [1] - 45:6 **Propiedades** [1] - 148:24 propiedades [2] - 31:10, 78.2 proposed [1] - 145:25 prosecution [2] - 60:18, prosecutorial [1] - 60:13 **protocol** [2] - 60:10, 89:10 prove [2] - 20:3, 25:9 proverbial [1] - 14:23 provide [3] - 25:16, 77:7, 152:13 provided [7] - 23:15, 29:20, 39:22, 83:18, 100:16, 100:19, 126:8 **providing** [1] - 39:8 PSR [2] - 12:16, 12:18 purchase [9] - 20:11, 22:1, 35:21, 49:13, 52:15, 53:23, 57:17, 133:18, 149:2 purchased [7] - 48:21, 48:23, 49:8, 71:21, 149:14, 149:18, 160:10 purported [1] - 124:13 purpose [5] - 10:20, 27:24, 28:22, 45:6, 143:25 purposely [1] - 19:3 purposes [19] - 6:15, 7:11, 7:16, 12:7, 13:6, 13:15, 13:17, 14:9, 16:5, 17:11, 41:23, 43:8, 46:23, 61:21, 68:11, 76:13, 83:2, 142:20 pursuant [6] - 29:11, 29:13, 40:18, 51:7, 81:9, 153:17 pursue [2] - 26:8, 95:25 pursued [1] - 62:8 pursuing [1] - 17:10 push [1] - 80:25 put [42] - 7:8, 7:19, 9:9, 9:20, 13:19, 15:9, 15:10, 15:16, 16:12, 19:11, 20:7, 23:25, 33:19, 46:13, 54:23, 75:15, 80:15, 86:18, 87:23, 93:18, 95:4, 109:13,

1 9

109:18, 109:24, 110:5, 110:7, 112:4, 113:1, 113:12, 113:14, 113:18, 113:20, 120:3, 120:19, 125:21, 127:8, 131:23, 151:19, 156:22, 157:16, 160:20 putting [5] - 25:7, 33:1, 35:22, 120:2, 152:4

Q

quandary [1] - 9:9 quarter [1] - 72:3 query [1] - 15:16 QUESTION [5] - 44:23, 129:14, 129:17, 129:19, 129:21 questioning [1] - 55:6 questions [11] - 5:16, 64:3, 84:6, 86:15, 86:18, 87:15, 87:22, 97:20, 104:9, 153:20 quite [2] - 38:2, 54:23

R

raise [1] - 12:20 raised [2] - 11:25, 73:19 raising [1] - 72:12 ran [1] - 71:4 rate [1] - 158:18 rather [9] - 5:13, 10:16, 16:1, 38:3, 60:5, 76:16, 77:15, 83:6, 161:9 re [1] - 96:24 re-file [1] - 96:24 reached [1] - 138:10 read [6] - 5:13, 121:5, 121:10, 124:11, 124:12, 159:12 readily [1] - 68:4 reading [1] - 104:5 ready [3] - 3:21, 26:10, 80:23 real [7] - 15:7, 72:22, 72:23, 73:6, 73:10, 73:15, 142:20 realized [2] - 94:1, 123:1 reallocated [1] - 79:17 really [5] - 16:4, 16:6, 19:23, 34:18, 140:20 reason [6] - 4:16, 67:5, 89:18, 96:11, 99:9, 151:23 reasons [1] - 86:25 receive [1] - 142:13 received [15] - 31:5, 39:24, 42:8, 52:10, 58:14, 80:10,

80:14, 92:13, 115:3, 125:1, 142:5, 144:3, 151:6, 151:22, 157:23 receiving [1] - 82:2 recess [5] - 49:22, 49:23, 84:9, 133:9, 133:10 recessed [1] - 161:18 recognize [6] - 29:4, 42:15, 71:13, 91:12, 101:4, 155:9 recollect [1] - 112:12 recollection [4] - 10:22, 10:25, 75:25, 129:7 recommendation [1] -72:16 recommendations [1] -53:2 recommended [1] - 35:22 reconvene [1] - 84:8 record [25] - 3:6, 5:11, 6:14, 10:4, 15:22, 16:12, 18:19, 18:21, 19:10, 21:16, 21:20, 21:22, 26:19, 41:12, 41:23, 43:8, 46:23, 61:20, 61:21, 83:7, 83:12, 124:12, 141:19, 147:11, 155:25 recorded [2] - 2:25, 156:10 recording [1] - 159:25 records [15] - 21:13, 39:9, 40:9, 40:15, 50:20, 63:14, 63:22, 63:25, 74:16, 132:16, 132:22, 132:24, 133:1, 151:17, 153:3 recover [1] - 98:25 redacted [1] - 39:1 reduce [1] - 138:16 reduced [2] - 138:12, 138:21 refer [1] - 66:20 reference [11] - 30:16, 32:7, 34:2, 34:4, 45:24, 58:22, 62:10, 83:7, 93:1, 146:1, 147:17 referenced [2] - 19:2, 75.19 referencing [1] - 33:10 referred [3] - 39:19, 42:11, 125:3 referring [9] - 29:6, 44:24, 47:4, 62:1, 76:1, 79:6, 125:6, 128:8, 159:5 refers [2] - 93:4, 130:16 refinance [1] - 148:10 reflect [5] - 10:3, 62:14, 74:18, 113:3, 120:16 reflected [1] - 156:25 reflecting [1] - 40:9 reflects [1] - 151:19

refresh [1] - 75:25

refreshes [1] - 129:6

regard [28] - 7:3, 7:5,

11:21, 11:24, 38:25, 86:12, 86:16, 88:6, 91:1, 92:8, 98:3, 106:9, 109:2, 124:2, 125:4, 125:18, 126:1, 126:12, 126:21, 131:13, 133:1, 133:18, 135:15, 136:3, 137:11, 138:15, 152:3. 152:11 regarding [7] - 5:12, 6:3, 6:4, 44:20, 104:11 regards [1] - 28:21 registered [2] - 145:18, 145:19 related [13] - 9:18, 10:24, 17:12, 17:14, 21:6, 36:1, 36:12, 51:24, 59:20, 62:7, 64:19, 73:20, 125:14 relates [30] - 4:3, 4:13, 4:19, 4:24, 6:11, 6:25, 7:20, 9:13, 9:22, 10:14, 13:2, 13:22, 14:8, 19:11, 24:5, 34:24, 41:20, 43:6, 50:14, 53:14, 55:7, 55:8, 56:12, 57:25, 64:3, 68:9, 79:4, 82:14, 85:11, 85:12 relation [1] - 51:16 relationship [8] - 45:10, 45:13, 45:16, 45:20, 58:21, 64:17, 67:22, 67:23 relative [1] - 157:3 relatively [1] - 160:21 relevance [6] - 54:19, 70:13, 71:16, 101:14, 102:13, 102:18 relevancy [1] - 68:12 relevant [18] - 4:14, 4:18, 6:12, 7:11, 9:21, 11:22, 12:7, 12:9, 12:12, 12:16, 13:6, 13:18, 14:6, 14:8, 14:19, 16:9, 25:24, 68:10 relinquish [1] - 127:1 relinquished [1] - 127:6 rely [1] - 6:13 relying [2] - 25:10, 90:17 remainder [2] - 134:4, 134:6 remained [3] - 11:18, 11:24, 108:25 remark [1] - 78:24 remediation [2] - 35:19, remember [15] - 19:6, 39:2, 39:7, 39:23, 86:24, 88:1, 90:13, 93:9, 94:24, 109:23, 118:20, 124:11, 132:18, 132:19, 132:20 remind [1] - 5:21 remote [1] - 36:10 removed [2] - 63:6, 123:6

render [1] - 10:11

reneged [2] - 59:21, 118:15 renovated [1] - 49:9 repaid [3] - 68:14, 136:20, 158:1 repay [3] - 118:19, 134:7, 146:22 repaying [2] - 114:6, 145:24 repayment [2] - 44:19, 66:2 repayments [3] - 40:20, 65:4, 68:20 repeated [1] - 37:8 repetitive [1] - 56:16 rephrase [1] - 156:13 report [9] - 4:8, 4:10, 4:14, 4:17, 12:5, 13:5, 26:2, 26:5, 128:7 Reporter [1] - 2:20 reporter [6] - 127:10, 127:22, 128:24, 130:8, 130:24, 131:2 reporters [2] - 89:22, 131:5 reporting [4] - 127:20, 130:21, 131:1, 131:6 represent [13] - 30:8, 40:15, 55:19, 64:16, 73:25, 75:2, 77:23, 80:9, 100:16, 103:6, 110:9, 148:20, 153:15 representation [7] - 5:8, 14:17, 25:15, 41:6, 67:9, 79:4, 124:25 representations [1] - 87:2 represented [23] - 38:22, 40:24, 41:1, 57:3, 59:13, 61:18, 62:17, 74:8, 76:24, 78:4, 78:20, 97:7, 102:24, 110:11, 111:25, 119:23, 125:24, 141:6, 143:13, 147:3, 149:21, 154:18, 157:4 representing [4] - 78:21, 105:12, 120:23, 156:23 represents [7] - 54:11, 67:16, 67:18, 74:21, 80:22, 81:5, 115:3 request [5] - 63:13, 71:19, 97:11, 97:24, 160:20 requesting [1] - 90:14 required [2] - 81:11, 100:6 requiring [1] - 72:6 rescheduled [1] - 97:1 research [2] - 72:11, 73:18 reserve [2] - 71:6, 83:8 reserved [1] - 13:23 reserving [1] - 13:24 residence [5] - 8:13, 8:23, 8:25, 144:12, 144:16 residential [1] - 57:17 resolution [3] - 46:1, 46:5,

1 (

47:3 resort [2] - 62:24, 74:5 respect [22] - 8:11, 14:1, 14:18, 22:25, 25:24, 30:10, 38:19, 53:18, 63:3, 63:10, 64:21, 65:5, 67:20, 70:15, 71:19, 75:8, 75:13, 76:18, 90:8, 112:23, 124:24, 131:22 respectfully [1] - 9:10 respond [5] - 9:23, 97:22, 100:7, 102:1, 157:12 responded [2] - 97:23, 157:10 responding [1] - 97:21 response [3] - 4:9, 93:5, responsible [1] - 158:8 responsive [3] - 99:4, 139:17, 151:25 rest [4] - 60:22, 60:23, 64:21, 69:21 rested [1] - 3:20 result [4] - 5:14, 35:20, 49:10, 74:13 resumes [3] - 49:25, 85:6, 133:14 return [3] - 152:11, 152:13, 157:19 returned [3] - 40:12, 65:22, 83:4 revenues [1] - 71:1 review [8] - 4:9, 50:20, 89:5, 92:3, 104:8, 124:5, 124:24, 140:3 reviewed [5] - 92:25, 135:22, 135:25, 140:6, 157:6 revolving [2] - 93:2, 93:7 Rhode [1] - 69:24 RICHARD [1] - 1:22 Richard [1] - 3:11 right-hand [2] - 66:2, 129:9 rights [1] - 83:8 rise [3] - 3:1, 85:3, 133:11 Rizzi [1] - 52:11 road [1] - 9:2 Road [8] - 2:3, 8:13, 8:23, 8:24, 9:3, 35:9, 36:16, 71:20 ROBERT [1] - 1:14 Robert [2] - 55:22, 67:9 Roco [1] - 48:16 role [1] - 46:9 roll [1] - 118:1 Romanowski [1] - 43:12 Rosenthal [2] - 103:1, routed [4] - 51:12, 51:16,

51:19, 51:23

Rucchin [1] - 56:7 Rule [1] - 77:6 rules [1] - 60:9 ruling [2] - 16:8, 86:24 run [1] - 36:9 Rusia [1] - 123:18 Russian [2] - 104:4, 108:25

S

Sag [7] - 32:4, 45:16, 48:2, 51:24, 54:2, 56:4, 61:25 sake [1] - 8:17 sale [1] - 49:10 San [68] - 4:20, 5:9, 6:4, 7:1, 9:5, 16:21, 24:6, 28:1, 28:14. 32:21. 33:17. 34:3. 34:8. 34:25. 37:21. 40:23. 41:2. 41:8. 41:18. 41:21. 42:5. 45:8. 47:16. 48:6. 48:11, 48:13, 48:21, 49:13, 55:21, 56:12, 56:21, 58:17, 59:5, 59:9, 59:14, 61:13, 62:3, 62:11, 62:15, 62:19, 62:22, 63:11, 63:14, 64:19, 64:20, 64:22, 65:15, 68:21, 74:5, 76:15, 77:8, 78:12, 93:24, 109:14, 110:14, 112:1, 112:25, 113:4, 116:2, 120:1, 133:19, 145:22, 146:18, 146:24, 149:3, 156:2, 156:5, 158:2 sat [2] - 18:21, 72:7 savings [3] - 150:22, 150:23, 151:3 saw [5] - 24:24, 77:5, 107:3, 120:24, 151:13 scan [1] - 74:15 schedule [1] - 160:24 schedules [1] - 107:13 scheme [11] - 5:7, 8:16, 8:18, 17:13, 17:14, 17:17, 20:20, 24:3, 28:5, 32:9, 69.9 schemes [5] - 11:4, 15:5, 55:24, 58:23, 67:25 scope [1] - 4:4 Scott [1] - 43:12 Scottsdale [2] - 36:16, 144:12 se [2] - 58:10, 139:14 search [4] - 5:11, 83:22, 106:17, 110:23 seated [4] - 3:2, 49:24, 85:4, 133:12 **SEC** [24] - 99:20, 100:2, 100:6, 100:14, 100:17, 100:19, 100:23, 101:5,

101:8, 109:23, 109:25,

110:4, 126:2, 126:6, 126:8, 126:11, 126:17, 126:18, 126:20, 126:24, 150:25, 151:5, 153:9 second [15] - 12:1, 30:12, 33:24. 73:2. 97:1. 97:9. 97:12. 97:25. 98:6. 98:7. 98:9, 123:2, 128:2, 146:23, 147:16 secret [1] - 47:16 secure [1] - 118:18 **securing** [1] - 146:18 securities [1] - 126:21 securitized [1] - 146:22 security [2] - 99:24, 142:1 see [26] - 23:19, 50:11, 52:3, 54:5, 54:7, 55:14, 56:12, 63:13, 68:11, 95:8, 107:5, 107:22, 119:10, 127:23, 129:6, 133:8, 138:6, 144:11, 148:21, 153:9, 154:8, 159:5, 159:7, 159:14, 159:16 seeing [1] - 91:18 seek [1] - 20:15 seeking [11] - 11:2, 12:6, 13:3, 13:11, 14:2, 14:5, 22:22, 22:23, 23:3, 23:7, 80:11 seeks [1] - 35:9 seized [3] - 54:15, 111:14, 111:15 seizure [2] - 106:17, 110:23 select [1] - 64:2 sell [2] - 72:10, 73:17 seller [2] - 28:14, 67:19 send [2] - 65:14, 104:8 sense [2] - 136:21, 141:1 sensitive [2] - 7:12, 72:24 sent [19] - 17:18, 75:9, 75:14, 76:10, 79:16, 80:13, 83:2, 92:13, 93:10, 101:4, 101:8, 111:4, 112:13, 112:16, 131:24, 144:8, 153:17, 157:7, 157:9 sentencing [5] - 12:7, 13:15, 13:17, 14:8, 14:9 separate [18] - 15:13, 16:3, 17:14, 23:14, 34:6, 35:1, 35:3, 35:20, 53:6, 60:9, 60:16, 66:12, 115:16, 116:20, 132:1, 135:24, 138:21, 140:15 separated [1] - 117:12 **separately** [1] - 6:19 sequence [2] - 10:17, 28:8 series [7] - 52:25, 65:17, 83:1, 121:19, 128:16, 152:17, 152:25

set [19] - 27:24, 28:5, 28:17, 28:18, 31:12, 40:16, 45:6, 47:15, 48:3, 50:16, 56:17, 58:23, 60:9, 73:9, 73:14, 113:10, 122:19, 123:3. 160:6 Setauket [1] - 43:12 settled [2] - 53:2, 110:3 Settlement [11] - 23:18, 31:23, 45:14, 47:25, 51:21, 54:2, 56:3, 61:25, 125:5, 125:13, 125:15 settlement [1] - 125:1 seven [4] - 6:22, 9:24, 42:10, 76:10 several [4] - 77:5, 134:22, 135:7, 145:12 share [3] - 113:4, 145:22, 156:24 **short** [5] - 34:14, 49:18, 160:21, 161:13, 161:16 short-term [1] - 34:14 shorten [1] - 133:8 **shortly** [1] - 102:3 **show** [22] - 23:10, 29:1, 41:24, 64:1, 64:5, 88:17, 91:2, 92:15, 93:15, 97:25, 98:12, 100:4, 104:24, 106:13, 112:3, 112:5, 112:6, 127:15, 130:6, 141:17, 152:16, 155:4 **showing** [4] - 91:4, 92:22, 100:25, 102:4 shown [4] - 21:24, 80:4, 97:12, 98:9 **shows** [2] - 21:20, 120:25 sidebar [3] - 5:12, 5:16, 13.9 sign [11] - 70:1, 74:11, 104:18, 104:20, 104:21, 105:7, 105:8, 105:15, 122:8, 130:3, 147:19 signature [6] - 30:12, 30:14, 30:22, 30:23, 105:4, 105:7 signatures [1] - 122:21 signed [29] - 28:23, 51:8, 74:10, 74:14, 74:15, 82:10, 82:22, 83:3, 83:19, 83:24, 87:2, 93:24, 94:5, 104:19, 105:9. 105:11. 105:14. 110:12, 118:17, 125:1, 128:9, 134:21, 146:9, 146:20, 147:15, 147:16, 147:18, 147:21, 156:7 significance [1] - 67:11 significant [2] - 121:19, 153:1 signing [1] - 122:9 silent [2] - 11:18, 11:24

| \sim | - |
|--------|---|
| | - |
| | |

similar [4] - 80:9, 81:1, 82:24, 123:3 simple [5] - 34:18, 54:23, 63:13, 104:10, 156:21 simply [8] - 15:25, 16:11, 23:11, 25:9, 52:5, 84:4, 85:9, 85:12 single [6] - 27:24, 45:6, 65:22, 92:6, 112:13, 137:15 singular [2] - 113:23, 115:22 sit [8] - 30:24, 63:20, 108:4, 112:2, 112:12, 116:11, 136:1, 140:2 site [2] - 72:8, 73:4 situation [1] - 26:4 six [5] - 6:22, 9:24, 33:18, 43:4, 108:6 six-and-a-half [1] - 33:18 **skip** [1] - 36:15 Slovakian [1] - 104:4 small [4] - 36:8, 136:15, 136:17, 137:3 Society [1] - 35:24 sold [1] - 49:8 solidify [1] - 16:18 **solution** [2] - 9:10 Somerset [2] - 47:11, 47:13 sometime [2] - 12:19, 51:2 sometimes [1] - 26:7 somewhat [1] - 56:15 somewhere [2] - 18:13, Sonnenschein [4] - 103:1, 103:2, 125:19, 125:23 **sorry** [7] - 30:1, 30:3, 33:13, 35:2, 49:6, 131:24, 156:11 sought [7] - 8:1, 8:12, 27:7, 27:19, 32:13, 37:9, 45:3 sound [1] - 136:20 sounds [3] - 100:9, 100:24, 137:6 source [2] - 48:23, 50:16 South [1] - 73:6 Southern [2] - 43:11, 134:22 Spanish [1] - 75:20 speaking [3] - 33:12, 39:13, 125:13 speaks [7] - 19:10, 42:7, 44:4, 44:21, 70:11, 93:14, 158:15 special [1] - 24:8 specific [13] - 7:25, 39:15, 51:5, 86:18, 86:24, 87:22, 92:15, 104:9, 125:21, 137:17, 140:19, 141:6,

148:5 specifically [10] - 4:22, 8:3, 42:11, 96:19, 100:4, 120:15, 121:15, 132:6, 144:7, 146:8 **specifics** [1] - 86:14 speedy [1] - 7:16 split [1] - 35:18 spoken [4] - 12:14, 103:25, 132:25, 133:3 Square [1] - 1:21 stack [1] - 6:22 stake [1] - 27:25 stamp [1] - 77:24 stamped [2] - 78:6, 81:5 stand [12] - 7:19, 16:13, 24:1, 25:8, 49:25, 85:5, 85:6, 85:14, 133:13, 133:14, 139:18, 160:21 **Standard** [1] - 144:10 **Stars** [1] - 108:18 start [1] - 161:9 started [4] - 13:8, 16:15, 24:10, 136:14 starting [2] - 51:1, 160:10 state [4] - 3:5, 16:11, 26:18. 65:8 statehood [1] - 73:3 statement [23] - 24:2, 94:24, 143:12, 144:19, 144:20, 148:1, 148:2, 148:3, 148:6, 149:21, 149:25, 155:22, 155:25, 156:12, 157:1, 157:4, 157:7, 157:9, 157:15, 159:2, 159:5, 159:10, 159:17 STATES [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:11 States [6] - 1:6, 1:14, 1:17, 3:7, 60:4, 108:1 status [7] - 45:23, 76:22, 96:12, 132:8, 132:10, 161:6, 161:13 statutes [1] - 15:14 stay [1] - 108:22 stayed [1] - 21:8 stealing [1] - 57:7 Steiger [1] - 2:20 stenography [1] - 2:25 steps [1] - 95:24 Steve [1] - 73:7 Steven [1] - 56:7 stevenson [1] - 64:24 Stevenson [4] - 59:10, 74:11, 74:22 sticker [1] - 46:13 still [6] - 37:18, 69:12, 110:2, 123:24, 134:7,

158:11

stipulation [3] - 29:2,

29:10, 29:11 stole [1] - 57:20 stolen [4] - 20:11, 22:13, 23:22. 124:4 Stolper [1] - 101:8 **stop** [2] - 53:12, 160:11 straight [1] - 75:11 Strangford [1] - 2:7 stretching [1] - 68:14 stricken [1] - 147:11 strict [1] - 81:7 strike [5] - 88:23, 139:16, 142:6, 143:7, 151:24 string [1] - 81:18 Stumepl [1] - 79:14 Stumpel [84] - 28:2, 28:21, 29:7, 30:19, 31:6, 40:25, 42:23, 59:15, 59:16, 59:20, 59:23, 61:1, 78:7, 78:21, 79:21, 101:22, 101:24, 102:9, 102:17, 103:10, 103:17, 103:24, 104:1, 104:3, 104:13, 104:19, 105:12, 105:14, 106:7, 106:8, 106:23, 108:6, 108:22, 109:20, 110:5, 110:7, 111:5, 111:8, 111:24, 112:4, 112:14, 112:16, 114:19, 116:8, 116:12, 116:15, 116:22, 116:24, 117:25, 118:4, 118:9, 118:17, 119:3, 122:12, 122:17, 122:18, 122:24, 122:25, 123:12, 123:19, 123:25, 124:2, 124:25, 126:3, 126:13, 131:10, 131:24, 132:1, 133:23, 138:17, 138:23, 142:2, 142:13, 145:25, 146:10, 147:1, 147:5, 156:8, 156:9, 156:15, 156:23, 157:23, 158:4, 158:14 Stumpel's [9] - 30:23, 31:11, 61:3, 78:8, 107:25, 117:15, 139:8, 141:19, 141:22 Stumple [1] - 28:11 stylized [1] - 27:11 submissions [1] - 10:17 submit [2] - 25:16, 154:1 submitted [8] - 4:9, 11:20, 18:10, 83:4, 90:25, 91:15, 143:25, 148:9 **submitting** [1] - 90:5 subpoena [1] - 100:7 subscription [1] - 51:8 subsequent [8] - 46:6,

52:16, 65:3, 65:16, 70:20,

87:7, 97:10, 127:13

subsequently [8] - 52:15, 57:8, 57:20, 57:22, 59:22, 84:1, 123:7, 139:9 subset [1] - 62:18 **substance** [1] - 106:20 substantiate [1] - 50:20 substantiated [1] - 38:11 substantive [1] - 20:18 succeeding [1] - 46:9 sue [1] - 139:9 sued [5] - 59:22, 59:23, 61:8. 122:17. 122:18 sufficient [1] - 98:25 Suffolk [1] - 1:21 sugar [7] - 35:15, 35:17, 35:21, 71:20, 72:8, 73:5 suggest [3] - 7:17, 9:10, 159:19 suggested [4] - 34:10, 73:8, 96:22, 109:25 suggesting [1] - 24:20 suit [3] - 92:17, 96:3, 97:18 sum [2] - 28:16, 106:20 **summation** [3] - 10:16, 41:4, 41:7 summer [6] - 103:11, 103:18, 103:24, 113:7, 118:16, 146:10 supplemental [2] - 8:2, 27:11 supplied [5] - 63:22, 73:5, 132:22, 132:24, 133:2 supplies [1] - 36:10 support [3] - 41:12, 90:6, 148:9 supposed [4] - 120:20, 122:2, 137:3, 149:2 surprised [5] - 13:4, 13:7, 13:9. 13:13. 21:3 sustained [3] - 38:24, 39:10, 112:10 swell [1] - 55:11 **sworn** [2] - 26:15, 83:18 **Sydor** [4] - 60:20, 61:12, 61:13, 61:19 system [1] - 97:1

Т

table [2] - 34:11, 143:7 tax [2] - 152:11, 152:13 taxes [1] - 73:16 teams [2] - 108:7, 108:25 telephone [4] - 94:20, 103:14, 127:13, 128:15 tens [1] - 136:5 term [1] - 34:14 terminate [1] - 34:10 terminated [1] - 94:12

2

terms [10] - 13:20, 13:23, 14:4, 15:12, 25:14, 40:15, 67:24, 83:13, 93:18, 147:21 test [1] - 36:8 testified [64] - 26:15, 32:2, 34:21, 38:2, 50:13, 52:8, 55:7, 62:12, 69:7, 72:15, 76:12, 85:22, 85:25, 87:7, 91:19, 94:15, 94:21, 95:23, 95:25, 99:20, 103:9, 103:21, 108:8, 110:4, 114:16, 115:17, 118:7, 120:10, 121:21, 121:25, 122:4, 122:5, 123:14, 126:25, 127:4, 127:6, 127:9, 128:4, 131:8, 131:11, 131:12, 131:23, 132:14, 132:16, 132:21, 132:23, 134:22, 134:23, 135:1, 135:4, 135:8, 135:22, 137:1, 138:5, 140:13, 140:14, 142:4, 142:12, 144:16, 145:21, 151:5, 152:3, 152:5 testifies [1] - 7:6 testify [16] - 6:1, 6:11, 7:20, 16:20, 18:4, 21:2, 41:13, 56:16, 82:21, 82:23, 118:3, 122:3, 128:6, 131:13, 137:16, 153:23 testifying [16] - 74:19, 87:24, 92:2, 109:23, 114:12, 114:14, 117:14, 117:20, 118:14, 118:20, 118:22, 125:12, 125:18, 140:18, 140:21, 140:25 testimony [44] - 7:3, 8:6, 8:7, 8:19, 9:12, 14:6, 16:1, 16:19, 18:17, 21:4, 21:25, 24:25, 33:8, 42:2, 44:2, 56:2, 85:25, 86:3, 86:6, 86:8, 94:18, 100:2, 109:10, 114:19, 116:22, 119:8, 125:21, 126:4, 126:6, 126:15, 128:23, 130:8, 133:20, 135:7, 135:9, 137:2, 140:8, 140:9, 141:5, 146:4, 146:7, 147:18, 151:8 **THE** [123] - 1:11, 3:1, 3:2, 3:3, 3:10, 3:16, 3:23, 10:21, 12:3, 12:23, 15:9, 16:24, 17:5, 17:10, 17:20, 17:22, 18:12, 18:21, 20:6, 20:24, 21:16, 22:2, 22:18, 23:24, 24:9, 25:20, 26:4, 26:10, 26:18, 26:20, 26:24, 29:10, 29:14, 29:17, 29:19, 29:23, 31:3, 39:2, 39:6,

40:3, 46:15, 46:18, 46:20, 49:18, 49:21, 49:24, 50:3, 60:21, 60:23, 61:5, 61:6, 61:10, 61:11, 64:11, 66:10, 66:13, 66:15, 66:16, 66:18, 66:19, 66:22, 66:24, 67:2, 68:6, 70:7, 71:9, 80:1, 83:15. 84:5. 84:7. 85:3. 85:4, 85:17, 88:25, 89:17, 89:20, 89:24, 91:8, 91:24, 95:6, 95:9, 95:15, 95:19, 99:5, 99:12, 101:17, 102:21, 105:2, 105:5, 106:4, 112:10, 115:15, 115:20, 124:9, 125:9, 133:4, 133:7, 133:11, 133:12, 133:15, 139:18, 139:20, 142:8, 142:10, 142:11, 143:8, 147:12, 147:18, 152:1, 153:25, 154:4, 154:24, 155:2, 155:16, 158:23, 160:11, 160:14, 160:19, 160:23, 161:1, 161:4, 161:8, 161:15 themselves [2] - 44:16, 63:8 theories [2] - 21:1, 25:9 theory [22] - 11:3, 11:8, 14:25, 17:1, 17:4, 17:6, 17:10, 17:16, 18:2, 18:14, 18:15, 18:16, 20:14, 22:12, 22:16, 22:18, 22:19, 23:23, 24:11, 24:19, 25:2 thereabouts [1] - 28:16 thereafter [1] - 102:3 therefore [1] - 24:15 third [4] - 58:10, 74:7, 126:3, 139:15 third-party [2] - 58:10, 139:15 thousands [2] - 110:2, 136:5 threatened [1] - 97:6 three [19] - 46:8, 52:10, 52:21, 57:17, 64:22, 65:21, 66:4, 68:21, 74:2, 74:21, 82:18, 82:24, 83:4, 83:17, 83:25, 103:3, 114:16, 115:14, 131:4 thrown [1] - 96:25 today [31] - 6:1, 9:12, 13:20, 14:7, 16:1, 16:7, 16:9, 30:24, 63:20, 69:12, 69:15, 92:3, 100:13, 108:4, 110:19, 111:10, 112:2, 112:12, 112:22, 114:19, 126:8, 132:21, 135:9,

135:18, 136:1, 137:23,

140:2, 140:3, 140:7,

158:16, 160:11 together [2] - 75:16, 83:17 Tom [2] - 97:7, 145:13 Tommy [1] - 3:14 **TOMMY** [1] - 1:7 took [9] - 46:8, 58:25, 59:1, 63:8, 81:23, 88:1, 123:18, 127:24, 131:2 top [3] - 30:14, 30:21, 129:9 total [8] - 9:24, 10:4, 28:16, 50:18, 61:17, 79:20, 159:13, 159:14 totaling [3] - 65:20, 68:20, 74:22 totality [1] - 85:16 totally [1] - 66:11 totals [2] - 67:14, 159:7 traffic [5] - 67:9, 103:23, 120:24, 135:16, 136:4 train [1] - 109:1 training [2] - 107:7, 107:8 transaction [9] - 19:22, 35:16, 35:17, 57:14, 74:17, 138:3, 139:11, 141:14, 141:15 transactions [17] - 5:1, 6:2, 6:19, 6:20, 28:8, 28:9. 35:5, 35:20, 38:21, 38:22, 39:5, 40:16, 40:23, 40:24, 44:12, 64:9, 64:16 **TRANSCRIPT** [1] - 1:10 transcript [4] - 2:25, 19:6, 130:7, 130:16 transcription [1] - 127:25 transfer [17] - 51:9, 58:21, 58:25, 59:12, 65:3, 68:19, 72:16, 74:9, 77:25, 122:22, 129:22, 139:10, 141:19, 141:22, 150:16, 151:16, 151:18 transferred [19] - 31:6, 31:8, 31:11, 31:13, 31:21, 32:2, 32:10, 53:22, 57:21, 57:23, 61:16, 65:1, 73:13, 74:3, 78:18, 122:20, 129:21, 146:16, 150:18 transferring [3] - 56:21, 57:8. 150:15 transfers [17] - 38:14, 40:19, 50:22, 65:14, 66:5, 74:2, 75:7, 75:17, 75:18, 76:3, 78:7, 121:16, 121:19, 121:20, 121:22, 139:8, 147:9 transposed [1] - 130:10 traveled [2] - 108:23, 138:6 **trial** [40] - 7:16, 7:24, 9:16,

11:1, 18:3, 18:19, 18:22,

19:10, 19:17, 21:4, 21:9,

21:12, 21:24, 24:24, 27:10, 29:11, 33:9, 34:1, 34:22, 37:5, 37:13, 38:2, 43:16, 43:21, 62:23, 69:8, 99:6, 114:13, 114:14, 117:15, 118:7, 118:14, 118:23, 125:12, 127:1, 127:9, 128:24, 139:14, 140:5, 148:8 tried [1] - 52:16 trigger [1] - 114:8 triple [1] - 53:18 trite [2] - 7:7, 10:10 trouble [1] - 66:16 true [3] - 41:16, 62:2, 128:2 trust [2] - 144:23, 144:25 Trust [7] - 54:13, 122:20, 122:21, 123:2, 123:4, 123:5, 123:7 try [3] - 11:7, 79:12, 126:5 trying [4] - 19:5, 79:7, 80:25, 81:21 **TSA**[4] - 110:24, 111:1, 111:2, 111:6 turn [3] - 71:1, 100:19, 148:13 turned [3] - 38:13, 44:17, 145:12 turning [1] - 89:12 twice [1] - 152:20 two [52] - 11:11, 12:20, 25:9, 28:4, 29:1, 29:6, 30:24, 33:11, 33:14, 34:6, 34:25, 35:5, 35:20, 40:24, 42:3, 42:10, 53:6, 54:5, 57:23, 66:11, 74:2, 74:21, 75:17, 75:18, 75:19, 76:3, 76:8, 78:7, 88:1, 94:20, 109:7, 111:17, 112:22, 113:7, 115:14, 115:16, 115:21, 116:7, 118:8, 120:3, 131:2, 132:13, 134:1, 135:22, 135:25, 140:6, 146:6, 146:22, 147:13, 155:19, 156:6, 157:16 two-and-a-half [5] - 118:8, 120:3, 132:13, 156:6, 157:16 type [3] - 9:2, 21:5, 21:6 **typewritten** [1] - 39:15

U

U.S.A [1] - 3:3 Ukrainian [1] - 104:4 Ula [11] - 31:15, 31:21, 32:1, 65:5, 68:20, 75:8, 76:5, 78:9, 88:9, 88:15,

21

116:12 ultimately [9] - 8:8, 28:17, 44:18, 53:2, 58:18, 73:10, 80:15, 80:21, 83:24 umbrella [1] - 19:4 unable [1] - 25:9 unauthorized [1] - 24:17 uncharged [1] - 11:22 under [19] - 9:2, 15:14, 19:4, 25:2, 32:18, 33:21, 35:11, 40:18, 44:5, 52:22, 54:19, 54:21, 62:3, 63:21, 82:16, 96:18, 141:8, 145:18, 145:19 underscore [2] - 100:22, 101:9 understood [6] - 57:15, 63:17, 87:12, 88:14, 100:15, 123:22 undoubtedly [2] - 7:11, 9.17 unequivocally [1] - 110:4 unfair [1] - 12:20 unique [3] - 34:6, 35:3, 54:22 unit [2] - 52:23, 53:11 UNITED [3] - 1:1, 1:3, 1:11 United [6] - 1:6, 1:14, 1:17, 3:7, 60:4, 108:1 units [4] - 53:7, 53:21, 57:17, 71:1 unknown [2] - 80:16, 96:11 unless [1] - 26:1 unrelated [2] - 55:23, 55:25 unresponsive [1] - 88:24 unsecured [1] - 122:16 unsigned [2] - 74:12, 83:23 up [51] - 10:23, 18:24, 27:24, 28:18, 28:19, 31:13, 33:2, 33:19, 35:18, 45:6, 47:15, 52:7, 59:8, 60:25, 61:14, 65:21, 68:20, 73:14, 79:12, 79:23, 81:19, 82:23, 85:5, 87:2, 94:5, 97:12, 97:25, 98:9, 98:12, 99:10, 101:18, 113:10, 113:17, 113:20, 115:21, 121:3, 122:19, 123:3, 126:8, 126:19, 127:8, 137:7, 146:11, 147:20, 149:14, 149:18, 153:20, 154:12, 159:23, 160:6 uphold [1] - 71:2 **upwards** [1] - 140:6 **Urban** [1] - 81:2 uses [1] - 24:1 utilize [1] - 34:13 utilized [2] - 49:11, 76:14 utilizes [1] - 4:23

V

vacant [1] - 72:7 value [2] - 157:3, 159:23 values [2] - 33:25, 144:12 various [16] - 4:13, 5:1, 15:15, 24:14, 24:18, 27:6, 36:23, 40:8, 40:10, 40:17, 82:9, 82:10, 135:17, 142:23, 143:22, 145:12 Vegas [3] - 52:14, 57:18, 108:12 venture [2] - 69:20, 72:5 Ventures [78] - 8:3, 27:19, 27:23, 28:10, 28:19, 28:25, 30:10, 30:20, 31:7, 37:9, 42:21, 56:24, 59:18, 61:1, 69:19, 78:5, 78:11, 78:21, 79:21, 103:10, 106:10, 106:19, 109:2, 109:6, 109:13, 110:10, 110:15, 111:22, 112:15, 112:18, 112:20, 112:23, 113:8, 114:10, 114:20, 114:22, 114:25, 116:6, 118:2, 118:5, 118:13, 118:24, 119:1, 119:4, 122:12, 126:1, 126:3, 127:2, 127:7, 128:4, 128:8, 128:20, 129:2, 129:11, 129:14, 129:22, 129:25, 130:1, 130:2, 130:10, 130:11, 130:12, 130:17, 131:17, 132:15, 133:20, 133:24, 141:9, 145:23, 146:2, 152:4, 152:12, 155:25, 156:3, 156:4, 157:18, 158:4, 158:7 verdict [2] - 24:8, 45:4 verify [7] - 86:19, 87:23, 88:17, 91:2, 92:16, 100:5, 125:22 verifying [1] - 75:20 versions [1] - 74:12 versus [2] - 60:17, 63:9 vertical [1] - 33:21 Veterans [1] - 1:21 via [2] - 60:8, 79:16 victims [1] - 28:4 View [1] - 117:11 view [2] - 13:1, 24:11 violations [1] - 99:24

W

waiting [1] - 93:9 walkaway [1] - 53:3

vs [2] - 3:3, 42:5

wants [2] - 14:16, 153:25 warrant [1] - 83:22 water [1] - 66:17 Wayne [4] - 21:13, 38:11, 42:20, 160:20 Wayne's [1] - 24:25 ways [1] - 107:22 Wednesday [2] - 161:4, 161:18 week [3] - 160:23, 160:24, 161:2 weekly [1] - 106:8 weeks [1] - 65:20 **WEINBLATT** [1] - 1:20 welcome [2] - 55:12, 127:7 **Wexler** [2] - 161:6, 161:15 whatsoever [4] - 11:19, 45:25, 98:19, 105:10 wherein [2] - 39:4, 39:24 whole [2] - 19:22, 69:3 wholeheartedly [1] - 15:24 wife [5] - 36:21, 36:24, 144:17, 144:22, 144:25 wife's [1] - 144:23 **William** [1] - 75:5 willing [2] - 69:25, 82:22 willingness [1] - 139:9 Windwalker [1] - 72:6 wire [27] - 20:18, 50:22, 51:9, 56:21, 57:8, 57:21, 58:16, 58:25, 59:11, 65:14, 68:19, 74:2, 74:9, 75:7, 75:17, 75:18, 76:3, 77:25, 78:7, 138:3, 139:7, 139:10, 141:19, 141:22, 147:8, 151:16, 151:18 wired [2] - 61:16, 116:12 wiring [1] - 137:23 wish [1] - 16:18 withdraw [4] - 39:25, 40:1, 40:3, 85:14 withdrawn [5] - 36:22, 58:11, 126:24, 134:13, 140:13 withholds [1] - 9:16 WITNESS [14] - 26:20, 60:23, 61:6, 61:11, 66:13, 66:16, 66:19, 89:17, 89:24, 91:8, 99:12, 105:5, 139:20, 142:10 witness [13] - 7:13, 18:3, 26:14, 49:25, 85:6, 92:23, 101:1, 102:4, 104:25, 133:14, 142:7, 152:17, 155:4 Witnesses [1] - 162:3

witnesses [3] - 82:21,

word [3] - 53:15, 57:12,

82:23, 160:17

61:20

Worden [1] - 72:6 words [2] - 55:25, 92:12 worried [1] - 19:7 worth [5] - 53:10, 144:14, 155:22, 157:1, 159:11 worthless [1] - 113:8 write [1] - 98:5 writing [2] - 104:5, 105:17 written [5] - 92:19, 93:2, 93:6, 97:24, 135:13 wrongfully [1] - 22:21 wrote [3] - 92:21, 124:14, 124:16

Υ

year [5] - 46:7, 47:14, 49:9, 72:2, 94:4
years [11] - 36:21, 63:19, 73:2, 73:15, 82:4, 108:23, 108:25, 132:13, 145:8, 158:9
YORK [1] - 1:1
York [5] - 1:6, 1:15, 2:21, 43:13, 69:24
yourself [4] - 28:20, 41:18, 134:13, 142:23

Ζ

zero [2] - 70:6, 70:8